

JAELEN WRIGHT
107 BRIDGEPORT COVE DR.

APT(302)

HAMPDEN MA 23663
(802) 510 3524
12 JUNE 18

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

OFFICE OF THE CLERK, I'M WRITING

TO DAY ABOUT RE: WRIGHT U.T.N.C.C
USCA4 NO. 17-1700

I'M ASKING THE CLERK TO, MOTION TO
THE DIRECT THE CLERK TO FILE THE
ABOVE PETITION OUT OF TIME, BECAUSE

I SUFFER FROM DEPRESSION AND I AM

UNABLE TO RESPOND TO THE COURT BECAUSE

OF THIS VERY REASON, I'M ASKING THE

COURT TO TAKE IN CONSIDERATION OF MY

DISABILITY AND THAT THE ABOVE CASE BE ACCEPTED

IN TO THE HIGHEST COURT.

RECEIVED

JUN 19 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED

MAY 21 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

NO. 17-1700
(4:16-cv-00151-RGD-RJK)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JAELEN M.R. WRIGHT PETITIONER(S)

THOMAS NELSON COMMUNITY RESPONDENT(S)
COLLEGE; CLARITY HANCOCK

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE COURT WHOSE JUDGMENT YOU SEEK TO
REVIEW

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JAELEN M.R. WRIGHT

107 BRIDGEPORT COVE DR.

HAMPTON, VA APT (302)

23663

(804) 510 3524

UNPUBLISHED

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

No. 17-1700

JAELEN M. WRIGHT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

THOMAS NELSON COMMUNITY COLLEGE; CHARITY HANCOCK,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at
Newport News. Robert G. Doumar, Senior District Judge. (4:16-cv-00151-RGD-RJK)

Submitted: November 28, 2017

Decided: January 5, 2018

Before SHEDD, DIAZ, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jaelen M. Wright, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Jaelen M. Wright appeals the district court's order dismissing his civil complaint without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Because Wright cannot save his action by amending his complaint, the district court's order is final, and we have jurisdiction over Wright's appeal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012); *Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y*, 807 F.3d 619, 623 (4th Cir. 2015). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Wright v. Thomas Nelson Cnty. Coll.*, No. 4:16-cv-00151-RGD-RJK (E.D. Va. May 16, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**