

Friday March 15, 2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

To: Clerk, US Supreme Court – Honorable Scott S. Harris

Motion to direct the Clerk to file Writ of Certiorari out-of-time

The process has been long and tedious and we have without fail in this matter of bringing home to us in the United States our Adopted Son whose Adoption was completely finalized in a foreign country since early 2017 - endeavored to properly and promptly file all court papers and corrections. Yet the final filling were returned today for being submitted later than 60 days of December 20, 2018 and yet not being accompanied with a **Motion to file Instanter**.

We humbly submit this motion to direct the Clerk to file out-of-time.
Thank you.

Sincerely,



Mrs. Henrietta Moore
1930 S 13th Avenue
Broadview, IL 60155
(708) 615 – 5329

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk
Phone: (312) 435-5850
www.ca7.uscourts.gov

ORDER

Submitted: October 24, 2018

Decided: October 30, 2018

Before

JOEL M. FLAUM, *Circuit Judge*
ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER, *Circuit Judge*
DAVID F. HAMILTON, *Circuit Judge*

No. 18-2786	DR. GREGG MOORE, Plaintiff - Appellant and HENRIETTA MOORE, Appellant v. MIKE POMPEO, United States Secretary of State, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case Information: District Court No: 1:17-cv-03809 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division District Judge Jorge L. Alonso	

The following are before the court:

1. APPELLEE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY AFFIRMANCE OR ALTERNATIVELY TO RESET BRIEFING SCHEDULE, filed on October 15, 2018, by counsel for the appellees.

2. REPLY BY MOORE & MOORE TO APPELLEES' MOTIONS, filed on October 22, 2018, by the pro se appellants.

No. 18-2786

Page 2

This court has carefully reviewed the motions papers, the final order of the district court, and the record on appeal. Based on this review, the court has determined that any issues that could be raised are insubstantial and that further briefing would not be helpful to the court's consideration of the issues. *See Taylor v. City of New Albany*, 979 F.2d 87 (7th Cir. 1992); *Mather v. Village of Mundelein*, 869 F.2d 356, 357 (7th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) (court can decide case on motions papers and record where briefing would not be helpful and no member of the panel desires briefing or argument).

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion for summary affirmance is **GRANTED** and the judgment of the district court is **AFFIRMED**. The district court's dismissal of Gregg and Henrietta Moore's claims for lack of jurisdiction does not prevent the Moores from proceeding on a new application for a visa with the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**