

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

NOV 16 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TIMOTHY STUART RING,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

CHARLES L. RYAN, Warden and
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE
OF ARIZONA,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 18-15458

D.C. No. 2:16-cv-04070-SPL
District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

Before: LEAVY and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.”

Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2);

Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S.

322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 21 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TIMOTHY STUART RING,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

CHARLES L. RYAN, Warden and
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE
OF ARIZONA,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 18-15458

D.C. No. 2:16-cv-04070-SPL
District of Arizona,
Phoenix

ORDER

Before: TALLMAN and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

The request for extension of time (Docket Entry No. 4) is granted.

Appellant's petition for rehearing en banc is construed as a motion for reconsideration en banc (Docket Entry No. 5). So construed, the motion is denied on behalf of the court. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.11.

Any other pending motions are denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.