

App. No. _____

**IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

DR. LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,

Petitioner,

v.

APPLE, INC., *ET AL.*,

Respondents,

ON APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBIT
IN ACCOMPANIMENT OF
PRO SE PETITIONER'S APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam
Pro Se Petitioner
222 Stanford Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Tel: (650) 690-0995
Fax: (650) 854-3393
Email: laks22002@yahoo.com

Dated: February 11, 2019

Pro Se Petitioner
Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam

APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS

| DESCRIPTION OF ENTRY | DATE | RECORD ENTRY NO. |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Ninth Circuit Order | December 18, 2018 | Ex. A |

Ex. A: Ninth Circuit Order

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 18 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM.

No. 18-72572

LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,

D.C. No. 5:18-cv-01250-EJD
Northern District of California,
San Jose

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE,

Respondent,

APPLE, INC., Assigns and Agents, and App
Store Web Application Providers; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: LEAVY, BYBEE, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.