

**In The
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

ELDON BUGG
APPLICANT

V.

MARC HONEY, WM. MARSHALL
HUBBARD, CYRIL GRAY and
HONEY LAW FIRM

RESPONDENTS

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
FOR FILING A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

COMES Applicant Eldon Bugg on his own behalf, pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 13.5 and 22, and applies to the Honorable Justice over the Eighth Circuit for an order extending the time for filing his petition for a writ of certiorari. In support thereof, Applicant states as follows.

Jurisdiction

1. 28 USC § 2101(c) provides in part that a Justice of the Supreme Court, for good cause shown, may extend the time for applying for a writ of certiorari for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days.

Judgment to Be Reviewed

2. Judgment of the Missouri Court of Appeals, Western District, December 12, 2017; and the application for discretionary review which the court of last resort denied on April 3, 2018. The opinion and application to the court of last resort are attached and

incorporated pursuant to Rule 13.5.

Specific Reason For Extension

3. As seen in attached opinion and application, Applicant sued Arkansas respondents in Missouri state court alleging that respondents perpetrated a fraud on Applicant in Missouri under color of federal bankruptcy law which operates under national jurisdiction.

4. The trial court dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction on grounds of diversity jurisdiction, which was affirmed on appeal.

5. On April 3, 2018 Missouri's court of last resort denied discretionary review.

6. Under federal bankruptcy law, there is no diversity of jurisdiction because citizens of all 50 states are under national jurisdiction. Thus, the seminal issue will be whether the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment contemplates that under color of bankruptcy law and national jurisdiction, a bankruptcy debtor who resides in one state can tortiously injure a creditor in another state, and then have the protection of diverse citizenship if the injured creditor seeks a common-law remedy in his home state?

7. Applicant is an octogenarian, was tortiously dragged into a bankruptcy case in another state under color of national jurisdiction, is acting on his own behalf, although not indigent, is on a fixed income and cannot afford counsel, is without benefit of clerical and legal research staff, and has other constraints and demands on his time associated with age.

8. Notwithstanding, the body of federal bankruptcy and jurisdiction laws cases are

immense, are complex, the circuits are admittedly divided on many Title 11 issues, and this is an issue of first impression; all of which will exponentiate the amount of research and analysis to decide whether to petition for certiorari in the first place. Further, there is the task of non-practitioner familiarizing himself with the precise requirements for preparing a petition that will pass muster, and getting it bound in booklet form.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully prays that the Honorable Justice over the Eighth Circuit will enter an order extending the time for Applicant to file his petition for a writ of certiorari by the 60 days allowed by law; which Applicant calculates to be August 31, 2018.



/s/ E. Bugg
Eldon K. Bugg
88 Pawnee Ln.
Boonville, Mo. 65233
660/882-9305
ebugg@sbcglobal.net

CERTIFICATE

By Signature last above, Appellant certifies that on or before the 15th of June 2018 a copy of the foregoing instrument and attachments were electronically transmitted to Anthony Bonuchi; anthony@bonuchilaw.com; counsel of record for respondents.