

Supreme Court of the United States

Bruce Echols

v. No. 18-1740, 8th Cir. Ct. of Appeals
Wendy Kelly - 5:16-LV-381, Dist Ct. E.D. of Ark.

Motion for leave, for 60 day extension
to file Writ of certiorari

Petitioner is still struggling trying to keep up with
the wit of the Gov's legal teams, for they are very
knowledgeable in well designed deceptive ~~mis-~~mis-
direction, which has worked to perfection for them
to this point, also known as "piece-meal litigation", for
the ~~corner~~ corner-stone of petitioner's fed. habeas, is
the validity of the search warrant affidavit, and the
ineffectiveness of counsel protecting this 4th amend,
right, to be secure in one's own home, to which
neither of these claims have been objectively addressed
by the lower fed. Ct's.

At this point, petitioner put all his faith in fed. rule
60 (b) (4) motion, not seeing how the Gov. not addressing
all claims in a fed. habeas could not be a defect in the
integrity of the fed. habeas process of protecting
one's constitutional rights.

with this rule 60 being the final judgement, with all remedies known to petitioner exhausted, now petitioner believes he's discovered the illusion set in place by the Ark. S. Ct. that unjustly influenced the lower Ct. to unconstitutionally by pass the standard process of fair due process by not addressing two ineffective assistance of counsel "IAC" claims or the subject matter of the "IAC" claims, the affidavit itself, and by ignoring objections to the magistrates recommendation alerting the lower Ct. of the error.

Without addressing these IAC claims and applying the two prong strickland standard, petitioner was denied his right to fair due process, leaving his federal habeas unresolved.

Petitioner ask for this extension to give him time to be sure his Certiorari writ has only the facts necessary to bring this basic fundamental Constitutional violation to light without any unnecessary distracting irrelevant babbling.

Petitioner has enclosed the relevant orders of denial, one of the rule 60 (b) (4) motion and one for the rehearing.

Respectfully Submitted Pro se: Bruce Echols

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 18-1740

Bruce Allen Echols

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Wendy Kelley, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas - Pine Bluff
(5:16-cv-00321-BSM)

JUDGMENT

Before LOKEN, SHEPHERD and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

This appeal comes before the court on appellant's application for a certificate of appealability. Appellant's motion to supplement the application for a certificate of appealability is granted. The court has carefully reviewed the original file of the district court, and the application for a certificate of appealability is denied. The appeal is dismissed.

July 24, 2018

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 18-1740

Bruce Allen Echols

Appellant

v.

Wendy Kelley, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction

Appellee

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas - Pine Bluff
(5:16-cv-00321-BSM)

ORDER

The petition for rehearing by the panel is denied.

August 28, 2018

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans