

*Katherine B. Robinson*

FILED: August 20, 2018

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 18-1473  
(1:16-cv-04119-CCB)

---

KATHERINE B. ROBINSON; DANA B. WILLIAMS

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

CHESAPEAKE BANK OF MARYLAND; PROCTOR FINANCIAL, INC.

Defendants - Appellees

---

J U D G M E N T

---

In accordance with the decision of this court, this appeal is dismissed.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in  
accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41.

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 18-1473**

---

KATHERINE B. ROBINSON; DANA B. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

CHESAPEAKE BANK OF MARYLAND; PROCTOR FINANCIAL, INC.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.  
Catherine C. Blake, District Judge. (1:16-cv-04119-CCB)

---

Submitted: August 16, 2018

Decided: August 20, 2018

---

Before WYNN and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Katherine B. Robinson, Dana B. Williams, Appellants Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Appellants have filed this appeal purporting to challenge a 2014 state court order dismissing a state law property damage claim. We lack jurisdiction to review the state court's order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012) ("The courts of appeals (other than the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit) shall have jurisdiction of appeals from all final decisions of the district courts of the United States . . . ."); *see also Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983) (recognizing that federal courts do not have jurisdiction to review final state court orders).

To the extent Appellants seek to challenge this court's 2017 order dismissing as interlocutory a previous challenge to the district court's dismissal of the underlying federal action, *see Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Md.*, 691 F. App'x 782 (4th Cir. 2017) (No. 17-1217), Appellants have already asked this court to revisit that order, and we dismissed that appeal as duplicative and untimely, *see Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Md.*, 703 F. App'x 212 (4th Cir. 2017) (No. 17-1796). Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction and as duplicative and untimely. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid in the decisional process.

*DISMISSED*

*Dana B. Williams*

FILED: August 20, 2018

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 18-1473  
(1:16-cv-04119-CCB)

---

KATHERINE B. ROBINSON; DANA B. WILLIAMS

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

CHESAPEAKE BANK OF MARYLAND; PROCTOR FINANCIAL, INC.

Defendants - Appellees

---

J U D G M E N T

---

In accordance with the decision of this court, this appeal is dismissed.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in  
accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41.

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 18-1473**

---

KATHERINE B. ROBINSON; DANA B. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

CHESAPEAKE BANK OF MARYLAND; PROCTOR FINANCIAL, INC.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.  
Catherine C. Blake, District Judge. (1:16-cv-04119-CCB)

---

Submitted: August 16, 2018

Decided: August 20, 2018

---

Before WYNN and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Katherine B. Robinson, Dana B. Williams, Appellants Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Appellants have filed this appeal purporting to challenge a 2014 state court order dismissing a state law property damage claim. We lack jurisdiction to review the state court's order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012) ("The courts of appeals (other than the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit) shall have jurisdiction of appeals from all final decisions of the district courts of the United States . . . ."); *see also Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983) (recognizing that federal courts do not have jurisdiction to review final state court orders).

To the extent Appellants seek to challenge this court's 2017 order dismissing as interlocutory a previous challenge to the district court's dismissal of the underlying federal action, *see Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Md.*, 691 F. App'x 782 (4th Cir. 2017) (No. 17-1217), Appellants have already asked this court to revisit that order, and we dismissed that appeal as duplicative and untimely, *see Robinson v. Chesapeake Bank of Md.*, 703 F. App'x 212 (4th Cir. 2017) (No. 17-1796). Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction and as duplicative and untimely. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid in the decisional process.

*DISMISSED*

Dana

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 18-1473, Katherine B. Robinson; Dana B. Williams

Plaintiffs

v

Chesapeake Bank of Maryland; Proctor Financial, Inc.

Defendants

1:16-cv-04119-CCB

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR CASE NO.: 1-16-CV-04119-CCB**

Main reason for extension is due to caring for mother after being diagnosed with bladder and urinary tract infections from July – October 2018. Other reasons are as followings:

On August 20, 2018, Plaintiffs received a NOTICE OF JUDGMENT from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit in the above case. Plaintiffs have proof that can prove Plaintiffs' 5-unit rental property was insured by Proctor Financial, Inc. and should not been sold. Plaintiffs are respectfully requesting this Honorable Court and Honorable Judge to allow Plaintiffs' APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME in the above named case to supplement and Appeal the NOTICE OF JUDGMENT in the above named case for the following reasons:

1. On November 10, 2014, Defendant Chesapeake Bank of Maryland was Granted Summary Judgment by the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Case No.: 24-C-13-008544, Katherine B. Robinson v Chesapeake Bank of Maryland, et al. On August on or about the 23 of 1983, Plaintiffs' rear second floor apartment caught fire in the kitchen. Chesapeake filed a damage claim to Plaintiffs' property for the fire. Chesapeake produced documentation showing

Chesapeake received \$9,000 for the fire damage claim. Chesapeake held onto the \$9,000 along with other property damages checks totaling about \$7,888 paid by Proctor to Chesapeake for damages up to the year 2009 on Plaintiffs' property. Chesapeake held onto Plaintiffs' property damages proceeds that caused Plaintiffs' property to be auctioned on February 18, 2014.

2. On November 20, 2014, Defendant Mount Vernon Fire Insurance Company was Granted Summary Judgment, Case No.: 24-C-13-008544, Katherine B. Robinson v Chesapeake Bank of Maryland, et al. Mt. Vernon Fire Insurance Company insured Plaintiffs' property during the snow blizzard. Mt. Vernon denied Plaintiffs' 1996 snow blizzard claim which caused Plaintiffs' property to be auctioned on February 18, 2014.

3. On March 16, 2014, Defendant Proctor Financial, Inc. was Granted Summary Judgment in Case No.: 24-C-14-006944, Katherine B. Robinson v Chesapeake Bank of Maryland, et al. Council for Proctor Financial stated Proctor Financial only insured Plaintiffs' property from December 2006 to December 2007 and terminated the policy. Plaintiffs have copies of Plaintiffs' claim damages and checks made payable to Chesapeake up to the year 2009. Proctor insured Plaintiffs' property until December 2011. Plaintiffs' property was paid in full on May 06, 2011. Proctor denied insuring Plaintiffs' property which caused Plaintiffs' property to be auction on February 8, 2014.

4. On June 1, 2007, Baltimore City Department of Housing and Community Development issued Code Violation Notice and Order Number: 202820A-2 (Vacant Building Notice) for the violations received on Plaintiffs' Property for unrepaired property damage. The Mayor and City Council for Baltimore City signed Plaintiffs' property over to a Receivership, One House at A Time.




5. On August 24, 2015, Plaintiff received the ORDER from the Court of Appeals of Maryland denying Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration to stop the finalizing of the sale of Plaintiffs' property. On October 2, 2015, Plaintiffs received from the District Court for Baltimore City, the Final Accounting of One House at a Time, Inc. On February 18, 2014, the Receiver sold Plaintiffs' Property at a public auction to BBC Asset Management, LLC for Five Thousand Dollars and No cents (\$5,000).

Respectfully submitted,



Dana B. Williams  
1379 Chapmans Ford Road  
Emporia, Virginia 23847  
443-254-6352



Katherine B. Williams  
2009 Gaither Street  
Temple Hills, Maryland 20748  
443-254-6352