

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

Roger Shekar, Petitioner

v.


Teledyne Technologies, Respondent

Appellate case: 17-2171

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT AND TO RECALL THE MANDATE INSTANTER AND STAY THE MANDATE UNTIL FINAL DISPOSITION OF THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Petitioner Roger Shekar , requests an extension of time for 90 days to file his Petition for Writ of Certiorari seeking leave for 90 days. In support thereof Applicant / Petitioner state as follows:

1. The final judgment was entered on June 25, 2018 and the date Petition for Writ of Certiorari will expire is on September 26, 2018. (Document 58 of the Seventh Circuit)
2. This Application is being filed 10 days prior to the due date.
3. The Appellate court after entering a final order on June 25, 2018 , hurriedly returned the mandate in order to pre-empt any Petition for Rehearing as allowed under the Supreme Court Rules.
4. Petitioner /Appellant due process rights guaranteed under the United Sates Constitution were violated by the District court and thereafter by the Seventh circuit in dismissing Petitioner's counter claims ; violation of Supreme court precedent authorities –by affirming the multi-layer, multi-fold sanctions , which included the following :



- Affirming *ballooned* legal fees from \$ 70,000 initially petitioned by the appellee on an alleged contempt per the June 17th ,2015 order , to over \$ 400,000 in legal fees- the fees totally *unrelated to the contempt hearing* on April 30, 2015 and May 5, 2015 as *record evidence , facts will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed* ;
- Affirming the judgment on *bogus* claims –claims *brought after two years* , more like an *amended complaint* with no leave granted to file an amended complaint or such new claims as *record evidence an facts will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed* ;
- Affirming the *arbitrary and capricious* judgment on plaintiff /Appellee new claims after *denying discovery , denying Trial by a Jury* for the Petitioner/Appellant in violation of the Constitutional rights guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution which guarantees due process of laws which were violated , *as record evidence will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed*;
- The Due process of laws guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution is created to *deter government officers* using (rather abusing) their badge of their authority to violate a person’s constitutional rights and to provide compensation and other relief to victims of constitutional deprivations including appealing to the U.S Supreme court. *Cary V Piphus* , 434 U.S 247,253 (1978)
- Affirming the dismissal of counter claims in an one liner order ; *denying Trial by Jury*, *Denying discovery* in violation of the Constitutional rights pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution which guarantees due process of laws which were violated , *as record evidence will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed* ;

In *Giozza v. Tierman* , 148 U.S 657,662 (1893) Supreme Court ruled : “ *Undoubtedly the Fourteenth Amendment forbids any arbitrary deprivation of life, liberty or property and secures equal protection to all under like circumstances in the enjoyment of their rights .* ”

- Affirming multiple abuses of multiple, multi-level, multi-layer sanctions as punitive sanctions , on an unproven contempt *as record evidence will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed;*

“Sanctions *even if allowed* are compensatory, rather than punitive *Mine Workers v. Bagwell*, 512 U. S. 821, 826–830 .”

- Affirming a judgment of over \$ 400,000 in legal fees for *zero dollars claims* proved *as record evidence will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed;*
- Affirming judgment on new claims brought in after two years by Appellee Teledyne, after appellee Teledyne *forfeited /waived such claims* ; ‘made up’ new claims *after the appellant asked the district court to set claims to zero*, and as asked of Appellant by this Seventh circuit in order to accept jurisdiction on the appeal *as record evidence will demonstrate and as argued in briefs filed;*

“ *Kontrick v. Ryan*, 540 U.S. 443, 458 (2004) (“*Although jurists often use the words interchangeably, forfeiture is the failure to make the timely assertion of a right; waiver is the intentional relinquishment or abandonment of a known right.* ” (citations, internal quotation marks, and alterations omitted)). See also *Freytag v. Commissioner*, 501 U.S. 868, 895 (1991); *Weigand*, supra note 1, at 182-83” . ”

- Affirming the admittance of uninformed ‘expert’ testimony in violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)

This very Seventh circuit ruled in another case “plaintiff /Appellee *had failed to disclose any experts, or provide any expert reports*, in violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)” *Cripe v. Henkel Corp.*, No. 17-1231 (7th Cir. June 7, 2017) . The only difference from *Cripe* to this petitioner case is the defendant *Henkel* is a big corporation whereas this appellant/petitioner is a “ little guy”.

6. Knowing fully well , as the record evidence and briefs will show, the appellate court panel even pitched in for Appellee Teledyne that Appellant failed to object the “walk-in” witness, hence waived! Whereas the record evidence and the briefs will show this “wall-in” witness was never identified as expert.

6. Petitioner has a high probability that the United States Supreme Court will accept the petition for Writ of Certiorari just on the face of it as to overwhelming abuses *sprayed* all over the June 25th 2018 order with arbitrary conclusions, not *supported by record evidence* , not *supported by Facts* and *not supported by briefs filed* by the appellant /Petitioner, or even the appellee, besides deliberate antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner.

*“No man is so high that he is above the law. All the officers of the government from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law, and are bound to obey it. No officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. *United States v. Lee* , 106 U.S 196, 220,1 S.Ct 240,27 L.Ed.171 (1882)”*

7. Petitioner had spoken to former Seventh Circuit Judge Hon. Judge Richard Posner as to retaining him for the Supreme court appeal and appellant attorney Michael Leonard had sent him the voluminous materials and yet to hear from Judge Posner.

8. Nevertheless, Petitioner has been interviewing many Attorneys in Washington, D.C area who exclusively practices in United States Supreme court , seeking to recruit to file a petition for Writ of Certiorari .

9. Due to voluminous record, Petitioner needs additional time of ninety days either to file Petition through attorneys he hires in D.C. area or by himself as *prose*. *One way or the other* the Petition for Writ of Certiorari will be filed in United States Supreme Court .

10. Petitioner /Appellant was initially intended to file a Petition for Rehearing for which he had 30 days to file June 25, 2018 . However , due to hurried disposal of the mandate in 20 days , petitioner was denied and pre-empted from filing the Petition for Rehearing and also evaporated 21 days due to unexpected , hurried return of the mandate.

11. There are many, many important facts and questions determined adversely by the Seventh circuit in it final order and Petitioner believes United Supreme court will accept the petition for writ of certiorari based on flagrant abuses of authority and abuse of Federal judiciary by the district Court and Seventh circuit by allowing half million dollar judgment for legal fees - to stay with no proof of anything; no evidence presented to support anything ; no reason given in *dismissing the counter claims* as punitive sanctions- unrelated to alleged contempt , in an one liner decision.

12. The dismissal of counter claim was added “just like that” *capriciously as icing* of the *multiple abuses* on the *already excessive sanctions* of over \$ 400,000 in legal fees on *zero dollars* of damages proved by the Appellee Teledyne.

13. U.S. Constitution provide for the right to a Trial by jury. Article III, Sec. 2 provides “*In all suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of*

trial by jury shall be preserved and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise reexamined by an court of the United States." The record evidence will show this petitioner/appellant/counter plaintiff was not only denied a jury Trial , was even denied the basic *discovery* and his due process rights were *repeatedly denied* .

14. There are voluminous abuses and constitutional treason and judicial treason in this case, where many laws statutes s are violated in the district court mission to have this petitioner rights violated from the start to finish, as a *retaliation for filing Dkt.60 and its Exhibits*, which all affirmed by the Seventh circuit. The judicial abuses started on March 10, 2015 by granting an *ex parte, unnoticed* motion for Preliminary injunction within 5 day of filing.

VIOLATION OF Notice Requirement of PI motions by Lower court

Violations

i) Local Rule 5.3 : Where the service is by mail, the notice and documents shall be mailed **at least seven days** before the date of presentment.

ii) Rule 5 (b) E Service: A party must **consent in writing for notices** by electronic means ; c) Even e-mail notices, even if it had been successfully sent, Federal courts have held is insufficient notice. *Sterling Commercial Credit-Michigan LLC v. Phoenix Indus. I, LLC*, 752 F.Supp.2d 8 (D.D.C. 2011) All of them are violated besides violating Local Rule 78.2

15. Petitioner has an *inalienable constitutional right* to Petition the United States Supreme court and that right *cannot be snatched* as that right is guaranteed by the United States constitution and is the *exclusive jurisdiction* of the United States Supreme court.

16. This application is filed timely within ten days prior to the due date the Petition for Writ of certiorari is due . (Petitioner is not an electronic filer; petitioner goes by the *post mark date*

of September 17, 2018 in calculating 10 days; nevertheless the Clerk will receive no later than September 26, 2018 which will be still within 10 days prior to due date).

17. Petitioner will also be filing a Petition to proceed in *informa pauperis* to Waive fees and to allow 10 copies of the petition for writ of certiorari to be filed.

18. The following important ignored facts from record evidence and questions which were determined adversely by the court in its final order of June 25, 2018 will be addressed in the Certiorari, besides many, many other issues and abuses in the 40 pages Petition :

a) Western digital External drive 1

Petitioner/Appellant Mr. Shekar had given sworn *unrebutted, unopposed affidavits* repeatedly on multiple filings that he never had this External drive.

Record evidence will show the five affidavits given by three Teledyne employees over the two year period in the litigation in District court are provable perjury *beyond reasonable doubt*; the expert witness "testimonies" are all perjured. For e.g. Roffman, the "expert witness" testified three external drives allegedly connected to Teledyne laptop on February 3, 2015, after Petitioner Mr. Shekar was wrongfully terminated as a *whistle blower*. Whereas the evidence showed, including evidence presented by Teledyne *own documents subpoenaed from Western Digital*, entirely to the contrary - one of the western digital external drives *was not even sold or shipped to Mr. Shekar*, but was *sold and shipped to someone in California in 2011* when Mr. Shekar was not even employed by Teledyne. (Teledyne headquarters in California). This evidence was never opposed, rebutted in any pleadings in District court or in Appellee response briefs.

b) Western digital External drive 2

Petitioner /Appellant Mr. Shekar had given sworn *unrebutted, unopposed affidavits* repeatedly on multiple filings that , during a business trip in August 2015 to Teledyne plant, he left that this drive at the plant along with 50 DVD discs containing his Intellectual property at the request of his supervisor Shane Green at that time . Green also wanted Mr. Shekar to bring with him, the 50 DVD discs, containing Mr. Shekar's intellectual property and 500 customers, in the prelude to upload to a secure server and create a secure folder for Mr. Shekar only to access remotely . That never happened and Teledyne defrauded the petitioner. (Mr. Shekar was working remotely and the plant is in Tennessee) . None of these *ever rebutted, opposed and countered* with affidavits by Teledyne or by Green as the record will show. In the five years since Teledyne stole Mr. Shekar's intellectual property and his customers, after wrongfully terminating Mr. Shekar, the stock price of Teledyne has tripled to \$ 241 in 2018 from \$70 in 2013 which never happened in 40 years of Teledyne history ; Teledyne reported increase in record sales in history , achieved from the theft Intellectual property of Mr. Shekar and his customers stolen by Teledyne from Mr. Shekar's 50 DVD discs left in Tennessee during his trip in August 2013.

c) Seagate external drive

This third drive allegedly connected on February 3, 2015, per the perjured testimony of the uninformed "walk-in" witness Roffman on April 30, 2015 (who was later magically transformed into 'expert' witness) , the "expert" affidavit itself in a later filing in 2017, (as the record evidence , facts and unrebutted Appellant briefs filed will show) , contradicted and proved the "walk-in" witness named Roffman perjured on April 30, 2015 hearing per his own later affidavit . Roffman in a later affidavit says after a "forensic examination" of this Seagate drive evidenced that the last activity in this drive was on

November 30, 2014 (and not February 3, 2015) when Mr. Shekar was till an employee of Teledyne in good standing. (As stated before, in fact Mr. Shekar received an excellent job performance review in the annual performance report on January 17, 2015 , two weeks prior to his wrongful termination as a whistle blower) .

Even playing devil's advocate, and giving any credence as to the outrageous perjury by the Teledyne expert Roffman , that Mr. Shekar somehow *wiped* the files on November 30, 2014 , there could be no *vicious motive behind* it as Mr. Shekar was still an employee in good standing and Mr. Shekar had no 'crystal ball' that he would be wrongfully terminated several months later so he should copy the files on November 30, 2014 on a long Thanksgiving week end. ¹

Nevertheless, in order to *wipe the files* as perjured by Roffman a "*wiping tool software*" must be installed on the drive first and this so called "fake expert" Roffman could not find any *artifacts or traces* , '*footprints*' such software was installed in this drive , thus making his *wiping story evaporate in thin air*.

However ,due to the antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner, this court has no issue allowing that perjury and testimony , all these record evidence and facts will be briefed in United States Supreme court.

¹ Mr. Shekar initially thought he lost this drive along with the mini projector at the Airport during his business trip to in January 2015. When Teledyne was seeking this drive , Mr. Shekar thought Teledyne was just seeking to return the drive as Teledyne reimbursed the cost of purchase in 2014. So , Mr. Shekar bought a new one and gave it to Teledyne . Mr. Shekar had no clue that the purpose of Teledyne seeking this third drive by Teledyne had a vicious, cunning motive behind it –to fabricate a story that Mr. Shekar copied files from a disabled laptop , where the login password disabled as Mr. Shekar testified since 9.28 A.M of February 3, 2015.

d) Flash drives /Thumb drives

As to flash drives or thumb drives, Petitioner/ Appellant had given *unopposed and unrebutted affidavits and sworn declarations* where those thumb drives are and also identified the names of customers who have them , besides producing all the thumb drives in his possession which were nor even connected . Mr. Shekar further identified with names of the customers who connected their own thumb drives to copy the sale presentation PowerPoint slides from Teledyne laptop during Mr.Shekars business trips. (The 60 slides PowerPoint presentation slides designed and developed by Mr.Shekars is so captivating and audience capturing , Teledyne chose to use Mr.Shekars design and development of the Sales presentation across all Sales Organization for all its Business units even as this date).

Teledyne as a vicious tactic chose not to contact any of those customers or produce counter affidavits from customers , as that would prove and corroborate Mr. Shekar's affidavit and prove their expert witness lied and committed perjury. Teledyne who have been indicted multiple times for criminal fraud by United States Dept of Justice, Defense Criminal Investigation, (see **Exhibit A**), wanted to maintain their fraudulent and perjured story as to these thumb drives that Mr.Shekars used to copy Teledyne files; so contacting the customers which Mr.Shekars identified, will send "expert" Roffman and the Teledyne witnesses to Prison for perjury. Hence Teledyne decided not to contact any of the customers Petitioner/Appellant identified in his unopposed affidavit.

Again, due to the antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner, this court has no issue ignoring the record evidence , facts , affidavits and declarations by the appellant and all these record evidence and facts will be briefed in United States Supreme court.

e) *iPhone:*

Mr. Shekar testified he was waiting to have a counsel retained to handle the transaction of iPhone and other items asked for in TRO. After attorney Borcia appeared, he co-ordinate the items to be produced including iPhone, Printer etc. Borcia gave an affidavit that no *pass code* was needed when he turned the iPhone on and that he was able to access the main screen once the iphone turned on without inputting any *pass code*, before he produced to Teledyne.

Nevertheless, Mr. Shekar also testified, (which appellee/Plaintiff Teledyne already knew the facts as that) that all and any E mails in iPhone are automatically *backed up in real time* with Teledyne E mail server instantly, and hence there was /is no *secret Email* sitting in iPhone. Any calls made from iPhone are also available to Teledyne in their Telephone bills. Ignoring all these facts and record evidence, the antagonistic and hostile court affirmed and even pitched in for Teledyne in oral argument that Mr. Shekar had the iPhone for several days after he was asked to produce and hence the *late fee of \$ 450,000 is justified for producing late!!!*

Additionally the Court also argued in oral argument on behalf of appellee Teledyne that an iPhone new *pass code* could be *remotely added* which even appellee Teledyne or their “expert” did not think of that as it will be a perjury. Even *Tech Gurus* like Bill Gates or inventor of iPhone or its CEO Steve Jobs or their engineers cannot remotely add a *pass code*, especially on an iPhone produced to Teledyne who is the registered owner of the iPhone. On the flip side, the court’s own argument made on behalf of Appellee Teledyne in oral argument supports this Petitioner. Appellant testimony that Teledyne is the one did something on the iPhone “remotely” as they are the registered owner of the iPhone and in

possession of the iPhone and could have done any criminal mischief they wanted in the iPhone .

Irrespective of all these , the purpose of seeking the iPhone in the first place by Teledyne was to see any Email sent or received in iPhone by the time Mr.Shekhar was wrongfully discharged as whistle blower to the time he produced. But, as Mr.Shekhar testified , and as stated before any Emails, phone calls are all “backed up” in “real time” in Teledyne Email server , an *unrebutted testimony and affidavits filed by Mr.Shekhar*. As stated before There are no secret Emails hiding somewhere in the iPhone as Teledyne already aware of that is an impossibility as all E mails in iPhone are *real time* backed-up in Emails server . so whether appellant turned it over late by two weeks after he retained a counsel or after 200 years make no difference. However the court found that in oral argument *\$450,000 dollars late fees are justified!!*

Again, due to the antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner, this court has no issue ignoring the record evidence , facts , affidavits and declarations by the appellant and all these record evidence and facts will be briefed in United States Supreme court.

f) Answers to Interrogatories

Petitioner. Appellant Mr.Shekhar has given sworn, verified, *unrebutted, unopposed answers to Interrogatories multiple times.* Never for over a year and up to until the final order on August 22, 2016 , Teledyne ever raised any opposition, questions on the sworn Answers to Interrogatories by Appellee. Even Appellee Teledyne never raised these interrogatories are not in compliance in their response briefs. So what the sanctions for?

Again, due to the antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner, this court has no issue ignoring the record evidence , facts , affidavits and declarations by the appellant and all these record evidence and facts will be briefed in United States Supreme court.

The final order of August 22, 2016 is all *made up , fabricated* by overruling on matters *even Teledyne /Appellee/ Counter defendant agreed upon*. It is unfathomable and such outrageous miscarriage of justice and travesty of justice , after more than a year of proving that Mr.Shekhar had fully complied with the June 17th, 2015 order , the entire June 17th, 2015 order was repeated on August 22, 2016 , as if Mr.Shekhar's *unopposed* certificates of compliance, *unopposed* affidavits of compliance , doesn't matter a thing to follow the law and render justice ; and the Seventh Circuit court repeated the entire order of August 22, 2016 and June 17, 2015 in its final order of June 25,2018 as if the record evidence, brief argument , reply brief all doesn't matter or never existed ; never applied those facts, followed the law or rendered justice in the case.

Again due to the antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner, this court has no issue ignoring the record evidence , facts , affidavits and declarations by the appellant and all these record evidence and facts will be briefed in United States Supreme court.

g) Mini Projector

Petitioner /Appellant gave sworn testimonies, unopposed affidavits and unopposed declarations the he lost the mini projector during a business trip in January 2015 at an Airport; that he reported the loss to his supervisor Shane Green . None of which was opposed by the Appellee and was not one of the items to be produced in the June 17, 2015

order anyway . However the District court and the Seventh circuit gladly , zealously *traversed additional miles* on behalf of Teledyne and included that for sanctions and awarded seven times the depreciated value of the mini projector which had a depreciate value \$ 150 . Teledyne was given a judgment seven times the value with no proof, discovery, evidence. This is just one of the many , many “windfalls” and “Lotteries ” enjoyed by appellee Teledyne with the help of District court thereafter with the help of Seventh Circuit by way of the final order.

h) *Mr.Shekhar was not squeezed out:*

Mr.Shekhar received an excellent job performance review in the annual performance report on January 17, 2015 , two weeks prior to his wrongful termination as a whistle blower which will disprove the antagonistic, hostile , sadist remark in June 25, 2018 order that Mr.Shekhar was “squeezed out” .

Again, due to the antagonistic and hostile position towards this petitioner, this court has no issue ignoring the record evidence , facts , affidavits and declarations by the appellant and all these record evidence and facts will be briefed in United States Supreme court.

19. In addition to all the foregoing facts, record evidence , constitutional violations, Petitioner will also address in the United States Supreme court Petition for Writ of Certiorari that the Jude 25, 2018 order is void and nullity and has no effect due to *extreme conflict of interest* , which the Oral Argument Panel was very well aware of long before oral argument , as soon as the case was assigned and should have disqualified or recused themselves for reason as shown in the following paragraphs .

20. Appellant/Petitioner could not have known the panel earlier and Appellant /petitioner is pre-empted from filing any Motion to disqualify /Recusal in advance , due the fact that the panel is kept secret till the last minute, was not announced until the morning of the oral argument and is a secretive operation found only in Seventh Circuit .

21. Petitioner/Appellant has no doubt the appellant would have gotten an entirely a different resolution and would have won the appeal in every count if the appeal was assigned to a different panel , just based on sheer facts, record evidence, truth and appellant briefs as narrated in foregoing paragraphs. All these facts, record evidence and unrebutted/unopposed briefs have been *swept away* with a *broomstick* like a trash , by the panel due to the antagonism, anger and hostility towards this petitioner by the panel to arrive at an unjust, unfair and unconstitutional decision.

22. Petitioner will raise the following conflict of interest in the Petition for writ of Certiorari to vacate and have the June 25, 2018 final order nullity and void .

Conflict of Interest

Disqualification of Circuit Judges EASTERBROOK AND SYKES

The final order of June 25, 2018 is a nullity , void and has no effect due to *severe conflict of interest, severe bias, prejudice, hostility antagonism* by the panel towards this Petitioner/Appellant. The naked aggression of the Petitioner's Constitutional Rights as explained in the foregoing paragraphs and the final order is just the fruit of the "poisonous tree" as explained below.

The retaliation, vendetta is so obvious and clear like *day and night*, from the *tone and tenor*, the language and threats of persecution, to shut the door to the Petitioner from access to Courts to redress grievances in a court of law, a fundamental

constitutional right guaranteed to every citizen by the United States Constitution in Bill of Rights.

In the year 2012, Mr. Shekar filed a Petition for Impeachment of Circuit judge Easterbrook and Circuit judge Sykes on an unrelated matter, where Cj .Sykes (from Wisconsin) entered detrimental orders against this applicant/appellant to protect a Corporation a Wisconsin Insurance company- American Family Insurance- who was sued by Mr. Shekar for denial of a catastrophic Home Owner's Insurance claim . That Petition for Impeachment as **Exhibit B** . Cj Sykes and Cj. Easterbrook in that panel "*fixed the case*" for American Family Insurance including, (with no law or statute or constitutional provision to support) that American Family cannot be sued in any court , anywhere including *State court in Wisconsin*. Attached is **Exhibit C** from a Judicial Rating website which rate Judges and is self explanatory as to why Cj.Sykes and Cj. Easterbrook entered such a detrimental and devastating order , as this national rating in a "public website' clearly forewarns and indicates that these jurists unfortunately assigned to Mr.Shekars appeal are for big corporations and not for "little guy" , whether that little guy represented as *prose or through an attorney*, makes no difference like in this appeal.

This is evident form the fact the appellee in this case Teledyne "gotten away with murder" , *murder on justice with* plenty of assistance from the District court and the Seventh circuit ; gotten away with multiple provable perjuries which the petitioner will prove beyond reasonable doubt if the Teledyne witnesses indicted for perjury. Just from the sheer *naked* evidence presented in this Application is more than adequate to get a guilty verdict on the perjured witnesses produced by Teledyne in District court proceedings .

Circuit Judge Posner who resigned out of frustration in September 2017 has since been very vocal and outspoken as to how the Seventh circuit treat the “little guys” like a dirt as if they have no right to access to courts , whether the appellant is *pro se* or represented by an attorney makes no difference, if *he is not a corporation*. This is further evident from the fact that in *Cripe* (ironically an opinion by Cj.Easterbrook) how the Henkel Corporation survived the dismissal of the claims by Cripe , a dismissal based on violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) ” *Cripe v. Henkel Corp.*, No. 17-1231 (7th Cir. June 7, 2017) . Whereas in the same scenario in this petitioner appeal, the appellant being a “little guy” and the Appellee being a Corporation, the tables are *turned around to favor the corporation*. This an ample dose of double standards in justice, even if it amounts to going against one own precedence in *Cripe*. (opinion by Cj.Easterbrook) .

Judge Posner also has been outspoken and critical of how law clerks (who has inherent bias, prejudice and bigots) write the draft opinions based on their personal likes and dislikes of an appellant or his race . Judge Posner who wrote all his over 3000 opinions himself, has since then wrote another book “Reforming the Federal Judiciary” which include the dirty hiring practices of law clerk and unscrupulous law clerks .

Knowing fully well and aware of that this Petitioner/ Appellant is the same petitioner who filed an Impeachment petition in 2012 (Exhibit B) , the appeal 17-2171 was deliberately grabbed and picked by themselves by Cj.Easterbrook and Cj.Sykes as a great opportunity “*to get even*” and for the sole purpose to enter detrimental, vindictive and retaliatory orders against this appellant- when judicial cannons of Ethics and demand they not sit in the panel or hear any cases where Mr. Shekar is a party simply due to conflict of interest as explained above (Exhibit B) .

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE , for reasons stated in the foregoing paragraphs and good cause shown , Petitioner/Applicant seeks leave of ninety (90) days be granted to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari to The United States Supreme court.

Further for the reasons stated in the in the foregoing paragraphs and good cause shown , Petitioner/Applicant seeks that this Application ruled by a different Circuit Judge due to conflict interest (Exhibit B) .

Further for the reasons stated in the in the foregoing paragraphs and good cause shown , Petitioner/Applicant seeks Petitioner/Applicant seeks recusal of the panel who heard the appeal and that the Clerk of the Court present this Application to a different Circuit Judge to rule on this Application.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Roger Shekar
Petitioner

September 17, 2018

Roger Shekar P.E; M.B.A; LL.B
950 Plum Grove
P.O. Box 681085
Schaumburg, Il 60168-1085

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Roger Shekar certify that on September 17, 2018 served the foregoing Application to the appellee Teledyne to the address in file via by U.S Mail with proper postage affixed and depositing the same at the U.S Post office in Carol Stream, Illinois on September 15, 2018 to the address of Teledyne Technologies, 1049 Camino Dos Rios, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360



Roger Shekar