

Case No. 17-1581

**THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES**

**In Re: Jean Coulter
Petition for Writ of Prohibition**

**Emergency Motion for Stay of Proceedings
in the Third Circuit**

By:
Jean Coulter, Petitioner
620 Butler Crossing #3,
PMB 172
Butler, Pennsylvania 16001
412-616-9505

PETITION FOR STAY MUST BE GRANTED, AS RELIEF IS NOT AVAILABLE IN
ANY OTHER COURT OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER

An Order for Stay of Proceedings is required as the Third Circuit has denied Coulter's Motion for Stay which is based on the need to permit time for this court to rule on Coulter's pending Petition for Writ of Prohibition. It is patently obvious that the jurists in the Third Circuit clearly intend to proceed with En Banc consideration of Coulter's Petition for Reconsideration in Coulter v. Tatananni and Coulter's Appeal in Coulter v. Dunbar, just as they did in Coulter v. Bissoon – despite the fact that the extreme majority of the jurists involved in the Panel or in the En Banc Reconsideration, would be the same Third Circuit judges who have previously violated Federal Criminal Statutes, for the purpose of protecting “one of their own” - specifically District Judge Cathy Bissoon (from the Western District of Pennsylvania). Indeed, the case of Coulter v. Bissoon was dismissed by these highly biased jurists, and will soon be brought before this court to address those jurists' clearly criminal intent!

It is apparent that the Third Circuit is concerned that when/if judges from outside of the Third Circuit learn of District Judge Bissoon's actions, those judges from outside of the Third Circuit will comply with their Obligations under the Code of Conduct for Federal Judges – and thus Judge Bissoon will be required to “pay” for her crimes. However, the Third Circuit appears to believe that the Supreme Court's extremely low rate of acceptance of cases for under Petitions for Certiorari, will serve to protect Judge Bissoon - as has occurred thus far, in all of the other federal courts.

Thus, Stay of Proceedings is required in order to bring an end to on-going crimes - in the Third Circuit, as well as in the District Court.

MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE THIRD CIRCUIT

JEAN COULTER, Petitioner, files Emergency Motion for Stay of Proceedings in the Third Circuit, asking This Honorable Court to ORDER the Third Circuit to Stay Proceedings in the Third Circuit, in the appeals of Jean Coulter v. Blaze Tatananni, Et. Al. (Third Circuit Case No. 17-3404) and Jean Coulter v. Dunbar, Et. Al. (Third Circuit Case No. 17- 2868) until Coulter's Petition for Writ of Prohibition (Case No. 17-1581 in this court) is decided. In support of this Request, Coulter states :

1.) On May 23, 2018, this court docketed Coulter's Petition for Writ of Prohibition- which asked this court to Prohibit the Third Circuit from taking further action in all three cases :

a.) In the first of these cases, the Third Circuit swiftly affirmed the District Court's dismissal of Coulter's appeal, on the basis that the Civil Action "flows directly" from cases in the State Court, ostensibly violating Judge Bissoon's Vexatious Litigant Order which required that any new case not be "related to" or "arising from" one of two state court cases. However, it must be noted that six (6) of the Defendants in this case are judges from the Third Circuit. Further, the cases actually were only "related" to the extent that Coulter was a Party in each matter. And, Third Circuit also failed to consider the fact that Coulter's pending Petition for Writ of Prohibition, was intended to bar action by any member of the Third Circuit, due to the obvious issues of Bias, both perceived and actual!

On June 25, the Panel denied Coulter's Motion to Stay. The En Banc decision (by an extensive list of those jurists who have already violated Federal Criminal Statutes injuring Coulter), affirmed the Panel's decision on June 26.

b,) The appeal of the second case is based on Judge Cathy Bissoon's

criminal assumption of authority over every case filed by Coulter! In December 2012, Judge Bissoon acted beyond the scope of her legal authority, ordering the Clerk of the Western District of Pennsylvania to assign any case filed by Coulter, directly and exclusively to Judge Cathy Bissoon herself : “(2.) Plaintiff’s filings shall then be submitted to the undersigned ...”

Again, the Third Circuit has attempted to circumvent both Case Law and Rules of Court – to continue to conceal Judge Bissoon's crimes, by again permitting Judge Bissoon's “colleagues” to hear my request for En Banc Reconsideration. **Thus again permitting the judges who have previously concealed Judge Bissoon's crimes, to repeat their part in this grand Criminal Conspiracy!**


c.) The third case covered by the Petition for Writ of Prohibition (filed in this court on May 23), concerns the cover-up of yet another district judge's “improper actions”. The judge in this matter is District Judge Schwab, who is notorious in Western Pennsylvania for his apparently base-less and extreme biases against Parties who appear before him. **Indeed, on more than one occasion, regional news reporters have spoken with representative of the federal court – who have personally assured the public that Judge Schwab will be removed from the bench if there is ever another example of his biases which comes to the attention of the Third Circuit.** Well, that promise was broken during the first appeal of this case. And still, even after being directed to consider the value of Coulter's Claim of Fraud of Inducement, Judge Schwab again chose to ignore the clear “direction” imposed by the Third Circuit, so he could achieve the results he wanted!

2.) In each of these three cases, Coulter directly requested the Third Circuit, to Stay proceedings in order to permit this court to rule on Coulter's Petition for Extraordinary Relief. **The Third Circuit has completely disregarded**

their obligation to submit to the determinations of This Honorable Court! Further, the Third Circuit denied Coulter's Request for Change of Venue in each of Coulter's cases, which argued that the extreme majority of judges in the Third Circuit had already taken steps which constitute the commission of a Federal Felony, which is intended to both shield District Judge Cathy Bissoon, as well as harm Coulter.

WHEREFORE, it is apparent that the Third Circuit has chosen to take whatever steps are necessary to assist District Judge Bissoon in her persecution of Coulter – even willfully accepting a role in the District Judge's crimes. Further, it is obvious that the Third Circuit will continue their crimes, until and unless they are forcibly stopped – either by the actions of This Honorable Court, or perhaps by the actions of Congress. While impeachment is indeed an unusual step, it is believed that, unless This Honorable Court will stop the crimes by the jurists of the Third Circuit (and the District Courts), that the people will demand this of their Congressional Representatives.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jean Coulter', written over a horizontal line.

Jean Coulter, Petitioner

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

June 22, 2018

No. 17-2950

JEAN COULTER,
Appellant

v.

CATHY BISSOON, District Judge;
THEODORE A. MCKEE, Third Circuit Judge;
ANTHONY J. SCIRICA, Third Circuit Judge;
THOMAS MICHAEL HARDIMAN, Third Circuit Judge;
JOSEPH A. GREENAWAY, JR., Third Circuit Judge;
JULIO M. FUENTES, Third Circuit Judge;
THOMAS IGNATIUS VANASKIE, Third Circuit Judge;
MARIE MILIE JONES; JOY FLOWERS CONTI, District Judge;
UNKNOWN EMPLOYEE IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE;
RICHARD G. ANDREWS, District Judge

(W.D. Pa. No. 2-16-cv-01881)

Present: BIBAS, NYGAARD, and FISHER, Circuit Judges

1. Motion by Appellant to Stay
2. Motion by Appellant for Stay of Proceedings

Respectfully,

Clerk/CJG

ORDER

Appellant's motions are denied.

By the Court,

s/ Stephanos Bibas
Circuit Judge

Dated: June 25, 2018

CJG/cc: Renee A. Bacchus, Esq.
Jean Coulter

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

June 22, 2018

No. 17-3404

JEAN COULTER,
Appellant

v.

BLAZE TATANANNI; JEAN TATANANNI;
MORGAN STANLEY; RICHARD E. DIETRICK, JR.;
MICHAEL WILLSON; THOMAS RUSS;
UNKNOWN EMPLOYEE OF MORGAN STANLEY,
in Pittsburgh (known only as "LISA")

(W.D. Pa. No. 2-17-cv-00629)

Present: BIBAS, NYGAARD, and FISHER, Circuit Judges

1. Motion by Appellant to Stay
2. Motion by Appellant for Stay of Proceedings

Respectfully,

Clerk/CJG

ORDER

Appellant's motions are denied.

By the Court,

s/ Stephanos Bibas
Circuit Judge

Dated: June 25, 2018

CJG/cc: Jean Coulter

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

August 8, 2018
BCO-103

Nos. 17-2868 & 17-3495

JEAN COULTER,
Appellant

v.

PAUL LAURENCE DUNBAR COMMUNITY CENTER;
GRACE YOUTH AND FAMILY FOUNDATION; CATHERINE DONNELLY;
HEATHER D. DOVENSPIKE; WILLIAM M. HALLE; JOHN L. WISE, III;
DOUGLAS FROST; LEEANN MEALS; ROBERT PATER; MATTHEW PEROTTI;
CLARICE SHAY; ERIC WEIMER; LOUISE BAULDAUF; JENNIFER LINN;
THE LINN LAW GROUP; MIN OFFSTEIN; LORRAINE J. DIDOMENICO;
JOYCE KLARA; UNKNOWN BOARD MEMBER EMPLOYED BY BUTLER
AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT; UNKNOWN BOARD MEMBER

(W.D. Pa. No. 2-16-cv-00125)

Present: RESTREPO, BIBAS and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

1. Motion by Appellant for Change of Venue and to Stay the Briefing Schedule
2. Motion by Appellant for Stay
3. Motion by Appellant for Stay of Proceedings

Respectfully,
Clerk/CJG

ORDER

The foregoing motions are denied.

By the Court,

s/ Richard L. Nygaard
Circuit Judge

Dated: August 14, 2018

CJG/cc: Ira L. Podheiser, Esq.
Jean Coulter