

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT

JAMES SEAMAN,

Petitioner

v.

WESTFIELD MEDICAL CENER, LP,
ELIZABETH KAHN AND YASIN KHAN,

Respondents


No. 73 MM 2018

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 18th day of June, 2018, the Petition for Leave to File Petition for Allowance of Appeal *Nunc Pro Tunc* is DENIED.

A True Copy Elizabeth E. Zisk
As Of 6/18/2018

Attest: 
Chief Clerk
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania



Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Middle District

June 18, 2018

① Amy Dreihelbis, Esq.
Deputy Prothonotary
Elizabeth E. Zisk
Chief Clerk

601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 4500
P.O. Box 62575
Harrisburg, PA 17106
(717) 787-6181
www.pacourts.us

Rec'd Thursday

June 21, 2018

(By CareGiver) JH

I received Monday 7/9

James G. Seaman
124 South Valentine Drive
Garnet Valley, PA 19060

RE: Seaman, J., Pet. v. Westfield Medical Center
No. 73 MM 2018
Lower Appellate Court Docket No: 2955 EDA 2017
Trial Court Docket No: 2016-C-1397

① Filed April 17, 2018

① Decision June 18, 2018

2 Months to Decide

Dear James G. Seaman:

Enclosed please find a certified copy of an order dated June 18, 2018 entered in the above-captioned matter.

Very truly yours,
Office of the Prothonotary

Per Curiam means its locked
By All Justices (7) (All 3 D.
and denied By whole court.

/hms
Enclosure
cc: Kevin Joseph Hickey, Esq.
The Honorable Carol K. McGinley, Senior Judge

① Amy 1:10 PM → Court Does Not
offer Explanations in A Per
Curiam Order, only OPINIONS.

- Weren't looking at Merits of Case.

- Only looked e reasons why I didn't it
in time. (30 days Superior Court 10/6/17)

⊗ - Defendants didn't File Response to Petition

Visk

Can't Speak w Judge Ex Parte Communication

Liz (No Last Name) Chief Clerk

7/11/2018 - 1 PM - Called Supreme Court

→ Pre Curiam Order → No Statement or Opinion From Court/Judge

Had 14 Days From June 18, 2018 to File An App. for Re-Consideration

Time Frame Lapsed - (Us Supreme or Federal Court Appeal Now)