

No. 18-\_\_\_\_\_

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

GUL JAISINGHANI,

*Petitioner,*

v.

ANIL SHARMA, JILL ANN SHARMA, MALIBU KNOLL LLC, AND MALIBU KNOLL GREEN  
DEVELOPMENT LLC,

*Respondents.*

---

On Petition for a Writ Of Certiorari to the  
California Supreme Court

---

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A  
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

DAVID T. AZRIN  
GALLET, DREYER AND BERKEY, LLP  
845 THIRD AVE., 5<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10022  
Tel: (212)-935-3131  
[DTA@GDBLAW.COM](mailto:DTA@GDBLAW.COM)

*COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER*

---

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the Honorable Anthony Kennedy, Justice of the Supreme Court

Petitioner Gul Jaisinghani respectfully requests this Court extend the time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter by sixty days to and including Monday, September 10, 2018.

Jaisinghani's Petition will challenge the Order by the Supreme Court of the State of California, dated April 11, 2018, which denied review of the Opinion of the Court of Appeal of the State of California, Second Appellate District, dated January 12, 2018. A copy of the Orders are attached as Exhibit A. This Court's jurisdiction will be based on 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

Jaisinghani's Petition is currently due July 10, 2018, sixty days from the date of the California Supreme Court's Order dated April 11, 2018. This Application is being filed pursuant to Rule 13 of this Court more than ten days before that date.

Petitioner is seeking review of the California Supreme Court Order because the California Supreme Court upheld a judgment on an alleged joint venture agreement which violated federal banking and anti-fraud statutes. The California court's enforcement of this agreement violates the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution and Supreme Court precedent going back to McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. 316 (1819), as well as the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Additional time is required to file the Petition for two separate reasons.

First, Petitioner, who is 83 years old and has been an active participant as the party plaintiff in this litigation, has been partially incapacitated due to a serious back injury, and has been required to undergo medical treatment for this injury. In addition, he is undergoing medical evaluation for recently diagnosed cysts in his kidneys. As a result, Petitioner has been unavailable to consult and collaborate fully with counsel to assist in the preparation and formulation of the arguments for the Petition.

Second, Petitioner is in the process of locating and retaining counsel with expertise in Supreme Court cases to assist in the preparation of the Petition, and requires additional time for such counsel to get up to speed on this matter, including review of the record below, relevant legal precedents, and issues in this matter.

No meaningful prejudice would arise from the extension, as this Court would address the Petition in the October 2018 Term regardless of any extension.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner Gul Jaisinghani respectfully requests that the Court extend the time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter up to and including September 10, 2018.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 27, 2018

GALLET DREYER & BERKEY LLP

/s/ David T. Azrin

David T. Azrin  
845 Third Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212)935-3131  
DTA@GDBLAW.com  
*Counsel for Petitioner Gul Jaisinghani*