

FEB 21 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ALBERT J. HAMILTON

AU2583 D-19-169 Low.

P.O. BOX #4000

18A1183

Vacaville CA 95696

Date: May 1, 2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

WASHINGTON D.C. 20543-0001

ALBERT J. HAMILTON

Appellant

vs.

WASCO STATE PRISON et al;

Appellees.

CASE NO: 15-160661-AWE-SAB

NO: 17-16770

CORRECTION MADE SO

(APPELLANT) CAN FILE WRIT
OF CERTIORARI WITH THE
COURT.

NOTICE: Appellant received a US. Letter about the above
Case No. a few days ago. (EXA)(B).

All lower court opinions have been attach like the court
had stated the Rule 13.5

The Appellant was requesting for an extension because the Appellees - Attorney Ken witness for the State of California had filed a "false declaration" in the United States District Court Eastern District of California and Senior District Judge "overlooked" the evidence. Also The United State Court of Appeal for The Ninth Circuit Judges had also "overlooked" the evidence. See the Supreme Court of The United States Federal-Rule 60(b)(6)(3). The copy is attached for proof. EX(C).

The following U.S. Lower Court OPINION Pursuant to Fed. Rule 13.5 are the following. (1) A. COPY of the CDR Lead mail log List. EX(D)

(2) A COPY of The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWI-SAB the 9th Cir. "overlooked" the Facts of the "false declaration" but stamp - "Case dismissed" See EX(D), (Date): 9-14-17.

- (3). A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWL-SAB the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the "false COER declaration" but Stamp "Case dismissed" SEE EX(02). Date: 10.17.17.
- (4) A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWL-SAB the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the "false COER declaration" EX(03). Date: 11.28.17.
- (5). A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Motion to Dismiss. "Court Overlooked" the facts, of the "false COER declaration" EX(04). Date: 12.12.17.
- (6). A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWL-SAB the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the "false COER declaration" but Stamp "Case Dismiss." "Court Overlooked" the facts, of the "false COER declaration" EX(05). Date: 3.26.18.

(7). A Copy of The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir.
Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWI-SAB
the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the false COER declaration
but stated "Case dismissed" SEE LEX (E1). Date: 6.19.18

(8) A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir.
Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWI-SAB
the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the "false COER declara-
ration" but "Stated denied as moot" SEE LEX (E2). Date: 7.25.18

(9). A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir.
Memorandum on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-006-
61-AWI-SAB the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the
"false COER declaration" "but stated denied" SEE LEX (E3)
Date: 8.21.18.

(10). A COPY OF The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir.
Mandate on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWI-
SAB the 9th Cir. "Overlooked" the facts, of the "false
COER declaration" stated Rule 41(a) SEE LEX (E4) Date:
1.18.19.

(11) A COPY of The United States Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Order on Case No. 17-16770 D.C. No. 1:15-cv-00661-AWL-SAB the 9th Cir. "overlooked," the facts of the, "false 2022 declaration" stated all other pending requests are denied SEE LEXES) Date: 1.10.19.

STATEMENT ABOUT JURISDICTIONS

(12) The District Court entered judgment on 6.28.17 (Court Docket #94). "overlooked" the facts, of the false 2022 declaration on 6.27.17 SEE EXLF).

(13) The District Court findings and recommendations on (ELF No. 71 72) but still "overlooked" the facts, of the false 2022 declaration on 5.1.17 SEE LEX F1).

(14) The District Court order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for The 6.28.17 on Doc. Nos 93 95) but still "overlooked" the facts, of the false 2022 declaration on 9.27.17 SEE EXLF2)

(15). The District Court Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment on 4.30.15 and still the court overlooked the facts of the "false LOR declaration" and refused to follow law under Pen. Code §§ 118. See EXF3. Request for this U.S. Court to look down on the facts of Pen. Code §§ 118 and order this case back to District Court Eastern District of California.

ARGUMENT OVER THE JURISDICTION

According to The Black Law Dictionary the word Comity reads the following: The informal and voluntary recognition by courts of one jurisdiction of the laws and judicial decisions of another. That, this U.S. Courts now decision.

Clerical ERROR. it reads the following: A mistake was made with filing the "false LOR declaration". The case evidence was not handled in the right way. The court did not keep the court's records right. Request all clerical errors be corrected and case process in how courts.

Conclusion

if the morally degraded people are not punished and the the morally pure people are not rewarded (both end in the dust alike). It would mean that there is no "justice" in the U.S. Courts where human nature and common sense demands that a Judge should do "justice".

He willen only request this Court of law do "justice"

and hereby order the lower courts to review the false

love declaration case evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and

correct.

Date: May 1, 2019.

Alfred A. Hunsley