


Bruce :. Cearlock Pro Se
285 Lafayette Dr
Roseville, CA 95678

18A1101

April 16, 2019


The Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street St., N.E.
Washington, D.C. 2054



US District Court for the Eastern
District of California
Case Nbr: 2:11-cr-182-TLN-B-1
2:-cr-182-02764-TLN-DB

US Court of Appeals for the Ninth
Circuit
Nbr. 18-16855

Request Extension of Appeal
Date



I received a denial of appeal from the Ninth Circuit of Appeals of a Federal District Court on January 30, 2019, with a 90 day appeal time, which I count as April 30, 2019 (Copy attached).

As an 2+ year old male , I am request a 60 day extension of the original appeal adte due to medical problems .

I am filing as Pro Se, not by choice, but have not been able to retain a lawyer that will accept my case . All research and court procedure has to be done by me. When I am il the process stops.

Since June , 2018, I have been diagnosed as having arterial fibrillation and congestive heart disease: three light strokes: bronchitis : phenomena: a brain seizure and, have undergone surgery for cancer.

In February, 2019, I was diagnosed as having cancer and , underwent surgery ,

Also in February I was admitted to a hospital for stroke symptoms which turned out to be a brain seizure.

My mind has been distracted from my legal issues and I have developed a lack of contcentration similar to attention deficit disorder. If I am at the computer for any period of time I get lightheaded and must stop, thus delaying progress toward any appeal to your court.

I need time to complete my brief and to do a service of process on the Solicitor General.

Bruce L. Cearlock
(916) 474-5918
Email bnccar@gmail.com

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 21 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee,

v.

BRUCE CEARLOCK,

Petitioner-Appellant.

No. 18-16855

D.C. Nos. 2:11-cr-182-TLN-DB-1
2:16-cv-02764-TLN-DB

Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: TALLMAN AND FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.”

Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2);

Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 28 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

BRUCE LEE CEARLOCK,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 18-16855

D.C. Nos. 2:16-cv-02764-TLN-DB

2:11-cr-00182-TLN-DB-1

Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: CANBY and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motion for reconsideration en banc (Docket Entry No. 6) is denied on behalf of the court. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.11.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.