

18A1069

ORIGINAL

In The

Supreme Court Of The United States

Washington, D.C.

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAR 05 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Terry Lee O'Brien

Petitioner

vs

Carla Hacker Agnew et.al.

Respondents

S.G. Case No

COA Case No 18-16962

D.C. Case No CV-17-00166-GMS-DMF

Rule 13 Application For An
Extension Of Time

Comes Now Petitioner Terry Lee O'Brien bringing

Application For An Extension Of Time. The additional

amount of time requested is 270 days. Whereby the

petitioner needs the extension to be able to perfect

his Petition For Writ Of Certiorari by legal representation

upon release from prison.

The specific reasons why the granting of an extension

is thought justified is stated hereafter:

RECEIVED

APR 17 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Accordingly, The Third Amended Complaint is very complex. The Complaint, four independent constitutional amendment claims written to be construed as such:

(1) The Prison officials and subordinate defendants in claims one through seven and eleven violated my First Amendment rights, acted in concert, engaged in a course of conduct in overt acts in furtherance of the offense of targeting, retaliation, sexual harassment and psychological punishment when the petitioner was never convicted of a sex crime. The courts failed to reach the merits of the claim by reasonably applying case authority laws, the First Amendment rights, 42 USC § 1983 Ch 22 § 418 and § 419 or rulings by this Supreme Court Of The United States, Appellate Circuit Court, another Appellate Circuit Court,

or their own courts precedent and substantially effects a
rule of National Application in which there is an overriding
need for National Uniformity.

(2) The prison officials and subordinate defendants in claims
one through seven and eleven violated my First Amendment
rights, acted in concert, engaged in a course of conduct in
overt acts in furtherance of the [above] offence by
retaliation transferring plaintiff to another prison complex
to interfere, obstruct or block the filing of the 42 USC § 1983
lawsuit and grievances. The courts failed to reach of the merits
of claim by reasonably applying case authority laws, the First
Amendment rights, 42 USC § 1983 Ch 22 § 418 and § 419 rulings
by this Supreme Court Of The United States, Appellate
Circuit Court, another Appellate Circuit Court, or their

own courts precedent and substantially effects a rule of National Application in which there is an overriding need for National Uniformity.

(3) The prison officials and subordinate defendants in claims one through seven and eleven and after transfer continued to violate my First Amendment and Eighth Amendment rights, acted in concert, engaged in a course of conduct in overt acts in furtherance of the offense, above (1) and (2), by inflicting unconstitutional and inhumane conditions of control, manipulation, targeting, retaliation, harassment and psychological punishment with an electronic device attached to their security surveillance system. The courts failed to reach the merits of the claim by refusing to recognize or acknowledge more than Forty Four (44)

witnesses signed petition declaration affidavits by failing
to provide a good faith reason for refusal to recognize or
acknowledge and lack of any effort to interview witnesses
in person, in camera or submit interrogatories or taking of
depositions when request for depositions was submitted
to perpetuate witness testimony in case of a future court
hearing to prevent a failure or a delay of justice. The
courts failed to reach the merits of the claims by reasonably
applying case authority laws or creating new case authority
laws for new technology advancements used to control, manipulate,
target, retaliate, harass and psychologically punish inmates, the
First and Eighth Amendment rights, 42 USC § 1983 Ch 22 § 418
and § 419 rulings by this Supreme Court Of The United
States, Appellate Circuit Court, another Appellate Circuit

1 Court or their own Courts Precedent and substantially effects

2
3 a rule of International Application, Universal Declaration Of

4
5 Human Rights Application, Federal Communication Commission

6
7 Rules and Regulations, National Application in which there is

8
9 an overriding need for International, Universal Declaration

10
11 Of Human Rights, Federal Communications Commission and

12
13 National Uniformity (see APPENDIX B "witness list")

14
15 (4) The prison officials and subordinate defendants in claims

16
17 Eight through Ten violated my Eighth Amendment rights, acted

18
19 in concert, engaged in a course of conduct in overt acts

20
21 in furtherance of the offense of Intentionally to deny,

22
23 delay or interfere with a serious medical need (see Appendix

24
25 A) by being deliberate indifferent to ongoing damages,

26
27 ongoing permanent irreversable damages to the spleen,

28

liver and my life by having no intent to provide treatment.

APPENDIX A Shows the newly discovered evidence. The Courts

failed to reach the merits of the claims by reasonably

applying case authority laws, the Eighth Amendment rights, 42 USC

§ 1983 Ch 22 § 418 and § 419 or rulings by this Supreme Court of

The United States, Appellate Circuit Court, Another Appellate Circuit

Court or their own Courts precedent and substantially effects

a rule of National Application in which there is an overriding

need for National Uniformity.

(5) The prison library is woe-fully deficient in legal material

providing no case law documentation and refuses to perform

paralegal research for applicable case law use in the

Petitions, Motions or Other Documents Submitted to the

Court to enable to provide any OPINIONS. USCA Amend 14

(6) Petitioner will obtain legal representation upon his release if extension is granted to perfect his Supreme Court Writ of Certiorari. Since this is the last resort opportunity to present a very complex case, it would only be fundamentally fair to allow the extension. USCA Amend 14

Conclusion

It would be a manifest of injustice by the Supreme Court of The United States not to grant the requested extension of time in order to perfect a petition For Writ OF Certiorari. The case as you can see, is a very complex case and petitioner needs the guiding hand of counsel, without legal counsel representation petitioner may not reach the mandate for Writ of Certiorari, although he has a legitimate claims.

The petitioner suggest the prison officials and subordinate officers are engaged in their course of conduct because of organizational demands more interested in cost containment and liability by the use of the electronic component attached to the surveillance system; (1) So their officers don't have to participate in the targeting, retaliation, harassment and psychological punishment so you can't sue them. 18 USC § 2511(e)(7)-(d) This applies both to persons acting under color of state law and to private citizens not acting under color of law, provided they do not intercept with the purpose of committing any criminal or tortious act. The new surveillance systems listen, record, talk; the 4th amendment and 1st Amendment guarantees limited privacy, dignity and security of persons against certain arbitrary and invasive acts by officers of the state or government. *US v. Amen*, 831 F.2d 373, 378 (2nd Cir 1987) (TYPE III PRISON MONITORING)

Case No.

In The

Supreme Court Of The United States
Washington, D.C.

Terry Lee O'Brien - Petitioner

v

Carla Hacker Agnew et. al. Respondent

Certificate of Compliance

I Terry Lee O'Brien Certify that on this date April 2nd, 2019, as required by the Supreme Court Rules The Petition is in compliance with Double Spaced Lines, within word limits, hand written and proportional words. Petition is 13 Pages.
APPENDIX A, B

Executed this 7 day of April 2019

Terry Lee O'Brien
Terry Lee O'Brien
Petitioner In Pro Se
ADC# 150761

Case No

In The

Supreme Court Of The United States,
Washington, D.C.

Terry Lee O'Brien - Petitioner

v

Carla Hacker Anew et al - Respondent

Certificate Of Service

I Terry Lee O'Brien do swear, declare and certify that on this date April 2nd, 2019, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29. I have served by legal mail the enclosed Petition to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and one copy to Carla Hacker Anew et al. by depositing an envelope containing the Petition and Application To Proceed In Forma Pauperis in the United States mail properly addressed by prison legal mail, Petitioner's 13 Pages, APPENDIX A, B

I further declare and certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my ability and knowledge.

Executed this 7th day of April 2019 Terry Lee O'Brien
Terry Lee O'Brien
Petitioner In Pro Se
ADC# 150761

Otherwise allow petitioner Ninety or One hundred

Eighty day extension to perfect his own writ of certiorari.

The petitioner prays the requested two hundred seventy

days be granted as extension because petitioner will be

released from prison on 11/2/19 and have legal representation

present the writ of certiorari proper and perfected.

Respectfully,

Executed this 7 day of April 2019

Terry Lee O'Brien

Terry Lee O'Brien

Petitioner In Pro Se.

ADC # 150761

ASPC Kingman Gerbal Unit

P.O. Box 3009

Kingman, Ariz. 86402