


18-9711

No. _____

ORIGINAL



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DAVID MEYERS

(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

DIRECTOR HAROLD CLARK VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ^{vs.} VIRGINIA
RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA RECORD No. 170505

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DAVID MEYERS

(Your Name)

WALLENS RIDGE STATE PRISON

(Address) P.O. Box 759

BIG STONE GAP, VA. 24219

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. WAS IT INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BY ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS FAILURE TO MOVE TO STRIKE EVIDENCE OF DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES AND SHEREASA MCDANIELS RIVES FALSE TESTIMONY AT MY JURY TRIAL IN MY CLAIM A1 IN RECORD NO. 170505 AND THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA INTRINSIC FRAUD AND PERJURY IN FALSELY ALLEGING PETITIONER FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT ATTORNEY ROSKINS WAS DEFICIENT BY AFFIDAVITS, EXHIBITS, AND COURT TRANSCRIPTS OF JURY TRIALS AND MY OBJECTIONS TO ROSKINS BEING EFFECTIVE COUNSEL?
2. DID ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS VIOLATE MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS IN HIS FAILURE TO MOVE TO STRIKE TESTIMONIES OF THE DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES, AND HIS ORGANIZED CRIME NETWORK PARTNER SHEREASA RIVES FALSE TESTIMONIES, AND VAGUE IN COURT COMPULSORY PROCESS VIOLATION IDENTIFICATIONS TO AVOID FEDERAL PROSECUTIONS FOR THE LARGE AMOUNT OF CRACK COCAINE, DRUG SCALES, ILLEGAL FIREARMS REMOVED FROM DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES AND THEIR FORTIFIED DRUG HOUSE IN CLAIM A2 IN RECORD NO. 170505?
3. DID ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS MEET THE CRITERIA OF INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BY PREJUDICE MY DEFENSE IN ATTORNEY ROSKINS FAILURE TO RE-QUESTION DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES DURING HIS CROSS EXAMINATION ON HIS ANSWER THAT HE KNEW THE PETITIONER SINCE HE WAS 13 YEARS OLD?
4. DOES THIS STATEMENT AMOUNT TO SUFFICIENCY OF EVIDENCE, AND THE NEIL VS. BIGGER 5 PRONG TEST ON WITNESS ACCURACY BECAUSE DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES FIRST TOLD POLICE ON THE SCENE OF THE ALLEGED ROBBERY AND SHOOTING THAT A CHINESE DELIVERY GUY ROBBED HIM, AND SHOT HIM, AND SHEREASA RIVES FIRST TOLD THE POLICE INVESTIGATORS THAT "ZO" ALONZO WASHINGTON "HER ON AND OFF BOY FRIEND" WAS THE ROBBER. MAURICE RIVES CHANGED THIS STATEMENT AFTER TERRANCE SMITH FALSELY REPORTED TO MAURICE RIVES MOTHER BRENDA RIVES THAT PEOPLE WERE SAYING (DUKE) DAVID MEYERS WAS INVOLVED AND TERRANCE SMITH FALSELY REPORTED THIS INFORMATION TO OFFICER GEIST WHO MET WITH DRUGLORD RIVES, AND TOLD HIM THIS FALSE ALLEGATION. DOES THIS IDENTIFICATION GUESSING AND FALSE ASSUMING COMPLY WITH THE NEIL BIGGER VS. BIGGER 5 PRONG IDENTIFICATION TEST?
5. IS IT INTRINSIC FRAUD - FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA BARRING PETITIONER CLAIM A3 ON THE INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE THAT INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL PAUL ROSKINS FAILED TO OBJECT TO, AND ALLOW THE JURY TO SEE OFFICER GEIST'S VIDEO RECORDING INTERVIEW OF TERRANCE SMITH STATING THAT HE HAD ALREADY WENT TO DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES MOTHER AND FALSELY REPORTED TO HER THAT PETITIONER WAS INVOLVED SO THAT MAURICE RIVES WOULD FALSELY ALLEGE THIS?
6. WAS PETITIONER ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IN NOT LETTING LATITIA BROWDER TESTIFY ON TERRANCE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A
APPENDIX B
APPENDIX C
APPENDIX D
APPENDIX E
APPENDIX F

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES (ON ALL PAGES).	PAGE NUMBER
HILL VS. COMMONWEALTH OF VA. 8 VA. APP 60, 379 S.E. 2d 134 (1989);	All
West vs. Wright, 931 F.2d 262 (4th Cir. 199)	All Pages
Collison vs. Underwood No. 0204-85 (Ct. of Appeals Feb. 5, 1986)	ON ALL PAGES.
Fitzgerald vs. Bass 6 VA. APP. 38, 366 S.E. 2d 615 (1988).	
Superintendent of Powhatan Correctional Center vs.	
BARNES 221 VA. 780, 273 S.E. 2d 558 (1981).	All Pages.
Carter vs. Mitchell, 553 F. Supp. 866 (E.D. VA. 1982).	All Pages.
In RE BROWN, No. 0320 85 (Ct. of Appeals Nov. 22, 1985).	All Pages.
Lacey vs. Palmer, 93 VA. 159, 24 S.E. 930 (1896).	
Ex Parte Rollins, 80 VA. 314 (1885);	Ex Parte Marx, 86 VA
40, 9 S.E. 2d 475 (1889)	(1908) All Pages.
Ex Parte Henry, 14 VA. L. Reg. 596	
DAVIS vs. Smyth, 155 F.2d 3 (4th Cir. 1946)	All Pages
STATUTES AND RULES (ON ALL PAGES).	
Code of VIRGINIA Section 8.01-654	
CROSS REFERENCES - AS TO JURISDICTION OF HABEAS CORPUS	
See Section 17-97. For Provision That Members of The	
General Assembly Must Obey The Writ To Test Legality	
Of Detention Of Persons Held In Custody As Mentally	
Ill, See Sections 37.1-103 Through 37.1-104.2	
VIRGINIA Constitution - Bill Of Rights.	
United States Constitution 5th Amendment, 6th	
AMENDMENT, AND 4th AMENDMENT AND 14th	
AMENDMENT.	
Johns vs. Smyth, 176 F. Supp. 949 (E.D. VA. 1949).	
INTRINSIC FRAUD - PERJURY - FRAUD ON THE COURT IN	
SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA Dismissal Of State Habeas Corpus	
DAVID MEYERS vs DIRECTOR HAROLD CLARKE RECORD No. 170505	
OTHER (ON ALL PAGES).	
DARNELL vs PEYTON, 208 VA. 675, 160 S.E. 2d 749 (1968), All Pages	
PETITIONER DAVID MEYERS FILED A OBJECTION TO DISMISSAL	
Of State Habeas Corpus RECORD No. 170505 ON 04/22/2019, WITH A	
MOTION FOR RECALL OF JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL OF STATE HABEAS	
CORPUS PETITION RECORD No. 170505 AND A MOTION FOR THE	
COURT TO REVIEW NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AND SUBMITTED	
THE ABOVE OBJECTION AND 2 MOTIONS WITH A 2254 FEDERAL	
HABEAS CORPUS PETITION WITH EXHIBITS FOR 2254 PETITION TO	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT RICHMOND DIVISION DUE TO MY	
ATTORNEY TRAVIS GUNN WITHDREW AS COUNSEL AND REFUSED TO	
FILE OBJECTION AND MOTIONS BASED ON SCV INTRINSIC FRAUD PERJURY.	

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts: *The Supreme Court of Virginia Refused To Give Me A COPY OF IT'S OPINION DISMISSAL ORDER AND ATTORNEY GUNN WITHDREW AS COUNSEL.*
The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

ATTORNEY TRAVIS GUNN AND MCBUIRE WOODS ALLEGE THEY RETAIN ALL RECORDS OF THIS CASE AND THE SCV CLERK REFUSE TO GIVE ME A OPINION COPY.
The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Attorney Paul Roskins Violated My Due Process Rights Under The United States Constitution 14th Amendment, To Receive A Full Discovery On All Evidence Collected By The Petersburg Bureau Of Police Department Officers Gregory Beist, Officer Euwes, Crime Scene Technician Officer Eichler At The Alleged Scene Of The Crime On 01-29-2012 Relating To Drug Lord Maurice Rives Being Shot And Robbed By 2 Mask Robbers And The Above Officers Responding To The Scene And Removing 10 Ounces Of Crack Cocaine From Drug Lord Maurice Rives Underwear Stuck To The Zipper Of The Jeans He Was Wearing, And The Above Officers Collection Of Other Illegal Drug Trafficking Paraphernalia, Illegal Firearms And Exculpatory Evidence Collected By The Investigating Officers Above That Was Withheld From The Jury Knowledge To Bring About The Wrongful Conviction Of David Meyers.
2. The Constitutional, And Statutory Provision Involved Is An Accused Right To Discovery And To Receive Due Process Rights To Line Up Procedures And To Be Advised By His Attorney As To The Facts In Which The Accused Is Charged With. The Petitioner David Meyers Was Not Allowed By Attorney Paul Roskins, Petersburg Commonwealth Attorney Cassandra S. Conover Nor Petersburg Circuit Court Judge James Dalton To Call My Alibi Witnesses Sheila Crockett, Ernest Jones, Greg Brown, Tommy Williams, Timmy Browder, And Richard William Pride To Testify At My 03/06/2014 AND 03/07/2014 Jury Trials So The Jury Could Ascertain The Truth That I Was Not Neither Robber Nor Shooter In The Drug Lord Maurice Rives Robbery Shooting Incident On 01-29-2012 Which I Was Wrongfully Convicted In Commonwealth Of Virginia Vs. David Meyers Petersburg Circuit Court Case Nos. CR12001211-01, CR120048-(CR12001248 Through CR12001255-01.
3. My 4th, 5th, 6th, AND 14th Amendment United States Constitution Rights Were Violated Along With My Virginia Constitution Due Process Rights And Procedural Error Violations Were Committed By Attorney Paul Roskins Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel And His Refusal To OBJECT- To Maurice Rives First Counsel In Latasha Henderson Being A Juror At My Trials.

Questions Presented

Smith Confessions, That He Made To DAVID MEYERS, AND LATITIA BROWDER ON THEIR Cellphones ON 01-30-2012, At Around 9 A.M., Was It Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel By Attorney ROSKINS IN Him FAm Failing To Let Ms. Browder Testify To Terrance Smith Telling DAVID MEYERS, AND Ms. Browder How The Robbery, And Shooting Happened, AND All The Details Of The Incident From Terrance Smith's Participation In The Robbery, And Shooting With His Nephew JAMARIAN Smith (The Actual Robbers)?

7. WAS The Supreme Court Of VIRGINIA INTRINSIC FRAUD PERJURY-FRAUD ON The Court In Their "SURMISE OPINION" IN FABRICATING EVIDENCE, TO FORMULATE VIGILANTE JUSTICE DENIALS OF PETITIONER CLAIMS A1, A2, A3, B, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, D1, D2, CLAIM E, CLAIM F, CLAIM G1, G2, G3, G4, G5, G6, G7, G8, G9, G10 TO RACIAL DISCRIMINATE IN ALLOWING The Court To GRANT A EVIDENTIARY HEARING, OR REVIEW OF PETITIONER DAVID MEYERS OBJECTIONS AND EVIDENCE OF INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL FILED IN PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT, AND PETERSBURG GENERAL DISTRICT COURT COURT'S "DOCKET AND CASE FILES," FOR THESE WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS?
8. WAS IT INTRINSIC FRAUD By The Supreme Court Of VIRGINIA, AND VIGILANTE JUSTICE, AND MALVERSATION By The SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA IN THEIR PERJURY IN THEIR OPINION THAT PETITIONER FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS WAS INSUFFICIENT COUNSEL-INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BECAUSE THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA FAILED TO REVIEW ALL OF PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS AGAINST ATTORNEY ROSKINS, AND MOTION FOR NEW APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FILED BY PETITIONER IN PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT PRIOR TO TRIAL ON ATTORNEY ROSKINS THREATS TO MALICIOUS PROSECUTE PETITIONER?
9. Does The Pleadings Of PETITIONER FILED IN PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT, AND PETERSBURG GENERAL DISTRICT COURT, AND EVIDENCE PETITIONER SUBMITTED PRO SE TO THESE COURTS INCLUDING VIRGINIA STATE BAR COMPLAINTS ON ATTORNEY ROSKINS, DRISKILL CONSPIRACY WITH COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY CASSANDRA CONOVER AND JUDGE JAMES D'ALTON TO MALICIOUS PROSECUTE PETITIONER AMOUNT TO EVIDENCE THAT SHOULD HAVE

Questions Presented

(Should HAVE) BEEN REVIEWED, AND TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION BY THE COURT?

10. WAS IT INTRINSIC FRAUD, PERJURY, FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA IN THEIR DISMISSAL OF MY DIRECT APPEAL RECORD NUMBER 150962, AND WITH PERJURY, FALSELY ALLEGING THAT MY APPELLATE CLAIMS WERE NOT PROCEDURE ERROR CLAIMS, BUT HABEAS CORPUS CLAIMS, AND THAT IN THEIR OPINION OF STATE HABEAS CORPUS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL CLAIM A3 IS BARRED AS A DIRECT APPEAL CLAIM, AND THAT ALL CLAIMS PRESENTED IN STATE HABEAS CORPUS RECORD NO. 170505 ARE WITHOUT FACTUAL EVIDENCE AND MERIT?
11. WAS IT INTRINSIC FRAUD PERJURY, AND FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA IN THEIR ATTEMPT TO FALSE REPORT THE VIOLATION OF PETITIONER DAVID MEYERS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO A IMPARTIAL JURY, AND FAIR TRIAL BY ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BY REFUSING TO SUBMIT A OBJECTION DURING JURY TRIALS OF PETITIONER AND FOR A MISTRIAL TO ENTER BY THE COURT DUE TO THE PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT AND PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT OF COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY CASSANDRA CONOVER HAVING MAURICE RIVES FIRST COUNSIN LATASHA HENDERSON BE A JUROR AT MY JURY TRIALS. LATASHA HENDERSON IS A CONVICTED FELON, SHE COERCED AND INFLUENCED BOTH JURY SELECTION PANELIST MEMBERS TO WRONGFULLY CONVICT ME BY FALSE REPORTING TO BOTH JURY PANELIST SELECTIONS THAT I ROBBED HER COUNSIN DRUG LORD MAURICE RIVES IN 1998 BUT WAS FOUND NOT GUILTY. DOES ATTORNEY ROSKINS FAILURE TO MAKE A OBJECTION TO THIS VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS BY THIS FRAUD ON THE COURT AMOUNT TO THE SATISFACTION REQUIREMENT OF STRICKLAND VS. WASHINGTON 2 PRONG TEST?
12. WAS IT INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BY ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS, AND INTRINSIC FRAUD - PERJURY - FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT NOT ADVISING THE JURY THAT PETITIONER IS BLIND, VISUALLY IMPAIRED, INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL, MENTALLY DISABLED, AND HANDICAPPED, AND UNABLE TO STAND AND WALK ON HIS OWN FROM BREAKING MY NECK IN A CAR ACCIDENT AND BEING SHOT

Questions Presented

9 Times, IN WHICH PETITIONER WAS SHOT 3 TIMES IN HIS LEFT HAND AND CANNOT GRASP AND HOLD OBJECTS IN HIS LEFT HAND, AND TORE HIS KNEE CAP APART ALSO IN HIS MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT, AND CANNOT STAND AND WALK WITHOUT A WALKER, WALKING CANE, AND THE COURT TRANSCRIPT OF 03/07/2014 JURY TRIAL SHOWS ATTORNEY ROSKINS DID NOT LET PETITIONER TESTIFY TO THE JURY ABOUT MY PHYSICAL DISABILITIES, UNTIL AFTER THE JURY RENDERED A WRONGFUL CONVICTION ON ALL COUNTS DUE TO ATTORNEY ROSKINS VIOLATING MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO NOTIFY THE JURY OF MY BLINDNESS, PHYSICAL DISABILITIES, BEING HANDICAPPED, AND SHOWING PROOF BY MY CENTRAL STATE MENTAL HOSPITAL EYE PHYSICIAN RECORDS, PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN RECORDS, AND CENTRAL STATE MENTAL HOSPITAL, AND RIVERSIDE REGIONAL JAIL PSYCHIATRIST DR. TUASON, AND JAIL RECORDS OF EATING MY OWN FECELS, AND THROWING FECELS DAILY DURING MY PRE TRIAL CONFINEMENT, AND ON JURY TRIAL DAYS. WAS THIS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION UNDER STRIKLAND VS. WASHINGTON?

13. WAS IT INTRINSIC FRAUD - FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA IN DENYING ALL PETITIONER'S CLAIMS AS FAILING TO DEMONSTRATE AND PRESENT FACTUAL EVIDENCE ON ATTORNEY ROSKINS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL WHERE THE JURY TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS FOR 03/06/14 AND 03/07/14 SHOW PETITIONER ARGUED WITH ATTORNEY ROSKINS AND JUDGE JAMES D'ALTON THAT PETITIONER WANTED TO CALL HIS ALIBI WITNESS EARNEST JONES TO TESTIFY THAT HE DROVE PETITIONER TO HIS ALIBI WITNESS SHEILA CROCKETT'S HOME ON 01/29/2012 AT 7:30 PM, AND THAT JONES TESTIMONY WAS ALIBI WITNESS TESTIMONY BECAUSE JONES NEVER LEFT FROM IN FRONT OF MS. CROCKETT'S HOME BECAUSE HE WAS TALKING TO A FEMALE IN THE PARKING LOT IN FRONT OF MS. CROCKETT'S HOME. WAS IT INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BY ATTORNEY ROSKIN IN HIS REFUSAL TO SUBPOENA GREG BROWN, AND TOMMY WILLIAMS WHO WERE ON THE FRONT PORCH OF JEFFREY GREENE'S 1361 ROME STREET PETERSBURG VA HOME WHEN TERRANCE SMITH AND HIS NEPHEW JAMARIAN SMITH PARKHAM SHOT DRUG LORD MAURICE RIVES IN HIS 1218 ROME STREET HOME AND THEY RAN UP ROME STREET AND WERE EYEWITNESSED BY GREG BROWN, AND TOMMY WILLIAMS AS THE ACTUAL ROBBERS FLEEING FROM THE CRIME SCENE IN ALL BLACK WITH THEIR MASKS (MASKS) OFF. DID PETITIONER'S COMPLAINTS ON ROSKINS TO THE VIRGINIA STATE BAR AND COMPLAINT ON JUDGE JAMES D'ALTON TO JUDICIAL INQUIRY REVIEW COMMISSION AND COMPLAINTS ON SCV CHIEF JUDGE THAT ARE PART OF TRIAL COURT'S RECORD IN THE

Case: ~~7219648~~

David Meyers 1039777
Wallens Ridge State Prison
P.O. Box 759
Big Stone Gap, VA 24219

The Vigilante Justice, Malicious Prosecution, AND Attorney Roskins Deliberate Indifference, Judge D'Alton's Deliberate Indifference, AND Violation OF Petitioner's Due Process Rights To Subpoena Earnest Jones, Greg Brown, Tommy Williams, Timmy Browder, AND Richard Pride To Testify Before The Jury That Petitioner WAS NOT NEITHER ONE OF THE Robbers, OR Shooters IN This Incident?

14. WAS IT A Violation OF Due Process Rights ~~BY~~ BY Commonwealth Attorney Cassandra Conover's Prosecutorial Misconduct IN Conover Refusing To Provide Me A "Full Discovery" OF The 10 Ounces OF CRACK COCAINE Found ON DrugLORD MAURICE RIVES, Drug SCALES, AND Illegal FIREARMS Found ON Rives, AND INSIDE HIS Fortified Illegal Drug House AT 1216 Rome Street Petersburg VA?

15. WAS IT Ineffective Assistance OF Counsel By Attorney Roskins Refusal To Objection To Sherreasa Rives False Testimony That I Came To Their Home The Week Before The Allege Robbery When Roskins Knew I WAS Confined IN Riverside Regional Jail The Week Before This Incident, AND Roskins Failed To Ask The Allege Victim Sherreasa Rives To Be Specific As To The Day That I Came To Their Home The Week Before The Incident Because The Testimony Falls IN The AREA OF "Uncredibility", AND False Testimony, BECAUSE PETITIONER WAS Confined IN Riverside Regional Jail The Week Before The Allege Incident, AND This Would Have Shown

The July I WAS NOT AT Their Home A Week Before, AND These Violent Convicted Felon ORGANIZED CRIME Network CRIME LORD PERSONS MAURICE RIVES, AND Sherreasa Rives Were Giving False Testimony ON Me To Avoid Being Federal Prosecuted For The "10 Ounces OF CRACK COCAINE", AND Drug SCALES, AND "Illegal FIREARMS" Found AT The Scene?

16. WAS IT Ineffective Assistance OF Counsel By Attorney Roskins Refusing To Subpoena Lt. LAURA GRAY AND All Me, AND Sheila CROCKETT Telephone Conversations FROM Riverside REGIONAL JAIL To PROVE Ms. CROCKETT WAS LYING That I WAS NOT AT Her Home AT The Time OF Robbery

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON 01-29-2012 (CORRECT TIME OF INCIDENT IS UNKNOWN DUE TO PETERSBURG COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY, ATTORNEYS PAUL ROSKINS, STEPHEN P. HANNA, STEPHEN NOVEY, TERRY DRISKILL, PETERSBURG BUREAU OF POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICERS GREGORY GUST, CRIME SCENE TECHNICIAN EICHLER AND EUWES, PETERSBURG GENERAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGES LUCRETIA CARRICO, JUDGE JONES, PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES JAMES D'ALTON, JUDGE PAMELA BASKERVIL, COURT OF APPEAL OF VIRGINIA CLERK CYNTHIA MCCOY, COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA JUDGES IN RECORD NOS. 1263-14-2 AND RECORD NO. 1744-13-2, SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA JUDGES IN RECORD NOS. 150962, AND 170505, VIRGINIA ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK RANKING HERRING, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS BENJAMIN KATZ AND ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL EUGENE MURPHY VIGILANTE JUSTICE, FRAUD ON THE COURT - INTRINSIC FRAUD - PERJURY - MALVERSATION IN THEIR MALICIOUS PROSECUTION OF ME AND WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS BROUGHT ABOUT FROM

THEIR INTRINSIC FRAUD - PERJURY - FRAUD ON THE COURT, VIOLATION OF MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO A FULL DISCOVERY OF THIS INCIDENT AND ATTORNEYS PAUL ROSKINS, STEPHEN HANNA, STEPHEN NOVEY, TERRY DRISKILL'S REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE ANY INFORMATION TO ME ABOUT THIS CASE PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT NOS. CR12011-1211-01, CR12001248 THROUGH CR1200-1255 AND NO ONE OF THE VICTIMS, DETECTIVES, PROSECUTORS NOR COURT OFFICIALS AT JURY TRIALS ON 03/06/2014 AND 03/07/2014 IN PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT OR COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA, SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA, NOR OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ASSIGNED COUNSELS OF THE RECORD AAG - EUGENE MURPHY OR AAG - BENJAMIN KATZ HAVE PROVIDED ME ANY DUE PROCESS NOTIFICATION OF THE EXACT TIME OF THE ALLEGED ROBBERY AND SHOOTING INCIDENT). DRUG LORD MAURICE RIVES AND HIS ORGANIZED CRIME NETWORK MEMBERS SHEREASA RIVES, EDWARD AND THE ABOVE TITLE 18 SECTION 1962C AND 1962D COCONSPIRATORS TO PROTECT AND FURTHER FACILITATE DRUG LORD MAURICE RIVES, SHEREASA MCDANIELS RIVES, AND EDWARD FOX'S ILLEGAL DRUG TRAFFICKING CONTINUAL CRIMINAL ENTERPRISES ALLEGED DRUG LORD MAURICE RIVES, SHEREASA RIVES AND THEIR HITMAN EDWARD FOX WERE SMOKING A LOT OF MARIJUANA (MARIJUANA) ABOUT TO HAVE A COCAINE PARTY. WHEN 2 MASK MEN KNOCKED ON THEIR FRONT DOOR AND DRUG LORD MAURICE RIVES OPENED THE FRONT DOOR

AND SOMEONE SLAPPED HIM WITH A GUN. ALL 3 DRUG TRAFFICKERS
 INCLUDING PROSECUTOR CASSANDRA S. CONOVER, OFFICERS GREGORY
 GEIST, CRIME SCENE TECHNICIANS EICHLER AND EUWES,
 ATTORNEYS PAUL ROSKINS, STEPHEN P. HANNA, STEPHEN NOVEY,
 TERRY DRISKILL, COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA CLERK CYNTHIA
 MCCOY, AND CLERK DOUGLAS ROBLEN AND SUPREME COURT
 OF VIRGINIA JUDGES IN THIS MATTER ALL HAVE ADDED AND
 CREATED THEIR OWN EYE WITNESS ACCOUNT AND SUPPLIED
 DELUSIONAL UNTRUTHFUL OPINIONS* AND FABRICATED UNTRUTHFUL
 VERSIONS OF THE ALLEGED INCIDENT TO CARRY OUT VIGILANTE
 JUSTICE, REPRISAL, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, WRONGFUL
 CONVICTIONS ON ME FOR MY PROSECUTIONS OF ORGANIZED
 CRIME NETWORK LEADERS, MEMBERS, AND RACKETEERING
 INFLUENCE CORRUPT ORGANIZATION CONTINUAL CRIMINAL
 ENTERPRISES OPERATED BY RIVES, CONOVER AND
 SEVERAL PETERSBURG BUREAU OF POLICE DEPARTMENT
 OFFICERS. A DVD VIDEO RECORDING OF OFFICER
 GREGORY GEIST AND OFFICER ROSEVELT HARRIS (OF
 THE PETERSBURG VIRGINIA HARRIS CRIME FAMILY
 - UPTOWN FIFTH WARD GANG) SHOWS TERRANCE SMITH
 GIVING OFFICER HARRIS A FIFTH WARD UPTOWN GANG HANDSHAKE
 AND TERRANCE SMITH HUGS OFFICER HARRIS AND THEN
 TELLS OFFICER GEIST THAT HE HAD ALREADY WENT TO
 DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES MOTHER BRENDA MAY'S
 RIVES AND TOLD HER A LIE THAT PEOPLE ARE SAYING
 (DUKE) DAVID MEYERS IS INVOLVED. DETECTIVE
 GEIST WENT TO THE HOSPITAL AND FALSE REPORTED THIS
 TO DRUGLORD MAURICE RIVES WHO HAD ALSO HAD BEEN
 GIVEN THIS FALSE REPORT EARLIER BY HIS MOTHER BRENDA
 RIVES. DETECTIVE GEIST REMINDED DRUGLORD MAURICE
 RIVES THAT 10 OUNCES OF CRACK COCAINE, DRUG SCALES
 WITH ~~Residue~~ RESIDUE OF CRACK COCAINE AND ILLEGAL FIREARMS
 WERE REMOVED FROM OFF DRUGLORD MAURICE AT THE ALLEGED
 ROBBERY-SHOOTING SCENE, AND THAT HE WOULD BE FEDERAL
 PROSECUTED IF HE DID NOT HELP GEIST AND CONOVER WRONGFULLY
 CONVICT DAVID MEYERS. MAURICE RIVES SWORE TO THE POLICE AT
 THE SCENE OF THE INCIDENT THAT A CHINESE DELIVERY GUY
 SHOT (SHOT) AND ROBBED HIM AND SHE REASA SWORE TO POLICE AT THE
 SCENE OF THE INCIDENT IT WAS ZO (ALONZO WASHINGTON) WHO
 DID THE ROBBERY AND SHOOTING OF MAURICE RIVES.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PETITIONER'S WRIT OF CERTIORARI OF SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA DISMISSAL OF STATE HABEAS CORPUS RECORD NUMBER 170505 IS FILED IN GOOD FAITH FOR THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT TO ISSUE CERTIORARI TO SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA TO CORRECT ITS IRREGULARITIES DUE TO INTRINSIC FRAUD - PERJURY - FRAUD ON THE COURT IN ITS VIGILANTE JUSTICE AND DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TOWARD THE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AND VIOLATION OF PETITIONER'S DUE PROCESS RIGHTS AT HIS JURY TRIALS FOR PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT NOS. CR1200 1211-01, CR12001248 THROUGH CR1200-1255 CAUSED BY ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS. THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA IN RECORD NUMBER 170505 FAILED TO REVIEW ALL OF PETITIONER DAVID MEYERS OBJECTIONS AND MOTIONS FILED PRO SE THAT ARE PART OF THE TRIAL COURT'S RECORD AND DOCKET AT PETERSBURG CIRCUIT COURT AND PETERSBURG GENERAL DISTRICT COURT COURT CASE FILE IN THESE WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS THAT ESTABLISH PRIMUM FACIE EVIDENCE ALONG WITH DIRECT EVIDENCE THAT PETITIONER HAD FILED NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS TO THE VIRGINIA STATE BAR ON ATTORNEYS PAUL ROSKINS, STEPHEN HANNA, STEPHEN NOVEY, TERRY DRISKILL MALICIOUS PROSECUTION OF PETITIONER, AND HAD SUBMITTED EVIDENCE OF PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT OF PROSECUTOR CASSANDRA CONOVER AND JUDGES, CARLEO, JONES, BASKERVIL AND JAMES D'ALTON MISCONDUCT TO THE JUDICIAL INQUIRY AND REVIEW COMMISSION IN WHICH PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY

TRAVIS GUNN ESQ IN STATE HABEAS CORPUS RECORD NUMBER 170505 FAILED TO SUBMIT THE ENTIRE COURT'S CASE FILE SO THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA WOULD HAVE MORE THAN ENOUGH FACTUAL EVIDENCE TO CONCLUDE THAT ATTORNEY PAUL ROSKINS WAS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AND PETITIONER PRO SE FILED OBJECTIONS TO ATTORNEY ROSKINS MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, INTIMIDATING SHEILA CROCKETT TO CHANGE HER ALIBI STATEMENT BECAUSE PROSECUTOR CONOVER WOULD WRONGFULLY CONVICT HER OF PERJURY, PREJUDICED PETITIONER'S DEFENSE AND IF NOT FOR ATTORNEY ROSKINS DIMINISHING MY DEFENSE, AND ASSISTING IN THE MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, AND FRAUD ON THE COURT FALSELY ALLEGING EARNEST JONES WAS NOT ALIBI WITNESS AND GREG BROWN, TOMMY WILLIAMS, TIMMY BROWDER, LATITIA BROWDER, RICHARD PRIDE WERE NOT WITNESSES AND ALIBI WITNESSES WAS DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, AND PREJUDICE MY DEFENSE RESULTING IN MY WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS.

CONCLUSION

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

David Meyers

Date: 06/11/2019