

2019

NO. 18-9706

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SCOTT PETERS PROSE

PETITIONER

VS


People of THE STATE OF ILLINOIS — RESPONDANTS

Proof of SERVICE

I, SCOTT PETERS A PROSE ATTORNEY, DO SWEAR OR DECLARE THAT ON THIS DATE, 24 OCTOBER, 2019, AS REQUIRED BY SUPREME COURT RULE 29 I HAVE SERVED THE ENCLOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND PETITION FOR CERTIORARI REHEARING U.S. SCR 44 ON EACH PARTY TO THE ABOVE PROCEEDING OR THAT PARTIES COUNSEL, AND ON EVERY OTHER PERSON REQUESTED TO BE SERVED, BY DEPOSITING AN ENVELOPE CONTAINING THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO EACH OF THEM AND WITH FIRST CLASS POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DELIVERY TO A FIRST-CLASS COMMERCIAL CARRIER FOR DELIVERY WITHIN 3 CALENDAR DAYS.

THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF THOSE SERVED AS FOLLOWS:

Attorney General State of Illinois
100 W. Randolph St 14th Floor
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601



Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746, 18 USC 1621 I Declare Perjury that I am the named party on the above certification and petition, that I have read the above document and that the information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
DATE: 24 OCTOBER 2019

SCOTT PETERS PROSE
Medium Security Unit
711 KASKAS KRA STREET
MENARD, ILLINOIS
62259

NO. 18- 9706
IN THE
Supreme Court of THE UNITED STATES

People of the State
OF ILLINOIS

Plaintiff-Appellee

-v-

Scott Peters

Defendant-Appellant

From the Trial Court of
McHenry County, Illinois
No. 14CF939

Judge
Sharon Brothers
No. 02-15-0650 Appellate Court

No. 18- 9706 U.S. Supreme Court

Motion For Rehearing Pursuant to
United States Supreme Court Rule 44, 28 U.S.C.A.

1. That Scott Peters Filed a writ for certiorari on _____ and was
Denied on 17 June 2019 AFTER NUMEROUS ATTEMPTS TO FILE HIS petition.
2. "PETERS" Petition was DOCKETED BY the clerk AND NUMEROUS DOCUMENTS
FILED SHOWING MALFEASANCE BY the McHenry County government of Illinois.
3. "PETERS" Believes His Petition SHOULD Be Revisited For acceptance AND the
ORIGINAL structure of the complaint Accepted.
4. THE Intervening circumstances of substantial AND controlling effects
ARE OBSTRUCTION BY CONCEALMENT AND DISGUISE AND MEDICAL INTERPOSITION
ON A JUDICIAL EVENT BY GOVERNMENT EXPERTS WITHOUT ALLOWING EXPERT
REFUTAL WITNESSES TO ACCUSED, CAUSING legal disability to ACCUSED.
5. THE RECORD IN THE CASE AT BAR IS INDICATIVE OF DENIAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED AND DESERVES TO Be HEARD. THE ISSUES AT HAND Revolve
AROUND THIS COURT'S Holdings IN United States v- Reynolds 73 S.Ct. 528 At
Page 534. It would Be UNCONSCIONABLE For this court to allow the
MISCARriage of Justice Repeated on the public by McHenry County AND the
State of ILLINOIS to stand Against its citizens. Davis v- Washington
126 S.Ct. 552 AND NAPUE v- State of ILLINOIS 79 S.Ct. 1173 THE
United States Supreme Court HAS THE duty To make its own
INDEPENDANT Examination of the Record to Determine the Facts
When Federal Constitutional Depravations ARE Alledged.

5. CONT. THIS PETITION INVOLVES STATE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL AND STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE. IT CONSTITUTES A CRIME AGAINST THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES. THE SUPREME COURT CANNOT TURN A BLIND EYE TOO. THE CASE INVOLVES A MANDAMUS TO THE COURT ORDERING THE EVIDENCE IN A CRIMINAL CASE TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENDANT TO ENABLE HIM TO SHOW AND PERSUASION A DEFENSE IN HIS INNOCENCE. HE WAS NOT ALLOWED TO USE THE INFORMATION AT TRIAL OR IN A DIRECT APPEAL AND HAD HE USE OF THE INFORMATION THE OUTCOME WOULD HAVE BEEN DIFFERENT. HE COULD NOT PREPARE OR PRESENT HIS ISSUES FOR TRIAL, DIRECT APPEAL OR POST-CONVICTION (2-1401) PROCEEDINGS AND IS NOW ASKED AT A FINAL STAGE TO PRODUCE INFORMATION HE HAS NEVER BEEN IN RECEIPT OF. UNRECORDED STATES-V- BURR 25 FED. CAS PAGE 187 NO. 14, 694, WHEREIN CHIEF JUSTICE MARSHALL, WHEN CONFRONTED WITH A REQUEST FOR THE INSPECTION OF A LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE PROSECUTOR AND IN POSSESSION OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE UNITED STATES, "NOW IF A PAPER BE IN POSSESSION OF THE OPPOSITE PARTY, WHAT STATEMENT OF ITS CONTENTS OR APPLICABILITY CAN BE EXPECTED FROM THE PERSON WHO CLAIMS ITS POSSESSION, HE NOT PRECISELY KNOWING ITS CONTENTS." A FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATION OF MY RIGHTS.

THE CLERK AND THIS COURT SEEKS TO DENY ME ACCESS TO JUSTICE BY SUCH ECONOMIC MEANS, STATING I'M NOT IMPOVERISHED BUT I'VE BEEN DEEMED INFORMAL PAUPERS BY EVERY COURT AND AM INCARCERATED UNJUSTLY AND A WARD OF THE STATE. SO TO SAY I AM NOT IMPOVERISHED IS JUST NON-SENSE. IT SEEMS ONLY THE RICH NOW RECEIVE THE ATTENTION OF THIS COURT OR FEDERAL COURTS FOR THE MATTER. (SEE EXHIBIT B)

FURTHER, IF IT IS BEING SAID THAT THIS PETITION IS FRIVOLOUS OR MALICIOUS IT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED BY NOTICE AND HEARING. AT THE LEAST HOW THE DETERMINATION WAS COME TO. MOREOVER THE PETITION HAS STOOD FOR ALMOST 3 EX MONTHS, IT WAS FILED 25 APRIL 2019, RULE 38(9) FOR DOCKETING A CASE ON A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS \$300.00, THE PETITION WAS DOCKETED ON 19 JUNE 2019, SHOWING ACCEPTANCE OF WAIVER OF FEES TO PROCEED INFORMAL PAUPERS. RULE 39(2) "IN FORMAL PAUPERS IS SOUGHT FOR THE PURPOSE OF FILING A DOCUMENT," AND THE

5. CONT. AND THE PETITION WAS FILED AND DOCKETED CORRECTLY TO PROCEED (SEE EXHIBIT A)

6. THAT FALSE STATEMENTS CAUSED CONSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITY BY VIRTUE OF THE FALSE STATEMENTS BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES AND THOSE STATEMENTS LEAD TO PETITIONERS CONVICTION. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HELD A STATE STANDARD OF SPECIFICITY AND SUBSTANTIATION IN MAKING ALLEGATIONS OF FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATIONS WOULD BE RESPECTED. HOWEVER, WHEN THERE ARE ERRORS THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS A SOLEMN RESPONSIBILITY FOR MAINTAINING THE CONSTITUTION INVOLVED. COOPER-V. HAWK 78 S.Ct. 1401.

7. "PETERS" WAS ATTACKED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT APPLIED BY UNSUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS OF A SOURCE NEVER PRESENTED FOR CROSS EXAMINATION OR EXAMINATION, FURTHER, "PETERS" STATUS AS A DISABLED VETERAN W. 700% DISABILITY WAS BLATANTLY ATTACKED WITHOUT EXPERT REBUTTAL AND OUTRIGHT FALSEHOLD IN STATEMENTS "PETERS" WAS NEVER IN THE MILITARY. LAW ENFORCEMENT, PROSECUTORS AND THE STATE OF ILLINOIS PUT BOTH OBTRUSIVE FALSE HODS AND THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO HOLD ITS STATES LIABLE FOR THESE ACTIONS.

8. IF THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES ARE TO BE ENFORCED THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CANNOT TURN A BLIND EYE OR ACCEPT AS FINAL THE DECISION OF A STATE TRIBUNAL OF WHAT THE FACTS ALLEGED TO GIVE RISE TO THE RIGHT OR TO BAR THE ASSASSINATION OF IT EVEN ON LOCAL GROUNDS. IF ITS WRONG THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS A DUTY TO CORRECT IT. AND NOT JUST BECAUSE THE PETITIONER HAS NO MONEY OR POWER. BECAUSE ITS WRONG.

WHEREFORE THE PETITIONER HOPES AND PRAYS FOR RELIEF AND TO GRANT CERTIORARI AND CORRECT THE CONSTITUTIONAL ERRORS PERPETRATED UPON HIM BY THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THIS COURT DEEMS JUST AND NECESSARY.

DATE: 24 OCTOBER 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

14

Scott Peters Prose
MS#851, MSU 2-A26
711 KOSKUS KIN ST
NEW ARD, ILLINOIS 62449


State of Illinois }
Randolph County } SS

CERTIFICATE

I, SCOTT PETERS UNDER OATH AM PRO SE COUNSEL IN THE
Following Motion For Rehearing pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A, U.S. S.Ct Rule
44, NO. 18-9706 I Further, state THE Request IS limited to
Intervening circumstances of SUBSTANTIAL or controlling effect
OR to other substantial grounds not previously presented. And
That it IS presented in good faith and not for delay.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C 1746, 18 U.S.C 1621 I declare, UNDER penalty
of perjury that I am the named party in the above certification
and motion, that I have read the above documents, and that
THE information contained herein IS true and correct to the
Best of my knowledge and belief.

DATE: 24 October 2019

SI 
SCOTT PETERS PRO SE
MS2851
MSU X-A 26
711 KASKASKIA ST.
MENDOTA, ILLINOIS 62259

STATE OF ILLINOIS } ss
County of Randolph }

AFFIDAVIT


I, SCOTT PETERS PROSE Being First duly sworn upon my oath depose AND STATE THAT THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE BOTH TRUE AND CORRECT MADE UPON PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF AND IF CALLED TO TESTIFY AS A WITNESS THERE TO, WOULD COMPETENTLY TESTIFY THAT;

1. THAT ATTACHED TO THIS PETITION FOR RELIEF IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF A DOCUMENT FROM THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT SHOWING THE PETITION OF CERTORARI BEING FILED AND DOCKETED. AND WOULD TESTIFY THAT SHOWS ACCEPTANCE OF IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS, MARKED EXHIBIT B.
2. THAT ATTACHED FROM THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT A DOCUMENT THAT IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF A COMMUNICATION NOW SHOWING AFTER ACCEPTANCE SIX MONTHS A PETITION OF A WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEING DENIED IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS AS EXHIBIT A.

VERIFICATION

UNDER PENALTIES PROVIDED IN LAW PURSUANT TO 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621 OF UNITED STATES CODE, THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT THE STATEMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE TRUE AND CORRECT.

DATE: 24 OCTOBER 2019

SL 
SCOTT PETERS PROSE
MS2851
MEDIUM SECURITY UNIT
711 KASKASKIA STREET
MENARD, ILLINOIS 62259