


ORIGINAL

No. 18-9619



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Henry J. Lagi — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

John A. Tomasino — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

District Court of Appeal, First District, for the State of Florida
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Henry J. Lagi
(Your Name)

535 Appleyard Dr. / P.O. Box 2278
(Address)

Tallahassee, FL 32316-2278
(City, State, Zip Code)

(850) 922-3500
(Phone Number)

Questions Presented

- 1 Is Government allowed to trample your rights and use all of their professional prowess to manipulate you into intended results (Abuse of Process) and then prevent protection from this overt misconduct by denying protective Constitutional provisions and refusing to value decisional or statutory law to keep us defenseless?
 - a Are the courts allowed to rampantly manipulate the process against you for purposes of convenience or to conceal unlawful treachery - court date changes that effectively eliminate the presence of advocates, excessive professional requirements that obstruct the intended primary function of the courts is not perfectly adequate?
 - c Is there a level of institutional bias that does not threaten, compromise, or violate our Constitution?
 - d Is government allowed to be impervious to the external logic of prose Maverick defendants?
 - e Is manipulating the process by professionals even going to be scrutinized and appropriately sometimes in order to start integrating balance in procedure or will we always be subject to abuse we can't defend ourselves from because that is the preference of these powerful people?
 - f Is justice or equal treatment in the courts only available for those affluent enough to prevent unbridled animosity, absolute persecution through the acquisition of private defense counsel that will work to insure Constitutional treatment? For sure, a defense attorney would have prevented much of my endless needless abuse easily. They don't value my lawful assertions.
 - g Authority members regularly abuse their power despite FLA Stat § 775.012 specifically providing protection from "improper conduct" and insures a "reasonable appropriate disposition" where is the Constitutionality of having FLA Stats that are completely disregarded to accommodate the intentions of law enforcement who are naturally prone/pre-disposed to manipulate circumstances?
 - h Is holding our liberty hostage in order to leverage a wrongful conviction through extracted plea bargain Constitutional or ethical as it clearly is self-serving and demonstrates no responsibility to the fundamental inalienable rights of the oppressed accused citizen?
- 2 Is it Constitutional to register local law as a for profit business given the historical propensity for power to become abusive and purely self-serving? The creation of financial dependency on the industry associated with processing alleged wrongs into a business product of convictions can and does jeopardize our inalienable right to liberty and such incentives are more than a mere infringement of our fundamental protective Constitutional rights. They must have conquest for business purposes which inherently unobjectively opposes truth.
 - 3 Is government allowed to refuse justice or be responsible to the truth because they've predetermined your fate? Will intentions always suppress reality?
 - k Is government allowed to maintain the ability to dispose of (murder) innocent people because they've been directed to by the insistence of obsessed people frivolously claiming a necessity for such permanent mistreatment?
 - l Is Government allowed to create these vulnerable circumstances and then take advantage of the defenseless with impunity despite Constitutional provisions against such tyranny? Is government improperly a crime akin to corruption? Unlawfully robbing you of your liberty and other certain inalienable rights is a crime.
- 2 Will my Mom's unquenchable Cuban obsession with manipulating my circumstances until completely satisfied finally be recognized as outrageously unadvised use of (domestic enemy) and purely self-serving as clearly her will and perspective must be imposed?
 - b My Mom facilitates the misuse of the courts discretionary power forcing court cooperation to conform to her personal demands to continue to mismanage my circumstances. Is Mom allowed to manipulate the system as long as she emulates the production methods of the State to misconstrue reality in her intentions favor without ethical scrutiny of her obvious determined and due process violating ambitions?
- 3 Is Judge Francis J. Allman allowed to forego basic rights to respect and treatment with dignity to implement a premeditated itinerary that affords Constitutional protection for the accused intentionally choosing to be my domestic enemy (adversary)?
 - b Is the judge allowed to be so prejudicial, so determined to attain absolute control that he won't listen to or value my thing I say, so in toll want of my prose states he refuses to value my defensive intentions because they complicate his desire to quickly dispose of the case? (When not completely satisfied is the judge allowed to always quickly resort to unproductive manipulations of the process and exploitations of his discretionary power as if my every defensive ambition is picking a fight with him personally?)
 - d Is this judge's unconstitutional aggression against me for standing up for my rights he chooses to deny punishable May I assert myself without incurring vengeful wrath that compromises my right to a fair trial and due process essential fairness?

Question(s) Presented (elaborated)

Section 1 So the question is - are the citizens to be valued? Are Government officials allowed to think they are more important than the individual they serve? Their teachers as tactics to extract the contested results as preferred don't exactly reflect any responsibility to the accused. It seems to be a game to them to prove they can do anything they want, and as always there is nothing you can do about it. I think that's the definition of tyranny. The truth should always be a relevant factor in justice but these people must be satisfied and their only ever satisfied if there is an insane amount of power is sufficient (properly bowed down to) in complete subservient fashion - A superiority complex. The question is, is the satisfaction of those used to (and possibly pathologically) addicted to tyrannical oppressing their adversary an uncontested always a good basis for justice or should we have rights that force them to get over themselves so that authority responsibly acknowledges reality even if it doesn't favor overprejudices they would like to effectively impose. If they can't be disappointed without in turn in wrathful misuses of the process we can't compete with that some ethical changes should prohibit this distrustworthy propensity for abuse. Should they be able to continue misusing power without inhibitions? They sure hope so. They sure appreciate the design of the industry they've created for themselves, void of external influences or interference ever. Justice contingent on the contentment of those so used to manipulating the accused they see nothing wrong with it is a travesty. The question is always, is their oppressive over developed presence absolutely necessary to insure we are all civilized and it is not just need to feel important by projecting that we are all lawless heathens that must be dealt with by asserting power mercilessly. It's ridiculous. They won't adopt a more humanitarian (and less profitable) approach to civil management because they simply don't have to. They simply don't have to honor and uphold the constitution as they've oath fully but implicitly declared. Bottom line, unless they are not allowed to think the way they do they always will (at great detriment to unvalued civil rights). Is it awfully presumptuous to think they can continue to misuse their power against the indigent indelibly when most other parts of our Nation conduct themselves with a much more ethically forward, accountable and responsible approach to justice while here an overdependence on bias interpretations to facilitate over criminalization reigns supreme - there are consequences for trampled rights in most other parts of the country but why not here? - why is this way of life acceptable to local government at the expense of our rights and dignity? Is law enforcement allowed to believe they are never wrong because they have the power to create consequences for your protests of their abuse? Is government allowed to develop uncivilized methods of management and control? If objectivity is eclipsed by cultural interests are motives ever justifiable? They are only concerned with doing what they are allowed to do, someone has enabled great mayhem at the expense of the public's security the Constitution was designed to insure. They can't be trusted to suddenly become rational and uphold the Constitution because their magnet involves systematic elimination of these pesky rights and noble virtues. They manipulate the accused because they are in a position to exploit entrusted power for the industry. This significant contrast is the premise of authority being unbrigs to provide responsible civil service. They have developed some pretty malicious methods we deserve protection from. I'm a political prisoner. I've angered too many officials here to ever receive justice. Insurmountable prejudices which equates to active persecution. Is Government allowed to maintain an inviolable and impenetrable position against any legitimate defense they wish to prevent because of their preferences and policies to crush dissent on principle? I haven't just fallen but was thrown on the wrong side of the inherently abusive government policy.

Section 2 Mom's influential campaign (intrusive) and aspirations have robbed me of all available sensitivity resulting in condemnation consistent with the states making my efforts I can't compete with by malicious design. Will anyone ever suspect the accuser of recognizing that it's a very user-friendly system (like most Americans that can choose to eliminate others from society at will) that blind accommodations to the status of (misused) authority without scrutinizing motives to maliciously eliminate citizens from society who are constitutionally unsafe from these transparent exploits. Cops will even let you what to say to insure an animating effective report. Probation would be acceptable but 4 officers but no liberty unless through plea bargain/conviction that should illustrate how badly I'm being manipulated. They will only allow liberty if there is no threat. I am like an attorney and successfully combat these malicious court controlled charges the courts have proven they honor case laws and my rights against having probation misused as a thinly veiled malicious tool to subject me to egregious sentencing as desired will not be appropriately considered. Law full requirements to analyze whether I am still eligible for liberty or if the conditions of probation are beyond my insatiable lawless attitude as the violation indicates will not be considered objectively. No they are desperate for any excuse to sentence me as vehemently as intended and clearly can't be trusted to be responsible to me and my family as evidenced by their sudden disregard of the truth of my innocence as an easily overpowered indigent incarcerated defendant. No Mom's constant influences are appreciated and not scrutinized for legitimacy they will accept any condemning lies that I am their disposition so no doubt any minor required violation will be characterized without objectivity then disposition. I will be relished by this ridiculously malicious manipulative oppressive heartfully bias against the truth. I will be relished by this ridiculously malicious manipulative oppressive heartfully bias against the truth.

3. The court system. It's persecution. Section 3 Intentionally compromises my defense is a malicious act of aggression no matter how this judge chooses to dress it up. Remember this is a profession of misusing of aggression as tools to leverage intended predetermined results that benefit the industry. The judge and would say you better be sure mistreatment is warranted but they aren't sure. They are only sure that they can misuse their authority to overpower you into intended results with impunity because these excesses form the basis of the most impossible to compete with as they all work in tandem to limit your defense of these over manipulations of process. The lack of separation of powers is the misconstruction of government forces that we are absolutely entitled to a defense to restore integrity of the processes and to insure fairness essential to the process of due process rights they deliberately destroy to produce an unfair trial which is the unconstitutional definition of tyranny and corruption. This injustice is a matter of extreme importance. I'm asking if you'll do the right thing for a mercilessly persecuted systematically disenfranchised citizen.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: John A Tomasiuo, Clerk Supreme Court of Florida 500 S. Duval St, Tallahassee, FL, 32399-1927, Kristina Samuels, Clerk District Court of Appeal, First District, for the State of Florida 2000 Drayton Dr. Tallahassee, FL, 32399-0950, and the Honorable Ashley B. Moody, Attorney General @ the offices of the Attorney General FL-02, the Capitol Tallahassee, FL, 32399-1050.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4-27
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	28-39
CONCLUSION.....	40

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Denial of my petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus by 1 st DCA State of Florida 12/3/2018 1D18-3010
APPENDIX B	Dismissal for lack of jurisdiction by Supreme Court of Florida 1/31/19 SC18-2133
APPENDIX C	Relevant Constitutional Amendments and Preambles FL Stat § 775.012
APPENDIX D	FLA. Stat § 777.201 Entrapment Mentioned in the Constitutional and Statutory Provisions involved
APPENDIX E	Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus that review is sought by this petition for a writ of certiorari and Appendix
APPENDIX F	Petition for a Writ of Prohibition complete with Appendix An Emergency filing

Index to Appendices Continued

Appendix G Revised 4pg petition for a writ of Prohibition
SC19-379

Appendix H Most Recent 5pg petition for a writ of habeas Corpus
Filed 4/7/19 SC19-608

Appendix I Judges Retaliatory Order, filed 4/9/19
Clearly an attempt to obstruct my 1st Amend. right
allegations and contempt

Appendix J My Rebuttal Motion to Strike Order (Above)

Appendix K 1st Civil Suit against said party (Mom)

Appendix L Abandoned Civil Suit Against Mom 3yrs ago
she won't quit! she has found the courts effective forums against
the accused

Appendix M 2 letters to jail staff mentioned in petition

Appendix N Latest Competency Eval. awfully assentive

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

	PAGE NUMBER
Adams v. U.S.	585 F2d 1060
Bi Zhu Lin v Ashcroft	183 F. Supp. 2d 551
Brasden v State	203 So 2d 194
Brown v State	706 So 2d 74, 75
Douse v State	930 So 2d 838
Holmes v State	494 So 2d 230
Pozo v State	963 So 2d 831
Stack v Boyle	342 U.S. 1, 72 S.Ct. 1
U.S. v Kobl	172 F2d 919
U.S. v Mantecon-Zayas	949 F2d 548
U.S. v Mercedes	254 F3d 435
U.S. v Nguyen	279 F3d 1112
Varden v. City of Clayton	2015 U.S. Dist Lexis 121879

STATUTES AND RULES

FLA. Stat § 775.012	8, 13, 39
FLA Stat § 777.201 Entrapment	4, 35
Bail Reform Act of 1966	29
Bail Reform Act of 1984	28, 29
Florida Criminal Practice and Procedure	3.240 Change of Venue
" " " " "	13.09 Participation in trial (by trial Judge)
" " " " "	13.11 Response by Counsel to Judicial Misconduct
Preamble to the Constitution	5, 31
Article VI of " "	31
Preamble to the Bill of Rights	5, 8, 13, 28, 31
Preamble to the Florida Code of Judicial Conduct	12

OTHER Amendments	1st
	4th
	5th
	6th
	8th
	9th
	14th

	24, 28, 31
	5, 28, 31
	28, 31
	13, 17, 18, 24, 28, 31
	28, 29, 30, 31
	7, 11, 20, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33
	13, 24, 28, 31

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

FLA Stat § 775.012

also relevant Constitutional Amendments and support same Appendix C

FLA Stat § 777.201

⊗ very important! Please read (if nothing else)..... see Appendix D

Florida Code of Judicial Conduct Preamble

Our legal system is based on the principle that an independent, fair and competent judiciary will interpret and apply the laws that govern us. The role of the judiciary is central to American concepts of justice and the rule of law. Intrinsic to all sections of this code are the precepts that judges, individually and collectively must respect and honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to enhance and maintain confidence in our legal system. The Judge is an arbiter of facts and laws for the resolution of disputes and a highly visible symbol of government under the rule of law. The Code of Judicial Conduct establishes standards for ethical conduct of judges...

The canons and sections are rules of reason. They should be applied consistently with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules, and decisions law and in the context of all relevant circumstances.

The text of the canons and sections is intended to govern conduct of the judges and to be binding upon them. Whether disciplinary action is appropriate should be determined through a reasonable and reasoned application of the text and should depend on such factors as the seriousness of the transgression, whether there is a pattern of improper activity and the effect of the improper activity on others or on the judicial system.

Judges [They] should also be governed in their judicial and personal conduct by general ethical standards. The Code is intended to state basic standards which should govern the conduct of all judges and to provide guidance to assist judges in establishing and maintaining high standards of judicial and personal conduct.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 1/31/19.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Justice is an impossibility for me. Justice is dead. I justice delayed
is justice denied. I've suffered/endured 3000 days of unnecessary and
unlawfully confined incarceration and I hope to imbue the fact that I'm in-
cent through the collection of works found in the Appendixes My detention is
truly unnecessary and I hope my rights will be valued by this noble court
as such respect is an impossibility for me in the L.T.S. I still hold
much hope for my petition for a writ of prohibition in the Supreme Court
of Florida as an Emergency filing. For an indigent with marginal and severely
persecuted citizen being degraded by injustices and court manipulations that I'm also
dependent on for ethical treatment with dignity as entitled fair processing and
justice are eclipsed by cultural anomalies and professional process I can't
compare with by design. It's not only that I'm forced to contest these abusive misuses
of authority that have completely and irrevocably devastated my defenses production con-
founding the meaning full defense I'm entitled to but I hope the next three referenced
various sources mentioned above found in the Appendixes firmly illustrate that I am also inno-
cent so that reflection of this current unnecessary aggression, a pure result of my attempts
to provide transparency of the injustices and even perverts at the process that are
overpowering me, can finally be considered with appropriate objectivity. My motion to
dismiss on factual grounds pursuant to Rule 3.190(c)(4) (Entrapment), my most recent and
revealing notice of intent to rely on the Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity Defense (I'm
entitled to present my best defense but this and my unfavorable denial decision to
exercise my right to self-representation have been a constant source of controversy and I
suspect there may be further debase under mining professional maneuvers to prevent my in-
court effectiveness legally based on sound factual competent evidence they wish to protect
as irrelevant in this local version or brand of incredibly unfair justice.) and my extensive
Motion to Dismiss due to due process violations arising out of outrageous Government for-
feiture Both my petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus SCJD 2133 ABIG-30DQ and my Petition
for a writ of Prohibition in the Supreme Court of Florida illustrate the extent of unnecessary

Cultural aggression I've had to endure. Impossibilities without necessity demonstrate an attempt
for persecution through manipulation by malicious officers that are accustomed to intended
misuses of authority. I cannot defend myself from these powers full people exactly as intended
without the application of incorporated protective constitutional provisions internationally over-
whelming me with disproportionate change to suit prejudices through aggressive allocation en-
hancements is unconstitutional acting about the law and abuse of power. I've never had much
of a chance against this weapons arsenal with the combined condemning efforts of organized
intended results effectively contending with the combined condemning efforts of organized
members by adamantly protesting this abuse is stamped on principle in the town successfully unlay-
tally imposing themselves as ruling heavily on the collective ever supportive court system to
waste their interposition in the industrial unjustify all means of their employees
because the success and reward for making excessive money all misconduct mistreatment of
is some how they have the power to prevent and it seems clear they enjoy applying manipulate
skills of conquest and treachery to this culture this agenda we deserve protection from by
incorporating the constitution to insure balance and fairness is restored to the currently extremely
compromised integrity of the bias judicial process. In between making sure you understand our
local unequaled criminal justice system has lost sight of its initially intended purpose and
function by existing power corruptly always seeking that while benefiting the industry only and eliminating
the fact that they are not admitting in justice fairly I refuse into my petition for writ of pro-
hibition same character traits to ensure that you know who I am and why this misguided
aggression is unnecessary. It's common practice here as defects are given respect and easily
presented with these tactics of evasion to prevent an effective defense and aren't respectfully
fundamental due process right to essential fairness because they are consumed by their greedily ag-
gressive and immoral Clinton's catholic fashion creating obstacles that are impervious to any
attempts to effectivly litigate injustices induced by an unconstitutional and misogynist misuses
of discretion like the tooth is an impossibility which is therefore entirely untenable in court.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

2/16/19 pg. 0

... enjoy misusing authority and control too much to ever be ethical in their current unregulated state. Needlessly fracturing our family unit to satiate the needs of a treacherous industry is Un-American. It is in direct contrast to the Preamble to our U.S. Constitution that states: "We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution." In order to prevent this construction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in government will best insure the benefit ends of its institution, I can't compete with the awesome power of government. Our forefathers were acutely aware of power's nature and historical want to become overdeveloped abusive and purely self-serving. The ultimate enlightening declaration of independence provides we are to be protected from our enemies, both foreign and domestic and that were of the opinion that our God-given natural right was inalienable except without due process of law. My 17th Amendment apprehension was secured by circumstances have kept me vulnerable to further abuse exactly as intended. I can't compete with these abuses without my Constitutional rights and my liberty is justifiable for many reasons the L.I. remains unobjective about Douce V. State 930 S. 2d 838. Defendant was granted writ of Habeas Corpus, directing immediate hearing a danger to the community (which I mean) continued detention was a violation of essential fairness. Please always keep in mind I had Bond twice and two different Judges and three prosecutors opined RDR was appropriate. Even the current prosecutor opined that pre-trial release through the local social services akin to mental health probation may be appropriate after I first and claimed the relevant importance of the decision in Douce back in February of 2018. I mentioned this cognizable due process issue of violating essential fairness in Douce again with this current Judge on 7/17/18. I'm not a lawyer so receiving respect and equality in the court is always unlikely. My initial charges could never be this interminable, devastating no bond status, so defense sabotage and tinkering was deployed - reflective of standard reactions to any unsatisfactory that immediately results in vindictive cultural aggression, reflexive misuses of power.

Both our current and previous Presidents have and usually attempted to appeal to reason by explaining that it is apparent that state court systems are processing people unfairly and therefore unconstitutional but the response is something like "That's nice, we are busy making money and you can't stop us, so go play golf or something." If local governments refuse to adopt a more humanitarian and less profitable approach to civil (mis)management there is nothing we can do to force them to respect the public and honor the constitution. The Constitution was designed to insure protection from and prevent an ethical government overreaching and unfair processing in spite of awesome government forces that can be easily misused. They have reversed the burden of proof we are guilty until proven innocent and good luck against the awesome power and full manipulative machinery of this well established treacherous industry that calously deploys devouring methods by overdeveloped power that basically insures justice is a bias product of always successful professional manipulation. They refuse to allow you to defend yourself from insatiable punishing and dominating tendencies that demand sentence first, verdict afterward. They're out of control down here and their perversion of the process makes the fair administration of justice hopelessly lost to incessant needs for conquest that conveniently also fuels this thriving unethical industry. This is a completely justifiably bias mentality, we are entitled to protection from to prevent persecution. They remain unregulated at great cost to the rights of the public and fundamental premises of justice based on balance and truth because these virtues present complex odds to their ever present addition for streamlined conviction. The ultimate goal every state employee works in tandem to produce as the courts lack the integrity to separate powers because it negatively affects their obligation to the industry to unobjectively and treacherously procure the essential business product of conviction they unscrupulously manufacture. The U.S. Constitution is inconvenient counter intuitive to business needs. We deserve Constitutional protection from this overt misconstruction of government powers as the preamble to the Bill of Rights declares. Also our Constitutional right to domestic tranquility free of fear from circumstances that don't objectively consider reality and cater to intentions only. The poor are not allowed justice too easily we are overpowered and victimized by authoritarian misuses of authority. They prevent any defense of. Every one down here knows I'm being taken advantage of. No objectivity. No balance = No justice is possible with such accepted treachery.

And the Courts are allowed to perpetrate and defend themselves. I usually take advantage of me because it is well established my mail is harsh isolated and independent status offers no possible effective defense against never ending inglorious management. They only take advantage of people they believe right domination won't incur consequences from (if they think they can get away with it) illustrating that they are fully aware these personal and Constitutional violations are wrong but if we can't stop them this is a crime we deserve protection from. The only question is how do you feel about the need less murder of your citizens by people who believe misusing their power is a matter of right and a supporting industrial industry that doesn't value any defensive ambitions against cultural mistreatment or misconduct. Remember they wouldn't be so viciously defensive if they were functioning properly or within ethical Constitutional boundaries. Should I have to be able to out litigate all professionals that 1st DC Judges and the State if I want to effectively contest injustices induced by government's conduct. This collusive aspect makes Constitutional protection from misuses of authority faulty. Such an impenetrable dynamic inevitably leads to tyrannical impositions as intended. (An opposed conquest)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

2/16/19 pg. 0

You understand that law enforcement is just a series of professional manipulations that attempts to justify imposition of authority, right? And that the wise consider their pretext merely lip service as this culture means to an end mentally unscrupulously pursues effectiveness in court at great cost to the poor families who can't insure adequate protective rights by forcing government officials to uphold the Const. Durner despite oaths to do so. The Constitution and written law in general was designed to proscribe the complicitous factors of easily misused power at the state or the influences of these all too human tendencies. Somehow I deserve to be processed fairly. Please penetrate these insurmountable prejudices to insure the fair administration of justice. I need you to be responsible to the process. I need to be valued and I humbly ask to be treated with dignity.

Fundamental precepts of justice are based on the balance the Const. Tution provides to insure protection from persecution or cultural imposition and prejudices that create unfair and defendable advantages for the states satisfaction paramount to our rights.

No one can do anything about injustices unless they say so, and they always say no to me.

As it has come to my attention, preliminary examinations are considered an integral part of the criminal justice process. Discovery contributes to an accurate determination of prudent resolution considerations. Along with diligent attempts to procure discovery as directed by FLA. Rules of Crim. Practice and Procedure, I've also sent a Motion for a Bill of Particulars. Broad discovery contributes to the fair and efficient administration of criminal justice by aiding in informed plea negotiations to encourage plea bargaining, which has become an operational necessity in our system. A defendant has to be given full discovery as negotiations are encouraged. *Pozo v State* 963 So 2d 831. A defendant must either show, ignorant prejudice or actual prejudice to the fairness of the fact finding process in order to establish a violation of the defendant's Constitutional right to a fair trial. Certain safe guards are essential to criminal justice. The court must be unswerving and it must have no interest other than the pursuit of justice. (The overriding goal and purpose of criminal action is the ascertainment of the truth.) The accused may have ample opportunity to meet the case of the prosecution. The public conscious must be satisfied that fairness dominates the administration of justice. An accused must have the means of presenting his best defense. He must have time and facilities for investigation and for the production of evidence. "But evidence and truth are of no avail unless they can be adequately presented. Essential fairness is lacking if an accused cannot put his case effectively in court." *Adams v U.S.* 585 F2d 1060. The accused are entitled to a fair trial and an intelligent defense. The importance of my rights is to avoid threatening fundamental components of our criminal justice system - the basic fairness of the trial itself. *Ullmer v. Ar.* 441 U.S. 434. A 14th Amendment due process violation - maliciously manipulating the criminal justice charging process. *U.S. v. Kobl* 172 F2d 919. "against any attempt to employ the courts as instruments of persecution. Persecution - by unjust or tyrannical use of force or authority." It would be a fraud and a sham indeed to call a system of justice one in which an accused, who already must defend against the full persecutory machinery and investigative resources of the government is allowed to be ambushed by the prosecution at trial while he is the one opportunity he or she has to clear his or her name." I have not received a liberalized discovery - every thing germane to this decision making process. Most legal professionals view discovery as an inherent right because access to information is inextricably interwoven with the fundamental right to a fair trial including the right to mount an appropriate defense. Disclosure is necessary for the fair and just determination of the case. May be not here in Florida, but in other parts of our Nation where our Constitutional right to fairness is observed. It is an issue of fundamental fairness, quest for truth, avoidance of surprise, smooth judicial administration. Recognizing the rights of one side without fear of stepping on the rights of the other side. The rights guaranteed by our U.S. Constitution to a criminal defendant are designed to shield the defendant against state power. It is designed to create a protective sphere around the accused. Protection against such overdeveloped and obvious misuses of power. The Constitution should be recognized and implemented as an instrument of protection and not become secondary to any court affinity for efficient processing derived through treachery and deceit. Our protective provisions incorporated in the Constitution (especially our Bill of Rights) should not be discarded as an archaic artifact as pragmatic, and well established rules of procedure should not be compromised to accommodate untested state momentum because they prefer to misuse their power this year. Expansive discovery rules are recommended by the American Bar Association. Each side of the criminal lawsuit must have true knowledge of the strength and/or weaknesses of the case in order for productive negotiations to take place. The traditional concept of discovery is premised on the need of the defendant to insure the necessity of having a fair trial. A preliminary examination provides an opportunity to litigate important Constitutional or statutory questions - like probable cause standards. To deny the defendant the opportunity to address these issues would in essence provide an illegal, an illegal commitment subject to a Motion to dismiss to the trial court. A preliminary examination is essential, otherwise what is actually engaged in is no more than a judicial shell game - a game of chance which does not comport with accepted notions of fair play and due process. As you know I feel like I've been taken advantage of very often by various manipulative and unlawful abuses of process so finally receiving the equality I adamantly believe in is preferable and will also start to combat the psychologically oppressive torments these long 300 days have forced me to endure. Please start the hearing process by demonstrating your good will towards your fellow man and interest in justice based on truth, equality, the Constitutional almost most importantly balance and fairness. I have been so fighting responsible need met from the courts and unfortunately it seems some have a well developed opinion about my righteousness and therefore

... abusive or caustic approach that negates recognition of the atrocities I've endured that would and should warrant relief and protection if I was respected even though a poor non-Bar member. Being prejudiced for being outspoken and having associated mental disorders is substantially unfair when understanding is a responsible component of justice. Compartmentalization is natural but I trust your not too rigidly predisposed to accept the available truth. Please recognize the misleading properties of these ambiguous and disproportionate allegations. Please don't lose balance and believe these allegations are as legitimate as they say without considering the ambitious nature of their constructions. There are countless reasons their animosity should give you doubt as to whether what they project as my character is true. Convenient for purposes, please consider that the truth is possibly much different than their descriptions. Presumed innocence, my 9th Amendment right, is an essential element of justice. The 6th has been a major part of court productions for like 200 years and that is why appropriate objectivity and our right to presumed innocence is essential to fairness as entitled by our Constitution. A fundamental due process right. Balance achieved through appropriate objectivity of these condemning theatrics demands that I should be considered for the relief I seek. Do you think being completely disenfranchised can ever equate to actual justice? Where is my protection from this uncontested persecution. You must make an effort to distinguish fact from intention. Please pursue or provide a responsible break in this impasse my dilemma presents. Reality shouldn't be discarded for its inconvenient truths. As I'm sure you agree the truth is always in the interest of justice, pursuit of ascertainment and a clear perspective is attainable. Being forced to endure aggressive and unfair full assaults of authority is cause for a healthy instinctual perspective of self-preservation against this flagrant persecution. It is all very unconstitutional when being completely disenfranchised is acceptable. I'm not trying to challenge the power of this organization that my attempts to provide clarity can't compete with but I'm due for better treatment than I've received. Not only will my liberty likely produce positive leverage but new found life will also inspire the need to rationalize and promise to maintain my freedom.

Suspensions of arbitrary probation violations for sinister purposes is a real fear expressed by many distrustful of the manipulative power of the courts. Careful analysis of the reliability of the hearsay in or from several factors are at issue. The first was whether the evidence was corroborated by other evidence. The second was whether the proffered hearsay contained objective facts or rather than conclusory declarations which should be tested by cross-examination. For example, a police report, although very reliable as to the fact of an arrest might be less reliable as to underlying facts which produced the arrest. The third relevant factor was the presence of any bias or motive to fabricate the hearsay by the declarant. Finally some recognition of the benefits to the accuser which obscures truth in favor of desired results. Remember ambiguous law enforcement officers also benefit from successful manipulation. It is their successful formula to exonerate all government misconduct and overreaching. I don't accept these convoluted misconceptions and neither should you. They know the truth and their prejudicial refusal to be interested in justice should be worn by these manipulative oppressors who always evade consequences for these overt transgressions. They are knowingly compounding the efforts of these obvious malicious intentions by refusing to be objective about the truth that might warrant an abrupt change in disposition. What they continue to do is injustice. I have a perfectly valid position but I am unable to appeal to reason in the U.S. Do you realize the defeat is highly dynamic they aggressively depend on limits a pro-se litigant's margin of success to less than 10%? Clearly I need a lawyer that may provide a correct and much needed new perspective whose respect is essential to my personalized justice. I've repeatedly expressed great confidence in such acquiescence at liberty. You understand that without the aggressive enhancement I would be eligible for reasonable treatment that is apparently unattainable exactly as intended. The abuse is a quite transparent to many legal professionals that have also warned me that the judge will allow the state to seek an unfair advantage to make sure any legitimate position is rendered completely ineffective on principle because of the misconception that all intentions of law enforcement should be aided by the court to insure effective impositions come to fruition and that there are no constitutional rights for the accused in this town. This jurisdiction suffers from the misconception that their job is to find ways to manipulate the accused out of liberty without regard to any truths that obstruct these unlawful impositions or objectively considering a true necessity for their intentions to eliminate their victims from society. This mentality is unconstitutional but they have been allowed to prevail to our detriment and this anti-social behavior gains momentum with every successful overpowering conquest that increasingly negates our constitutional rights to due process and the fair administration of justice. They truly believe they will continue to always possess the soul interpretive power that shields cultural reality from purely self-serving misconduct and will always be able to irresponsibly misuse their power to justify every and any civil rights violation or transgression as long as it maintains culturally accepted pretext equipped with boilerplate terminology is supplied. This overabundance of disproportionate charges consists of with obtrusive, egregious intentions to inflict as much authority as any official can muster will always be the is seen at hand and until the organization addresses these outrageous injustices, unlawful and aggressive misuses of authority there can be no justice but we both know that will never happen. Not because they lack the integrity, but because a fundamental change in their ability to impose themselves on criminals at will could negatively affect the vital services they provide so I will not receive justice only further condemnation to protect and preserve their ability to misuse power whenever they want even for purposes that voluntarily subvert prejudices through persecution they will prevent any defense of. They always have this power and sometimes it is misused.

Q. O.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

2/17/19

pg. 0

... facilitate personal vengeance and cultural agendas many might argue this malicious misuse of power proves the opposite. But that is the entire problem, as we are all legends in our own mind, they will never recognize fault because they can always rely on their power to avoid cognition of the detriment their unnecessary ambitions for conquest create. They don't want to understand the realities of their victims, not insulating the ego from this unfortunate impact on society would cause the realization that they'd have to treat people better and that is not their job. Unfortunately for my position I understand many here don't deserve any better. Just because I don't personally appreciate manipulative misuses of authority that needlessly cripple my legitimate aspirations for rightful liberty doesn't mean I don't appreciate these important people when I benefit from their overdeveloped presence in society at liberty. Relationships develop according to how we interact with one another and their power removes/absolves them from all responsibility to the lost art of developing respect through showing respect because they simply don't have to. I for one can greatly appreciate fellow humans that treat me with dignity as I was misled to believe I was entitled to. Intentionally compromising my defense is a malicious act of aggression no matter how this judge chooses to dress it up. Remember this is a profession of misusing words as tools to leverage intended predetermined results that benefit the industry. The logical mind would say you better be sure mistreatment is warranted but they don't care. They are only sure that they can misuse their authority to overpower you into intended results because this successful formula is almost impossible to compete with as they all work in tandem to limit your defense of these every manipulation of process. The lack of separation of powers is the misconstruction of government forces that we are absolutely entitled to a defense from, to restore the integrity of the process and to insure balance and fairness, essential due process rights they deliberately destroy to produce an unfair trial which is the definition of unconstitutional tyrannical corruption. I don't need to be a target for vengeance for the problems their choices to abuse authority create. The Constitution being only available at the discretion of a judge that clearly only values that which favors the industry is a travesty. These injustices are always purely self-serving and the intentional due process violations don't comport with responsible civil service and is more a reflection of the need to be personally satisfied by those who view misusing their power as a matter of right. We are not valued unless easily overpowered for pure satisfaction. Justice contingent on the contentment of these individuals so used to manipulating the accused without consequence that see nothing wrong with obliterating fundamental precepts of justice is a travesty.

Remember I was told by a transport officer on or about 3/19/12 that the entire police force was against me and that December 15th of 2010 would be my last day at liberty. I didn't argue any fundamental flaws with this logic as clearly some people enjoy their job way too much, but here I am 7 yrs later still diligently applying myself to receive ethical treatment with no luck in the L.T.S.

In my Petition for a writ of Prohibition I mention an incident when a man was convicted of child molestation and sentenced to 10 yrs. His ex-girlfriend who was clearly unhappy about his choice to move on got on face book and admitted he didn't actually abuse her children but that she didn't want to see him with another woman ever! Upon learning that the system was maliciously used against this innocent man, our government should have immediately released him except that it would directly provide transparency of the states productions as pure theatrics and if it seemed apparent that due to the bias nature of every state employee that these kinds of travesties happen all the time they should ethically apply themselves to changing the unfair process and dynamics that allow angry citizens to solicit and successfully misuse the system for personal purposes. An ethical government would see we need protection from malicious ambitions to destroy people's lives with whatever pretext is required by our over eager law enforcement to needlessly impose themselves. But we don't have that kind of ethical government. Ours is consumed by conquest, mercilessly pursued. Ours is only concerned with painting circumstances negatively in their favor vilifying and treacherously manipulating the accused consistent with the intentions of those who solicited services to maximize business opportunities without any consideration of our protective rights against this unobjective reality. We are being preyed upon systematically by a government that has designed a system that allows them to do whatever they require for purely self-serving business objectives with impunity. At the heart of the matter is that we are not valued by our government. Being treated respectfully and with dignity is at their discretion and since counter intuitive to industry efficiency we are not valued and our rights discarded. They have been allowed to self govern too long and now nothing stops their every ambition. These are not isolated incidents, almost everyone is mercilessly devoured for their purposes with no chance of an effective defense from this atrocious inevitable misuse of government power. The entire issue is once government decides to become your domestic enemy and intentionally eliminate you from society then must be some defense and objectivity. It seems to be a game to them, to prove they can do anything they want or say they can and as always there is nothing you can do about it. I think this is the definition of tyranny. Should they be able to continue misusing power this way? What is the remedy? They sure hope so. They sure appreciate the design of the industry they've created for themselves void of external influences or interference of any kind, ever!

Pg. 0

10

...again, my vulnerable position is exploited, desperately to feed the needs of the industry. Exploiting my vulnerability proves their sinister treachery as untrustworthy nature. Rather than recognize the incredibly good content of my character they still choose to misconstrue everything in their animosities favor. They've become extremely cynical and incredibly indifferent to the impact of their crimes against. No protection for their system that really disenfranchised, easily overpowered, helpless victims as impositions must be successful and without the affluence or wealth necessary to pay extortionate fees for a defense attorney who will defend your trampled rights you will receive no justice, only their version as brand of bias process, doomed to be convicted without appropriate objectivity, hence the necessity of our 4th Amendment right to presumed innocence. God help us and our short sighted oppressors. If left up to them it seems government action to ensure protection from overpowering injustices will increasingly fade away into legend. A travesty we are unable to contend with. Here I am exclaiming how dishonest they all are and here is a prime example of deceit, and from a Judge this continued exploitation of supremacy should be viewed as more than an becoming but absolutely intolerable. There will be no record of a previously signed court order for an evaluation, retarded so they could go ahead with their intended murder uncompromised by my attempt to benefit from previous diagnosis. Always defense sabotage - it's intimidating and I'm left in a position to question what they imply. "Did the Dr. not receive an order because one was never sent?" They have implicated how they are treacherous because it methods to manipulate the accused are left unscrutinized by those in a position to take their duty full oath to uphold the Constitution seriously they can continue to maintain the illusion of integrity needed to continue doing only exactly as they please, but the truth which almost never enters the courtroom is abundantly apparent for those their multicoush, exploitative supremacy effects. Please don't let them murder me for making sure you know their propensity for un-convincible treachery. I'm worthy of all the relief I seek.

Florida Criminal Practice and Procedure § 13.09 Participation in a trial (by the trial judge)
 A trial judge has the inherent power to preserve order in the courtroom to protect the rights of the parties, and to further the interests of justice. The trial judge's duty has been described as follows:
 ... to maintain a proper form and decorum in trials and to protect parties, attorneys, witnesses, court personnel, jurors, and the public from any infringement of the due and orderly process of administering justice. The trial court is under a positive duty to conduct an orderly trial, and the public, as well as the persons more directly involved, have the right to look to the judge for guidance for the administration of dignity, and decorum so essential to the proper administration of justice and in short the trial court embodies the personification of fairness and equality before law. *Baizer v. State*, 2013 So.2d 194. FLA Crim. Prac. and P. § 13.11 Response by counsel to judicial misconduct. The misconduct of a trial judge must be addressed in the same way as any other potential trial error. A party or counsel subjected to judicial misconduct is not without recourse. In these situations, though, counsel's response must be carefully considered. A judge is the ultimate courtroom authority and can seriously damage a party's case through his or her legal rulings or influence on a jury. (which can compromise the intended purpose of the court to diligently pursue the ascertainment of the truth, eclipsed by the human factor to need less, demonstrate personal power in hopes no further similar unsatisfying aspects should arise. This factor is unconstitutional only in fair but very real and formidable, intimidating when seeing aggression is never restrained.)
 The wrong way to respond to an angry or over-zealous judge is to challenge the judge's authority or fail to obey the judge's order. (Like disappointing his insistence to accept a public defender three demands by competent logic he may not be used to encountering. Consequences for not immediately obeying that are within his discretionary punishing ability, is the usual reflexive action taken against the unpersuaded, especially against those it is proven can defend themselves from further discretion, for mental abuse. The entire courtroom thrives on interactions that involve his conduct they are largely confident of at least hope. They can always get away with these personal violations and unkind, brutal and expressions and tensions that is created gets dissolved and relieved by satisfying professional prowess that allows them just enough self-affirmation to cure this need for fix. It's a psychological need, a pathologic addiction to assert personal power and prove no consequences from this illegal activity will ever arise. Pro se litigants stand no chance against these long developed cultural prejudices against any defensive aspirations of the accused as they are all predisposed to despise on principle, especially if the self-affirming opportunity to rely together and thwart any defense against a government's conduct.) The right way has been suggested as follows: Under the circumstances, defense counsel should have trimmed his sails to the judicial wind as prevailed in the courtroom. The attorney must swallow his disappointment, save the point for review and wait until later if it is error to be corrected. Meanwhile he must submit to the judge. Also *Baizer supra*, (I'm overly emotional and instinctively defensive and these factors have helped to create the intolerable atmosphere that will continue to prevent justice free of his prejudices and predetermined agenda and all this could have been prevented or avoided if my anguish was not perpetuated by unnecessary detention as the decision in *Douse* indicates relief from overwhelming mental stress.) Counsel should make a record when a judge's anger, hostility, bias or other misconduct is visible upon the defendant and prejudices the defendant's case. *Brown v. State*, 706 So.2d 747. This sensitive matter should be done as respectfully as possible, but note that this is a common enough occurrence and unfortunately reality that there have had to be instructions in fact there is an entire section in the Rules of FLA Crim. Prac. and P. dedicated to this all too common phenomenon to help circumnavigate a judge's decision to be a vindictive obstacle to justice when displeased or unsatisfied. Way too much power too easily misused to compromise defense, maliciously punishing tendencies of a judiciary are a pure menace to the integrity of the process. I can't compete! I need help.

Our legal system is based on the principle that an independent, fair and competent judiciary will interpret and apply the laws that govern us. The role of the judiciary is central to American concepts of justice and the rule of law. Intrinsic to all sections of this Code are the precepts that judges, individually and collectively, must respect and honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to enhance and maintain confidence in our legal system. The Judge is an arbiter of facts and law for the resolution of disputes and a highly visible symbol of government and the rule of law.

The Code of Judicial Conduct establishes standards for ethical conduct of judges. The Canons and Sections are rules of reason. They should be applied consistently with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules and decisions of law and in the context of all relevant circumstances. The text of the Canons and Sections is intended to govern conduct of the judges and to be binding upon them. Whether disciplinary action is appropriate should be determined through a reasonable and reasoned application of the text and should depend on such factors as the seriousness of the transgression, whether there is a pattern of improper activity and the effect of the improper activity on others or on the judicial system. Compromising act of course merely is devastating, but this act of for absolute control at the expense of the tasked availability of our rights is also a pervasive disposition here that since judges can do anything they want when they take action against you your institutional defensive reaction is intimidating and results in powerful vindictive misuses of this position's power. These abusive discretions to force the direction of a compromised defense undermines justice for the entire community as bias processing prevails and rights are ever elusive under their discretionary rule. (Check the records.)

Judges should also be governed in their judicial and personal conduct by general ethical standards. The Code is intended to state basic standards which should govern the conduct of all judges and to provide guidance to assist judges in establishing and maintaining high standards of judicial and personal conduct. (I can't enforce any Constitutional protection from this preference for uncontested impositions of personal authority so manipulation and abuse is all that will be prescribed.)

All too often, quickly and easily, eroded judges discretions severely compromise justice. Written law was designed to eliminate this all too frequent human factor. Satisfaction of an impartial arbiter of law is an unnecessary component that negatively effects the integrity of the process, especially when too many are hopelessly predisposed to their function and obligation to the culture who never values our defensive rights. Remember they wouldn't be so viciously defensive if they were functioning properly but they can choose to be unethical whenever it suits them, that is their power. When impositions of authority are unnecessary it's hard to be clearly and correctly perceived as manipulative abuse for profit or personal satisfaction, externally or internally influenced or demanded. Law enforcement must only intrude into our personal lives and not us at our most valuable inalienable right to liberty out of necessity only. Should I have to be able to out litigate all professionals, DCA, Judges, State, if I want to effectively contest injustices induced by government misconduct. In classic Clapham's coherence that is impervious to public rights this collusive dynamic makes constitutional protection from overt misuses of authority a myth. Such an impenetrable aspect in inevitably leads to tyrannical impositions as intended.

As a former prosecutor this judge has spearheaded a campaign against my defensive ambitions. It is a transparent reflection of preconceived notions of how to continue to "handle me" confident I will always remain defenseless against his intentional abuse. He sees no reason to restrain his prosecutorial tendencies against a non-affluent nuisance to the bias process, the courts depend on, he fears no consequences for any misconduct arising out of his unfair processing agenda, because for malice, produced heinous allegations aren't respected enough to receive normal considerations as entitled. He still must recognize the aggressive above the law actions and other due process injustices that suggest these egregious intentions may be less warranted than the underlying facts not necessarily included in the condemning police reports, illas tone or suggest, as this aggression may prove unreliable but what's going to stop him from further intentional neglect of the truth of my complicated circumstances. He is still a prosecutor at heart and condoning tendencies to excuse his conduct are not latent enough to claim impartiality. It's too premature and should create many suspicions when faced with irresponsible institutional bias, discreditions and behavior that should question if he is genuinely incapable of performing judicial obligations correctly and ethically as confidence in government can't be insured by ray catches. This emotional instability and aptitude to assert themselves vindictively when frustrated by the rights of the public for bodies our eternal detriment if their activities are monitored scrutinized for the abundance and readily apparent institutional bias perversion of the process that systematically denies the accused every right they can misuse their power to eliminate. Judges are the real problem because they function for the industry and we are entitled to protection from this lack of separation of powers. If the Judge is still functioning maliciously as a prosecutor he has aspired to responsibility he is not capable of. It is good of the Judge as a former prosecutor to know the law well despite my having to educate him several times in an attempt to derail, determined efforts and clear precedents but actively choosing to devastate my defense and refuse to honor the Constitution because he realizes I can't stop him is deplorable. His knowledge of law is important but if this can only more effectively produce bias results he is still a prosecutor co-signed to the industry, a judge in title only. He went to law school for 7 years to discover and devise ways of denying and preventing our civil Constitution from being accessible to the public, great lying is standard treachery for the state and as a former prosecutor who believes the job of effectively prosecuting everyone no matter how unethical, unscrupulous, or unlawful all condemning efforts are completely acceptable and he will never choose to scrutinize their illegitimacy as his sense of duty to balance implies as his function is only as a tool of the industry and is literally paid not to re-

...time to operate in the courts to help produce and manufacture the bias results the industry depends on for business. Yeah, it's pretty difficult to accept the insanity the courts have devolved into here that have perverted the process to unrecognizable levels of kangaroo unfairness. We have no justice and must beg for benevolence regardless of the validity of facts in your favor they can always choose to deny. They appreciate absolute control and assenting reasons their considerations should be more humanitarian based and less hopelessly institutional bias that always and inherently obscures reality in their agendas favor interests that they have developed unethical practices and provides a set up, an opportunity to prove how futile your attempts at justice will always be especially if you hope to infuse integrity into the process or illustrate how their trusted policies are extremely unconstructive for the unfairness they produce that annihilates the fundamental precept of balance and protection from abused government power in the Constitution. Here in Tennessee discretionary lawlessness reigns supreme. Whistle blowers and any dissent are prevented from justice on principle. This jurisdiction is completely and perfectly comfortable misusing overmassed power (inter-pretive) however it suits their interests. May be it's a nationwide epidemic that courts are allowed to always collusively protect state interest without concern for the value of devastation their self-serving mentality causes the community with careless and needless injustices. Either way nationally or locally, we do serve protection as entitiled by our U.S. Constitution from this complete misconstruction and abuse of government powers. Whenever control is involved, especially as a must, the real purpose of their intentions isn't always easily decipherable. Whistle-blower prevention is a productively integral component formula that conceals the treachery the courts depend on to produce results that essentially reifies the empire. 60% of what they do provides a vital service to the community but as explained about the need for weekend work in my petition for a writ of prohibition they have developed such overpowering, devouring all consuming methods that they always deploy indiscriminatory for the benefits these methods produce which means that 40% of their impositions are unnecessary fracturing families in the community which there should never be any excuse for. No matter how obvious the need of government action to incorporate protective constitutional provisions to insure an uncompromised justice the industry isn't interested in anything but conquest which comes at a great cost to the integrity of the process and defenseless private citizens. Propaganda and hyperbole should be eradicated for it's enabling dependence to facilitate intentions with impunity. I would not like to believe the social networking realities of comb working together to insure justice is an impossibility for those they choose to persecute and develop plausible pretext (fictional) only for condensing and gaslighting (well-crafted language excuses) against just as much as they wouldn't like to admit these facts of abusing power for their own purposes exist but it makes this reality of dishonesty no less tangible for their victims. The Khashoggi incident is still making head lines while my needless murder is just swept under the carpet. Just like unsustainably harvesting a natural resource or contamination from refuse mismanagement that the next generation has to clean up the court system also irresponsibly conducts business with only immediate personal benefits in mind, and the unfairness of these bias methods create fractured families and inherently harm our childrens optimal cognitive development due to these devastating unnecessary personal losses during these formative years. I expand these facts in my Petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus. SC18-2133 ID18-3010. Our children have rights and currently they are being neglected as an unvalued class of citizens that can't stand up for themselves. God bless our short sighted oppressors and God help us.

As embodied in our 5th and 14th Amendment to our U.S. Constitution, due process is not confined to any one area or concept. Nor is it confined to any one portion of the Constitution. Due process considerations underlie all of the criminal related provisions of the Bill of Rights. But due process, unlike some legal rules is not a technical conception with a fixed content unrelated to time, place and circumstances. Due process cannot be imprisoned within the treacherous limits of any formula. Justice Frankfurter characterized due process as a concept which grows as man becomes more enlightened. Due process embodies both the defendants procedural and substantive rights. Protection from corruption of the process without corresponding substantive rights is of little or no value. Likewise, if the substantive rights of the defendant are removed or altered in a corrupt or evil manner the perfection of process merely serve to ratify a corrupt result. Procedural due process is defined as a fundamental requirement of fairness. My needless perpetual torment is unconstitutional. My federal right to a speedy trial encompasses the very real need to prevent prolonged anguish. My detention is truly unnecessary. Also our speedy trial clause is designed to prevent damage to evidence dissipated by the passage of time. My Mom's intrusive influential campaign against my right full liberty effectively driving a wedge between legal reasonable treatment by inserting herself to gain control over the courts has irreversibly damaged my ability to defend myself and created insurmountable prejudices with fear mongering or whatever pressure is effective and my protests to any mistreatment reflexively inspire vengeful wrath that prevents appropriate considerations, exactly as intended. I can't compare with predetermined malicious agendas and cultural regressions. According to Adams, Pozo, and Douze these intentional due process violations eliminate essential fairness. The biggest due process issue related to Mom's intrusive influential campaign has resulted in the unavailability of my fundamental 6th Amended right to assistance of counsel. My Mom uses them as conduit for her ambitions to control the outcome of my circumstances as desired since they are in opposition to mismanage my defense. Only one public pretender thought her aggressive efforts were unethical, so she has learned the art of delivering the well-crafted inarguable excuse to insure allegiance. This judge won't respect any defense not presented by a lawyer so my aspirations for justice based on the truth are greatly compromised without my 6th Amended right to effective assistance of counsel. I cannot receive protection from my domestic enemies without my Constitution. Douze clearly states "continued detention is cognizable due process violation". Like the intractable laws of physics the truth is, I'm a good person, however easily overpowered. My continued detention is unnecessary. Fla Stat § 775.012(4) to detain on reasonable grounds (never happens when prejudices and obligations to the industry are prioritized) between serious and minor offenses and to establish appropriate dispositions for each. This can't happen if the primary objective of law enforcement is to manipulate the accused premeditatedly and collusively to assert themselves vindictively to satisfy malice and cultural contempt for outspoken defiance over emotional reactions to perceived abuse that doesn't appropriately or objectively encompass the truth of the circumstances. You understand that law enforcement is just a series of manipulations that attempts to justify impositions of authority. I have been manipulated to suit the intentions of corrupted officers (contempt of Cop is common) they've acted confidently knowing they have full and unequivocal support of the entire collusive judicial system - completely obligated to preserve their right (unquestioned belief that professionally submitted pretext can always justify desired impositions as a matter of right) to violate our rights under any presumptive pursuit of justice. Is it truly inconceivable that Cop can choose to destroy your life with abuse of their awesome power and intimate knowledge of how to produce results through manipulations of the charging process. Mustn't be so obvious these choices show evil towards their fellow man in desperate attempts to appear legitimate and perpetuate uncontested power over the accused. I'm deeply disappointed in the absolute lack of

... objectivity as they choose to view things incorrectly to suit their malice. It's irresponsible but further illustrates how very little value the truth has. My contempt for injustice is rightfully formed. Just because mistreatment of the individual accused is the norm here, it makes the unconstitutional intentional defense compromise my impact no less real. We are not allowed to defend ourselves against government misconduct without meaning in what further abuses of authority we can't compete with by design. Are they allowed to be so tyrannical? My successful navigation of the system should be continuing on perfectly delivered social etiquette when the only thing they accept from the accused is absolute submission without protest and any defensive ambition against over zealous unnecessary dominance should immediately result in further abuses of authoritative power but that is the current dynamic of this judicial process, as we've mistakenly trusted them to operate responsibly and unopposed. Which are two aspects that notoriously fail, consumed by power's insatiable need to become purely self-serving and unopposed. My successful navigation of the system should not only be continuing with said factoring compliance with bias demands not in my best interests. Retentive compliance of favorable rights as punishment for not being forced to conform demonstrates great animosity as my resistance has inspired as we are not allowed to stand too firmly on our rights without incurring further abuses of authority. They want allowances to compete with. An affinity for deception and even murder when they don't get their way should define them as evil but that is their business of dehumanizing and vilifying so their atrocious injustices don't reflect their dispicable treacherous nature after all who cares about the accused, right?

Criminal law is the product of tension between the rights of the individual and the rights of the State. To regulate the individual's conduct, while citizens look to the government to provide for their security and safety, they also look to the government to provide those services without impinging on democratic values. Accordingly a consensus exists that government may not exceed certain limits in the detection, apprehension and prosecution of individuals. These recent transgressions of state employees have violated that consensus and exceeded the limit of what a free society will tolerate. There is no struggle here, no struggle of consciousness. They do what ever they want with impunity and don't care about the wake of their overpowered unethical transgressions against poor value less people and their families. There is no tension between the rights of the individual and the rights of the State because they eliminate your defense and impose regulations at will, the least resistance standing. The aggressive enhancement of charges maliciously and effectively made my circumstances purposely overwhelming. The whole point is to make sure I'm completely defenseless against sinister exploits and to leverage conviction by limiting reasonable options. A very productive formula impossible to compete with. My initial charges could never support this intentionally devised torturous status, so defense sabotage and interference was deployed - reflective of standard vindictive cultural aggression all in an attempt to be satisfied. Needless, consumed by conquest of our due process rights to essential fairness is an intentionally devastating obliteration. They enjoy misusing their authority and control too much to ever be ethical in their current unwieldy state. There is no struggle here, no struggle of consciousness. There is no struggle here of law enforcement to intrude on the personal lives of citizens. Obviously any claim that my detention is necessary unfairly precludes my many favorable rights if objectivity is not a relevant factor in such decisions. This is not a business of understanding unfortunate family dynamics that would motivate aspersions that are purely purposeful. It is not a business that incorporates understanding that would produce ethical considerations and treatment especially if exponential influences (which should remain a collateral issue not valued with equality when regarding my rights to uncompromised due process fairness free of persecution by domestic enemies that salient services for personal purposes) Further proving and illustrating the truth of anything is never a factor in their uncompromised predetermined agenda. Their broad of justice. Once the court determines they don't like your attitude and you shouldn't receive justice reflective of reality they can misstrive, exponentiate and often intentionally are inclined to distort any truths that don't support their prejudices and determined to impose themselves it is impossible to get them to follow the law and any appeals to reason by the dispossessed works against you for revealing their determination to be unreasonable towards your legitimate legal pleas. Routine condemning efforts have eclipsed any interest of the courts in providing justice for me. Persecution is unconstitutional but they can prevent my every attempt to enforce or implement constitutional protection from their purposeful abuse. Justice is dead once powerful prejudices prevail uncontested. They don't fear transparency of overt aggression as they can always care misconduct with preformulated cultural accepted pretext. You should recognize my innocence that they will never concern themselves with because maintaining an ability to impose themselves is the only priority and the lack of necessity is inconceivable. It's not personal, they will destroy all righteous dissent of their abusive methodologies on principle.

Mem advocates any treachery like dishonoring court date changes, anything to limit my ability to effectively maintain my viable position in hopes that my dwindling options finally force the nationalization that I must allow the court to decide unlawfully contrived. She especially appreciates the courts overdependence on the psych. profession as the inexactitude of the undiagnosable attempts over limitless power through purposeful misdiagnosis. Remember my diagnosis is shifting depending on the needs of the evaluator to produce predetermined results - facilitate a pre-orchestrated agenda for the court to kangaroo as desired. Any intentional torment is acceptable to my Mom in hopes of finally forcing me to accept probation as the alternative is always a very unfair unprepared for trial. The easy conviction that probation affords gives my Mom's manipulative prowess and connectivity (socially she's plugged in!!) complete control over my liberty that will quickly be discarded if she is ever disappointed with her perpetual demands (well over a decade) for compliance with over medication. This is precisely why I can't accept probation. She has connected herself to everyone of influence and the process will be corrupted again as it was this time at her very whim and discretion. Sure I could take my meds and move far away from her insanity for my personal safety but maybe something unforeseen could resurrect me to this chaos. A prospect an innocent man finds intolerable. The system can't be trusted by me, too much maliciousness has prevailed already. Remember my Mom knows of my innocence first hand. She mentored the self inflicted shampoo bottle bruises I didn't think she was even aware of on 5/25/16 in open court in a desperate attempt to regain control. My defensive ambitions are very legitimate based on competent evidence. I'm not just another jerk fighting the system for my life without substantial truths to support my position. Having to defend myself against treatment shouldn't compromise these facts but the courts don't appreciate such righteousness. Anyways please review the record and form your own opinion. I am innocent but still as I have mentored my Mom applies herself to a specific sentence. One that involves chemical oppression as punishment. My first release on 8/17/10 claim equipped with Mom's insistence I stay on my meds. You must understand not being objective about my fact based mental health that doesn't warrant chemical neutralization of any kind has become the entire issue behind my unnecessary

RECEIVED

MAY 21 2019

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 2/24/19

pg. 1

OFFICE OF
SUPERVISOR

detention. I was immediately presented with the prospect of going to the apalachee center that's no longer an option. Please never forget 2 RORS. I'm no danger to anyone ever and House provides relief from this perpetual torment. May be you don't know but my experience in the State Hospitals reveals that most people don't take their meds I'm just an idiot for trying to explain why what they do everyday is wrong for me. They don't believe their job as a shrink should be diminished to accommodate any prospects that true mental health probably only rarely benefits from extensive mind altering medications. I don't deserve to be punished and certainly won't accept such unnecessary impositions as punishment just to satiate my ridiculous oppressors. I could always handle any conditions of probation but they keep insisting unnecessary seriously repressive medications. And my Mom would be her ever intrusive self about the issue and like a witch if she even suspected I was displaying unregulated normal behavior she would violate me. Truly an institutionalized and unfortunate dynamic. How did her satisfaction become such a controlling factor on my justice. Because per her usual she applies herself until successful. My step-father of nearly 25 yrs is of Jewish descent. Mom and him used to be buddies together. He had a heart attack over a decade and this gave my Mom with extensive knowledge of the cardiovascular system as an RN the opportunity to earn the title of a food Nazi. Of course it is wonderful that Bryce was in such capable loving hands but her control issues are always dangerous. She constantly informed us as children how important control is to which I still disagree but how I can't legitimately achieve my liberty. He Anyways that was well before I was locked up and he looks starving all the time. He at the Holidays to make sure eat her him splurge and she immediately kicks off a spillover about how he is a little overweight and it puts stress on his lower back and knees almost before I finish my request for share holiday love. He has pretty much been starving since they met and that's common. I've met a few slender beautiful women that eat little birds but being trim and healthy isn't the same as elderly and famished. It's like the leading cause of death, neglect. Mom sure he'd be fine well versed with his shameful regrets when he over indulges opportunistically. Mom is a control freak so her fear mongering in arguable excuses not founded in fact and evidence just like the MS diagnosis she aggressively insists upon makes her very dangerous to me, especially because the courts refuse to value any thing I say in protest of my MS diagnosis. I mention in my petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus that every time I reference her involvement that unnecessarily complicates my ability to receive fair justice the courts dismiss my valid claims and focus only on my disappointment. The more adamantly I express my self the more they fear volatile reactions. I'm not used to dealing with this culture's irrational propensity to always view things as if all people are crazy. I freely express my self, always confident that I would never give anyone any reason to re-subject me to this unreasonable chaos. I can't ever receive justice without your help. My Mom is connected. The entire jail staff is under her thumb exactly as she demands. Today in fact Lt. Rathman who she has deployed to check on me before requested an interview while I was peeing. She is notorious for using others to implement her agenda. True to form he did an interview psych. evaluation as the entire culture is desperate to rush me to trial. I've been declining visits with my Mom since New Years because she misuses them to profile me negatively, consistent with her intentions to help the court find me competent and rush me to trial. I need protection from her well documented relentless exploits because the jail and court don't see anything wrong with deceptive manipulations they can enjoy co-conspiring and orchestrating unlawfully and unethically because nothing will ever stop them. Just because their Mom would never manipulate the system as she has done my self-protective instincts are misperceived as a lack of respect for my Mom. A cardinal sin here in the south. The one public pretender who thought her intrusive efforts were unethical mentioned it in court and I agreed I wanted my Mom to have no legal access to my circumstances but she protested and despite the obvious need for Constitutional protection the judge because intimidated with me for arguing with my Mom. It's common to assert aggression on the vulnerable as it's easier than getting the State to compromise. No member of authority including easily corruptible psych. professionals should ever be trusted. Every one down here knows I'm being taken advantage of but since I'm completely vulnerable no one cares. There was a conversation I had with my family very early on that I expressed contempt with being left in jail to which they responded if you going to have an attitude about it (what an unfortunate dynamic the bull position creates for families that have a Cajon disposition towards mis treatment by authority, adversity, every ambition of my Mom is equally regarded as legitimate. It's unacceptable we will just leave you there which produced extreme distress and screaming and I'm still here unnecessarily. I don't think my family already knew my Mom had already worked hard to get herself away from and band possibilities or responds with little but she sure relished the excuse to abandon me indefinitely. If she has every ones support why consider being fair to me if it will cost her money to do so? She has developed a natural capacity to enlist the support of others but in this former instance my anguish paved the way. I have rights - just no way to enforce them without action by this court. I just don't think it's fair that my (our) Constitution is so easily side lined to accommodate inflammatory persecution unlawfully contrived. Just like Kav a nough and even our last president's election propaganda and unsound inflammatory efforts couldn't replace well established pragmatic procedural rules so I ask that the same principles also apply to my disenfranchised State. To insure the protection I deserve from my domestic enemies who promote uncontestal persecution instead of appropriate considerations that encompass essential fairness - the essence of due process.

Back when they first came out a long time ago (84-85?) My Mom! ... got me the Apple Cinnamon Cheerios when we saw them and made me feel so special. We used to get them almost every grocery store trip as such choices were important to our family. I miss those times with my brothers and parents and if you think I can't be with them again some day sham on you for thinking I deserve the mistreatment of the courts. Do you know how much I wonder why having a genuine, kind things my Mom did for me throughout my life that made me feel special? Loved? I'll never forget them. I'll always owe her my whole heart so I try to maintain a relationship. Do you know what she is doing now? Can we get on the same page and recognize my obvious need for Constitutional protection from domestic enemies against my rightful liberty or are you going to continue to compartmentalize my plight and protests of these injustices as reasons to allow the L.A. to continue to overpower me and compound the vulnerability of my circumstances (unfortunate) with devastating further neglect? Please be responsible to me as entitled. My Dad almost bailed me out and my Mom said "you almost got out didn't you?" I said "yeah, so?" But I hadn't realized yet that it was her influences that prevented my rightful liberty again. Remember 3 or 4 months after I was arrested and if finally dawned on me the excuses I was getting from my Mom and the lawyer she paid to implement this agenda I accusatorially said your just using the system against me and much to my surprise she admittedly said "And there's nothing you can do about it" and stormed away from visitation in classic Cal boy fashion. Remember my first ROR for 3420 a third degree felony came with advisement that my Mom take the responsibility of residence to which my Mom not only flatly denied but also added devastating aspersions that have proven to be the nails in my coffin 8 yrs ago. Sure I'm innocent but the court will find away around that just like they did my rights to liberty. No one scrutinizes her motives for personal purposes of misusing the jail as an economic housing solution. My detention lacks necessity but because it is evident that as an individual person I can't protect myself from further mistreatment no one here will ever be responsible for me.

I love my Mom very much, she loves power and control, this will always be. I've been tormented for years (years of deterioration and aggression from a court who refuses to responsibly consider my mental health and concede that my liberty offers the most healthy and reasonable solution is bound to result negatively to their interests to keep me under the effective oppression. Inactivity has created a well pronounced physical infirmity I can't explain that is exponentially exacerbating my anxiety issues and PTSD. The Federal Speedy trial clause reflects concern that a presumptively innocent person should not languish under unresolved charges. My Mental Health and competency have been directly associated to stress levels. Liberty offers the most reasonable solution for many fundamental reasons as my detention is unnecessary. In the face of emotional abuse and unfair processing not remotely concerned with basic precepts of Justice. This judge's aggression and defense compromising ambitions sure to render my presentation ineffective as intended is way past alarming and unconstitutional but creates the intolerable atmosphere as legitimate Motion for Judicial Disqualification was intended to prevent. Anguish compounded by foreboding can never lead to actual justice but just like the plethora of intentional financial process violations the courts won't ever acknowledge as damaging injustices, torment and perpetuation. Anguish creates my incompetence but in the land of disorientation lawlessness I know the judges' prejudices must be satisfied to my detriment as he won't value anything I say so my attempts to utilize a second Mental Health evaluation that he quickly denied to acquire a non-institutionally bias or tampered with professional to discern the truth of my serious and delicate situation. The incompetency defense can also be based upon a physical infirmity Holmes v State 494 So 2d 230. This judge will always be irresponsible to me on any truth that obstructs his reckless prosecutorial agenda. Depending on myopic or acute perspective to facilitate vindictive animosity is only a reflection of the absolute lack of respect he has for me and is using my attempt at legitimate incompetence to justify his wrathful ambitions. It's purely terrorizing! If he doesn't get his way further abuses of authority will ensue. When did justice become contingent on satisfying such a demanding judge? Probably when someone mistakenly expects respect without a lawyer is possible. If he obviously doesn't acknowledge his aggression and persecution, disposition is the primary source of my incompetence than discretion my lawlessness. I'm unable to compete with is the problem. It is costly at great expense to the justice I'm entitled to and will detrimentally affect my defense exactly as intended. He has proven entirely confrontational and combative on every occasion by my quest check the records, again and again he reflects in freedom tone and raised voice best he can do anything he wants and that is entirely the problem as he has predetermined malicious retaliation for the internalized insult a natural excuse for people of power to dissent aggression of both my defensive attitude and my legitimate claim of incompetence that he disagrees with. Now I have to contend with even more aggression because he misperceives my incompetency truths as just a ploy to tactically evade his abusive disposition. Nothing must obstruct his very determined and premeditated agenda. He is not interested in any truth of my legitimate claim of incompetence or concerned with my Mental Health. She (my Mom) has orchestrated my involuntary hospitalization three times but since I can still recall her devastating influences she doesn't protect his diagnosis any longer, as she wants finality and this judge is clearly intent on processing me as unfairly as possible to insure I'm ineffective as punishment for protesting mistreatment as there should be no defense from any government manipulation or abuse of

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 2/24/14 pg.0

... authority if he has anything to say about it and he does. She needs this prejudicial dynamic in a judge otherwise objectively one could find multiple reasons to process me fairly and may even insure (constitution) protection from the long list of travesties I've been forced to endure. This judge finds my defensive ambitions intolerable and my domestic enemies share the routine condemning efforts as completely legitimate to manufacture and promote the state's minimal protest from the professionally concealed malice and unconstitutional brand of processing that biased and unfairly destroys all prospects for actual justice of the systematically disenfranchised as this is the formulaically prescribed cure-all to further illustrate their desperation for exoneration. It is a travesty. It is treachery. This judge has no problem pushing me despite the lack of necessity and now with the green light to abuse me from the DCA there will be no reversal because of rushing which usually results this way. Just another tool in his arsenal to prevent an effective defense. Life maliciously compromising any due process rights to facilitate this agenda as he is very determined that unobjective persecution is a cure all and responsibly must protect the industry. Since I rarely speak much to others and am on my active 3 has a week I lose my speech relatively quickly and I believe I've had 2-3 three minor heart attacks, one that was very near death and the others only increased the ever present flatter from inactivity and a mixture of pure disappointment with my never-ending mistreatment and fear of further impending unobjective unfairness that certainly implies the court will never value me enough to insure my legitimate defensive ambitions prove effective or are ever meaningful as entitled. I have suffered greatly both physically as well as deterioration mentally as two psych. Dr's opinion continued in incarceration would produce over-zealous unfairness which the judge openly discards matters of evidence and other considerations important to me cause trembling in my knees that work up through the torso and usually last for 20 minutes and consistent with my well established diagnosis. My disappointment exacerbates into desperation and my appeals and protest become increasingly unwieldy. How am I supposed to compete with this problem of prolonged torment? My passion just complicates everything but is often uncontainable. It's a lack of respect from the judge issue, that is the main issue, as I will never be valued enough to be treated with equality within the court as entitled and my diminished status insures I won't be regarded with dignity either. They don't want me to be incompetent so they won't be interested in my supportive truth, hence my very real need for a second evaluation. I need to use any favorable aspects found in the reports because my assertions are inexorably critical without professional support. I justified was of interest a less compromised unrushed defense that allows elucidation and clarity to be valued and truths to be relevant at a later time could be appropriate as it offers no injury to the state but they are anxious to misuse all available power to exonerate cultural misconduct at the cost of my wrongful and manufactured conviction. A court system that refuses to follow any rules of procedure or statutes that obstruct their intentions as desired to unlawfully impose themselves is a menace to society. Collective misuses of power is a crime they systematically prevent any effective defense from, we are powerless without the constitution. If the judge doesn't want to accept that his aggression is the cause of my crippling emotions and nervous system reactions, the cause of my incompetence that exacerbates my physically deteriorated state than I ask or humbly implore this court not to co-sign my unnecessary murder as they hope you neglect my necessity of constitutional protection and advocate the very real possibility of acquittal of a private attorney that I can pre-vent Mom's relentless tampering efforts of at liberty to finally insure my 6th Amendment right as I've repeatedly expressed great confidence in getting which is the only possible way I'll penetrate the courts persecutorial momentum. Being reliant/completely dependent on the courts to process the valuation they choose. In a competency proceedings are a choke hold where they notoriously and unobjectively involuntarily hospitalize you indefinitely. After my first trip to the state hospital without a mental health hearing I educated myself and explained to Judge Sheffield that being found Not Guilty by Reason of insanity does not necessarily warrant involuntary hospitalization and once enlightened he said well that's what we usually do anyway. I explained to him the various reasons this was not necessary and my mom disappointed in the end of infinite factor she hoped NGI of offend subsequently immediately and belligerently as this is her usual style forced Dr. Prichard to retract his initial expert witness support of this defense, but he is on record in August of 2013 at a mental health hearing slash bond hearing where I did receive another bond, advocating such prospects. Mom is insatiable. Dr. Prichard provides no match and always agrees to be her puppet and has compromised my justice and due process rights every single time. Reasonable treatment or liberty was an option. She declined a probation offer that I may have taken (Prosecution to my mind) that she paid good money for manipulative service Mr. Ward to my Mom to a vigorous No No No. I found out later she explained to me I didn't want that but then advocated it on return because control factors that met her specific satisfaction where hammered out as she preferred I be sent back to DCF unnecessarily in hopes over medication (a well documented long aspired goal) would finally produce the results she has relentlessly campaigned for. She aggressively sought for me to sign over power of attorney there is impenetrable evidence in the Appendix to my Petition for a writ of prohibition that back in 2010 she tried to proceed without me which the court ethically denied this intrusive involvement through both Eric Horn and me paid to do as she commanded private attorney and she even paid a friend to file legal documents to this prospect. This can much more is illustrated in my Petition for a writ of prohibition and my original Petition for a writ of habeas corpus but not the current one as I had hoped the integrity of the court would see the legal grounds for my rightful release without having to bring up this incredibly unpopular reality, but as you will see she tampered with this prospect as well, an absolute memory liberty!

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 3/17/19 Pg. 0

Although law enforcement officers and trial judges play important but different roles, they share many common objectives. Law enforcement officers investigate crimes and establish probable cause for arrests and search warrants. They investigate and report relevant facts, which lead to incriminate or exonerate — year right, not bend clauses to business objectives and conquest for profit. They intentionally act above the law, confident you can't defend yourself from the Constitutional transgressions. This proves they have no concern with rights (violations) they plan to circumvent legally later. Knowingly violating the Constitution demonstrate a complete lack of respect for any compliance to their ambitions, even if well established procedural law, designed to protect fundamental civil rights, gets compromised by their self-serving processes. They all truly feel the system actually disenfranchised are no match for their ability to exonerate Constitutional and due process violations. Like lawyers, they must have a working knowledge of criminal law, the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments, and current case law. With Amendment violations (twice), no warrant or probable cause, pushing my Mom out of the way, a threshold issue not in hot pursuit that you bet she filed a complaint etc. "Law enforcement officers' jobs aren't easy, nor were they meant to be. They embody the power of the state, and a reliable and trusted police force is vital to the continued success of a free people. Trial judges are responsible for ensuring due process of law, faithfully following the rule of law, and balancing mercy and justice. Good trial judges treat people with respect and dignity. They prepare, explain, listen intently, and render thoughtful, fair and prompt rulings. By fulfilling their duties, they too protect people from bullies, tyrants and injustice. Any abuse of power or dereliction of duty by law enforcement officers or trial judges weakens the rule of law. Corruption or incompetence by either undermines the people's trust in their government. Justice should also be visible (hiding under the jail for 8 hrs not so much). No communicating with outside world in this age of technology and connectivity) good conduct and transparency by law enforcement officers and judges always matter because any appearance of impropriety will also erode the public's trust. My experience is that people want to understand and believe in their government but they sometimes struggle to do so. As public servants, law enforcement officers and trial judges should regularly explain their roles to the people and set an expectation of civility. No overpowering vulnerable defendants with unnecessarily strict adherence to procedural rules that obstruct the courts primary function to provide civil service that isn't always bias against the accused. Intrinsically complicating a defense effectiveness and a setting contempt for my defensive attitude that results in directives and catastrophically with uncontained rage determined to punish me for misperceived insolence is grossly unconstitutional and against the codes of judicial conduct. When am I allowed to be disappointed with injustice and mistreatment in this town? Never! When the judge prefers to personally mismanage my defensive ambitions or make a public pretense needlessly interfere because my aspirations of justice are not respected I need Constitutional protection. This dereliction of duty is an injustice, but like everything I can compete with without Constitutional protection from my oppressive domestic enemies they consistently deny that was an article from Judge Layne Smith, a Leon County Judge. This isn't from someone in far away liberalized California where there are consequences for trampled rights, this is from a local judge who values the integrity fairness provides. It's always terrifying to know I'm in the hands of murderers, that is why maliciously subjecting me to the implacable clutches of the law unnecessarily as a personal tool, bulldozed from society, is such a due process violation. I have rights against persecution even if their confident these abusive formulas can hide the intentional unconstitutional manipulations. Remember my children are also citizens with rights against having their father unnecessarily consumed by an unconstitutional, overly oppressive and unfair judicial system, a government that refuses to process their victims fairly, due process considerations eclipsed by the incessant ambition of conquest for profit and sheer dominating satisfaction. Recently our new Governor Ron DeSantis declared our fresh water quality was a top priority, not to be infringed upon by great agri-business. This in the wake of catastrophic, Marathon red tide, and blue green algae issues that drove tourism away so we all hope this dedication remains priority beyond the news of impact. My point is some things are precious to us and government always has the ability to preserve these valued rights. Surprised someone is responsibly trying to take Florida in the right direction. An over hand of the legal system would ensure we are governed by responsible people that do the right thing. The current unfair processing deserves attention. I'm asking this most noble court to see our apparent need to restore balance, to do the right thing and reform the bias court processes for the goal of every individual in our Nation and to re-install confidence in government. We sure would appreciate a lot if you do what you can to correct this unfortunate imbalance. There was an incident here at the jail with a physical altercation with a female inmate and all the officers conspired to write a condemning/exonerating report (as always). They got tired for their collusive dishonesty, for trying to protect one another when bad things happen to worthless inmates. It's a very rare occasion that the public is able to hold any organizational member accountable for mistreatment even of a severe degree because their planning hesitiveness proves quite impenetrable and the lawyers you paid to convict these criminals try to dissuasively rationalize the less abusive, ambitious because as a bar member he accepts that at least occasionally people are going to have a legitimate protesting position against this culture's misguided mental my that all transgressions can be justified, especially confident the insulative power of the

... Court system can always be depended on to interpret everything in favor of exoneration.

A Pick up truck that was refueling at a gas station was involved in an incident where an out of control police car in a high speed chase smashed into it. An Ambulance was deployed but a once over of passengers and fueler claimed no injuries (to protect flagrant disregard for human safety which would prove a liability issue) The severe bruises and battering from the collision resulted in hospital visits the next day with extensive injuries to two people. This town is dirty and all state employees or institutional employees always support each other with lies, deception, dishonesty, treachery, and we are supposed to give these miscreants with awesome power to abuse us at will respect because they demand it but don't earn it. The Stone Film Footage is intense yet the paramedics didn't want to create problems for the officer because he was clearly in the wrong for driving so carelessly, recklessly. Check the intense film footage yourself, anyone would expect definite injuries. A cop-centric universe to be sure. The chase itself was fairly frivolously based proving once they set their sights they will go to great lengths to pursue conquest at any expense of rights they can prevent or discard. 10 yrs or so ago a cop shot a fleeing suspect to death here in Tallahassee. During apprehension at a gas station the officer slipped and fell so the suspect jumped in the back of his fiancée's truck and the infuriated cop arose gun ablazing, jumped in the back with the suspect and practically unloading on the force department tried to defend the murder even though there is no law that allows such excessive use of deadly force. The officer was infuriated with embarrassment and as every thing results vindictively for these guys someone had to die. The murder victims estate won like a \$m million in a settlement. This cop wasn't fired. A couple of years later this same officer broke the blue code of silence and told an fellow officer for being belligerently abusive and was subsequently subject to insatiable harassment for this taboo offense. Any thing against this awesome power's affinity to do whatever it wants is taboo here and create murky powerful dangerous enemies that we have no protection from. Harassed for providing transparency of this usually silenced unlawful aggression issue. Another cardinal sin they disapprove of. He sued for his former and was repositioned as security at the airport to avoid future conflict. It's all just a game to them as their true function is not to cost the city more than their exploits produce.

This tyrannical oppressive authoritarian regime is only governed by peacessional inter-ruptious in their supremacy as they work extremely hard to avoid culpability for their malfeasance and determination for absolute control whenever possible. It is a thriving industry because they control every aspect and won't allow unwanted complications of any kind. Since most of their horrendous activity that disrespects basic civil rights is well insulated by the court system they truly don't fear any consequence for harassing citizens, cultural aggression, and unlawful abuses of process that we can't defend ourselves from anyway, especially prevent transgressions against indigent citizens which are usually the targets of this unbridled official misconduct unbecoming of a trained law enforcement officer. In that case the overt harassment was not hidden very well typical fearless acts that resulted in a settlement against them for their unrestrained contempt for insolence of any kind. They mistakenly didn't factor in that some citizens can afford an attorney when a lucrative settlement is eminent. They will likely prevail as usual against anyone they choose to be a domestic enemy of in the future in this war against anyone that they can misuse authority to overpower to conduct business upon them. Just like this judge has been given the green light to process me as unfairly as possible, assured he will never be held accountable for any intentional treachery that devastates my dreams to issue conquest of their (continual) enemy (more correctly shared target of their aggression) with various factors and tactical formulas that insure no liability law enforcement here is allowed to interfere themselves as maliciously as they please as this also benefits the industry to rationalize their way around treating people as fellow humans.

For the ignorant it is often unclear that it is all a conditioning process to force you to play their game by the only available bias self-serving rules they allow to enter the equation. Limitations that insure they win on some level or be subjected to force the irrational process of an inevitably maladjusted trial where they will unfairly seek an advantage and inflict greater vengeance for forcing them to put their treachery on record as plausibly possible and as protection of psychological manipulators justifies here usually accept what the state presents and the trappings of the court or the judge. In their position is the only one of value. Their intent was not justice but to force you to accept their operations without dissent of their clearly institutionally bias unethical behavior or the looming threat of the other option of destruction at an unfair trial if you underestimate their manufacturing and fabricating ability. Putting their treachery on record makes them nervous as transparency of their manipulations could be subject to ethical scrutiny and eventually the realization that changes in their productive formulas are necessary to protect the public from the imbalance they've created in the courts that conveniently detract from their adversarial defensive effectiveness substantially. It's just business, unfair conquest, but being so unconstitutionally unreasonable on principle as a mentality we deserve protection from.

Liberty doesn't offer as a prospect for me as my reality is not for the faint of heart. I can't accept probation because my attorney will relentlessly pursue a fabricated violation that the courts will unobjectively as always, use to finally sentence me, egregiously as my too many domestic enemies that I plead for protection from as consistently cowardly entitled despots. Mom will always be ever-eager to re-subject me to indefinite incarceration as a cure-all if the power of the Court remains available to her to manipulate me as the absolute menace to my liberty she has long proven to be. I am to be unnecessarily murdered for my awareness of this treachery and my knowledge and protest of these murderous ambitions. Constitutional protection prevents persecution. I don't need to be murdered. I'm innocent, they don't need to be satisfied this way but they want to be and we all very accustomed

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 3/18/19 pg. 1

... to gratifying results by applying this always successful formulaic destruction and conquest I have no defense of. Every time there is government induced injustice they just band together and that's the end of it. Understanding the current legal atmosphere of intolerance is essential to deciding this case. A cop-centric universe to be sure.

Mom has been to all but one court dates, but never once advocated my liberty, which conceivably would have changed the degree of my persecution exponentially. Just a far then illustration of how devastating her involvement is for me. Her activity is unconstitutionally violating due process. She has promoted many misconceptions to secure her position, facilitate her agenda against my rightful liberty. She has perfected the well-crafted, inarguable excuse for my abuse to be effective, similar to a lawyer's unscrupulous methods also designed to be effective in court. Purely functional her aspersions and condemning fabrications created such opposition and is not legitimately based on truth. Keep in mind the psych. eval. of Dr. Richards in 12/2011 was a direct result of Mom's frustration with me for firing her stooge of a lawyer in court in October 2011. Can't deny the control-freak without adverse consequences in the form of predetermined kangaroo actions by officials who've agreed going up on me is acceptable since I'm absolutely defenseless from any and all further mistreatment and egregious manipulations that satisfy their punishing disposition. Mom has relentlessly advocated over medication for a very long time and my liberty is held in the balance of this demand - a collateral issue that has exponentially devastated my ability to defend myself. Due process violations galore at the hands of her influential campaign. I'm unable to contest. I'm not even allowed an opportunity to defend myself against her methodical and functional aspersions, let alone effectively dispell any maligning momentum that limits appropriate consideration as designed to accomplish. So I've been fighting this issue of unnecessary meds for over 8 yrs at the severe cost of my rightful liberty. Do you really expect that to change because I can't compete with the misused power of the courts that wants to accept this Dr's current misdiagnosis based on suspicions from 2011 when the truth is his aggressively deliverng of the institutional objective to glean as much case sensitive information as possible as a state's witness to later be used against me his only true function. Created a defensive reaction as I was shocked at how this transparent manipulative delivery was so enthusiastically implemented as if such exploitations of vulnerable circumstances for a sinister purpose could ever be consciously acceptable. (didn't realize any treachery against the accused was completely acceptable to this biased judicial culture. I still misbelieved in integrity of court processes by those who deserve the utmost respect for their nobility and dedication to uncompromised justice. And as with all abuses of authority such over emotional reactions of an almost human nature to deception is viewed with disdain and I'm more likely dealt with by inflicting vindictive punishment needlessly. I wouldn't co-operate with this agenda so he pencil-whipped me into desired consequences. Nothing else supports this purposeful misdiagnosis except my Mother's insistence I be chemically neutralized. The Dr. subsequently toyoned all other evals. to attempt to conceal undesirable motives. Probation is not an option for me because the courts lack the ethical integrity to correctly perceive and prevent external influences that compromise my due process rights. My constitutional rights to fair processing have been obliterated by Mom's intrusive insistence/solicitations for the court to misuse its power against me needlessly for her personal purposes. She has interfered with reasonable resolution on countless occasions and it has been proven I cannot compete with her influential momentum now or ever.

All state employees or associates of the courts (with an agenda - especially if clearly defined as your domestic enemy by demonstrating ambitions against your liberty by acting above the law and aggressively manipulating the changing process to sabotage vindictive persecutory prejudicial intentions) they're all dirty cops when it strikes their fancy and it's your job to make them fall back on malicious mistreatment and illustrate why you should receive better treatment and yelling at them in absolute contempt for mistreatment and explaining why they are wrong and so is also the core of their mentality against fellow humans is beyond counter productive but proves their affinity to be as dirty as their professional prowess can muster. That may seem basic not to call people out on their faults but you must factor in power's nature. I want to demonstrate over developed abusive capabilities that are completely self-affirming and this gratification is eagerly pursued with little provocation. They're bad people that give into these pathological indulgences but they won't accept that these manipulations illustrate this fact because you can't penetrate their mentality and protect yourself from this intentional malicious misuse of authoritative power because ultimately it's their choice to implement constitutional protection and true to form they'd rather enjoy demonstrating your helplessness against their incredible and tyrannical power. When they indulge in unlawful activities and official misconduct to satisfy themselves it should be prohibited but such appropriate scrutiny of the aggression they've been trained to inflict is problematic as even though in every officer's intention and ambition should be studied for legitimate content free of unnecessary animosity to insure the public's rights aren't needlessly compromised. This would slow their effectiveness against crime so we have to trust they will only misuse their power sparingly. The good news and the almost exonerating truth is that they don't always choose to misuse their power but just knowing they can at any time and basically there is no defense from their every transgression is an excuse to our domestic tranquility if protection from our domestic enemy as entailed by the Constitution isn't readily implemented. Sure it serves the purpose of incentive for people not to commit future crimes but it's their unreasonableess that cogmizantly defies our due process rights violations as we are to be able to appeal to reason and we expect rational people, responsible to the truth and not just manipulated animosity, prejudices or cultural contempt for the accused or persecutory ambitions facilitated by a well-crafted inarguable excuse that makes my defense of their treachery and often egregious intentions incredibly and unfairly difficult exactly as intended. We are entitled to equal protection of the law even from the law and this means beyond our 9th Amendment right to press unheard innocence since they are so obviously treacherous their position should be considered objectively and scrutinized for legitimacy void of malice. They have too many very strong needs to feed, both personal and business oriented.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4/17/19 pg. 0

This I'll fix your wagon with manipulations and lies, mentality is terrorizing and devastating. Are we truly supposed to be conditioned to accept this abuse? The unchecked aggression is ruining any image of nobility that their power can ever be trusted. They are eager to prove the truth is so much for their manipulations and that what I think about the derivation from the primary purpose of the court and absolute perversion of the process to streamline effective positions for profit and all the other depended upon treachery of the courts on these Unconstitutional formulas doesn't mean they can't still manufacture desired results (overpower their victims by exploiting misused power) it will despite my futile protests of rampant government mistreatment. You would think, as the primary function of the court system is the diligent pursuit and ascertainment of the uncompromised truth, that clarifying facts and truths will be enumerated at trial so that the trier of fact can fully realize the truth but that does not happen because much like being misled to believe in the integrity of the court with all these theatrical trappings the reality is this is an arena to showcase their professional manipulative prowess to discredit your valid position and promote value of their own only and ability to circumnavigate laws, statutes, and constitutional provisions designed to insure fairness and balance because the court system vies for every advantage they can get against a defense and to be effective their treachery is as limitless as their ability to misuse authority to overpower you on principle as conquest is the priority that conveniently procures this business product of manufactured conviction they feed or no one gets paid and all their misconduct and perversion of the process is subject to attack and quite possibly liability that must always be prevented - the true primary function of the courts for its Unconstitutional methods that serve collateral purposes unassociated to the false overcriminalization they always pursue. Nothing stops their intentions and we deserve protection from this formulaic tyranny. A lawfully contrived oppression is more than unconstitutional but this authoritarian regime has a monopoly on interpreting their maliciously unfair methods favorably for the industry against our rights for profit. I can demonstrate that the injustices and external influences that have compromised my every due process right and maliciously caused irrevocable damage to my ability to defend myself is a way of life for my unscrupulous domestic enemies (that of course the court remains aloof to my plight despite 325,000 words of illustration) defined by my activity against my right to full liberty but this also must be prevented hence the aggressive attack on my personal ability to elucidate these obvious realities to a jury as Constitutionally entitled.

Like Darwin, I face opposition akin to established religion. It is not easy to elucidate any reality that certain prohibited government conduct compromises the noble virtues of justice and therefore makes them uncivilized, people prefer to remain in the dark, blindly trusting government when it is easily proven they lack ethical integrity and don't administer justice fairly. They have perverted the process to streamline effective attacks against the easily overpowered and victimized indigent accused and this judge as a former prosecutor (Appointed as Judge 7/1/18) has no qualms of mercilessly and maliciously sabotaging my defense to insure the state's conquest (deposition, production). He is a murderer. Certainly shows his true function for the industry and quality of character when he misuses his power to prevent all accountability for intentional misconduct. I'm constantly tormented by the evil that works hard to discredit me otherwise prevent my every defensive effort. My position is very important to me and it's eternally devastating that the court is misusing its power to not care about me. I'm a good man, I'm allowed to be disappointed with my mistreatment. I promise these are all truths submitted for necessary consideration. It is the Dis (Prichard) Hegarty that I've become so tormented for my outspoken defensiveness back with Judge Shetfield in 2013 but this is classic blame current problematic issues of culture can tempt and maddening efforts by this judge on an available party but regardless he recognizes this judge's active/deterioration against my effectiveness is a real problem for me. I'm possibly in an effort to get me to accept this unconstitutional reality because I can't compete or defend myself against such a premeditated prosecutory agenda so finally, force the realization that I must accept protection or be methodically consumed but the DA doesn't care either way what I decide or refuse. He cares about functioning for the state efficient processing only.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4/22/19

Pg. 1

...erations, and this usually works because defensively I don't appreciate my mis-treatment the way everyone else does. The fundamental Constitutional rights are pragmatic, they intentionally prevent misuses of over-powering government forces from persecuting citizens. However impossible it is for them to conceive it is possible that this court thinks the lack of implemented Constitutional rights is an injustice. My 1st Amendment right is invaluable! The task of elucidating these circumstances with facts may prove complicated against so many determined domestic enemies which significantly raises the value of my 6th and 14th Amendment right to personally litigate these injustices and protect myself from this convoluted government production. Hence the necessity of my Outrageous Government conduct defense, I've been subverted out of liberty and now subverted out of my right to defend myself. It is ridiculously UnConstitutional to have a judge as an adversary (such a prosecutor at heart). There is no balance if I can't compete with his methodical exploits. Exploiting the "one strike" rule and refusing my legitimate motion for judicial disqualification does not mean his overt aggression isn't a justice compromising factor. Just because he says it isn't. My incompetence is a result of deterioration after 8 yrs of torment exacerbated by his UnConstitutional in court aggression and defense compromising disposition that I trustingly can't defend myself from as Constitutionally entitled. I shouldn't have to out litigate a judges deteriorated efforts that value the institutions objectives over my right to uncompromised fair processing. I am to be valued and he does not demonstrate any respect for anyone of my defensive aspirations. The production is similar to a high stakes card game where they are the dealer and decide which cards you can play. Swindlers! I'd like to play my Aces but they won't let me. The truth shall set you free but not here in Tallahassee they have a remedy for that too. They like their indefinite solution even if it tests their ability to claim legal grounds for my mistreatment always ready to rise to the opportunity of challenge. Nothing can penetrate these persecutory ambitions because I will never be afforded justice. So I'm put in an impossible predicament the theatrical production against me that will virtually shame a jury into dismissing my innocence and the fact that especially on legal grounds people shouldn't be able to sue police for misconduct. Don't worry the District Court will find a way around litigation and that's fine because they do provide a vital service, but should they always be allowed to effectively condemn people to suit their prejudices and need for satisfaction through persecution. We can never defend ourselves from. Absolutely not! But nothing should ever diminish their effectiveness against criminals or threaten to do so. So my contemptuous position against rustament is counter productive. Cops should be a wide latitude of discretionary power but it's the court system that is irresponsible to our protective needs, intentionally and UnConstitutionally database in their intentions favor. The system is corrupt they are not responsible to their primary duties of acceptance of the truth because such inconveniences would compromise capital gains and this is universally unacceptable to the industry. Also they are responsible to their duties to uphold the Constitution to insure protection for citizens because they are bias against us. It's entirely unfair! UnConstitutional methods we deserve protection from. The judge doesn't think I'm guilty but as a former prosecutor recognizes the condemning efforts of the State will prove impossible to compete with especially if he contributes with every ounce of his discretionary power to devastate my defense's effectiveness maliciously and if I don't recognize this formidable unstoppable momentum I'll never be able to contend with by design they won't care about needlessly mauling me as punishment for not cooperating with their intentions to conduct business on their terms. An absolute misuse of power with no separation of powers as with balance needs to be restored somehow. I don't need to sue to be satisfied I feel like I've lost my Florida privileges as all my activity will be inexorably criticized so that they can believe my mistreatment was the right thing to do. This weight of the world issue is that all they need is an excuse for a momentum against every accused so that they can always misuse their power uncontested and I can't give them any reason to question this abusive mentality is always justifiable as they prefer. I know I have a great responsibility to never have the cops called on me because I'm always civilized. Do you think they will make appropriate changes to accommodate the necessity of ethically infused civil management? No that's not how power works, they only want to say "told you so". A break in this impossible in curable impasse for an innocent man is justice! My 1st 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th and 14th Amendment violations that have included with and without my devastating my ability to defend myself have not slipped by the court without notice. These intentional due process violations that would ordinarily warrant Constitutional protection due to the obvious need for such incorporated provisions are a product of the lack of integrity in the court who never cares about the consequences of their abusive actions they can always avoid liability for through accepted justifications. These violations should leave their ambitions questionable as it is more than just conceivable that the judge is intentionally ignorant

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4/27/19 pg. 1

... of my very real need for (constitutional) protection from exploits of his fellow organization members he feels obligated to protect by misusing his position to insulate their efforts against me, either through omission and tacit approval or direct misinterpretations. These intent and rights obligations didn't just slip by a novice, as a former prosecutor he knows exactly what these malicious pervasions of the process pretend to and he automatically supports all condemning efforts of the state as his intention is to help procure conviction by claiming ignorance of rampant aggression and formalistic treachery that once proven successful become dependent upon methods for business purposes until ethics intervene. Check the record not just this judge, but many never incorporate the constitution unless forced to by a private defense attorney (who commands respect, and I can't no matter the degree of flagrantly obvious need of constitutional protection. They possess no usual excuses for bad faith malicious prosecution because by helping manufacture exonerating results they never have to acknowledge the unfair tactics and professional manipulation that fuel this for profit industry. Whether they have given it to her or she has taken it by misusing in and making connections with protest and other play ball demands for further mistreatment) Ron has complete control over the quality of my life. How do you think that's been working out for me? She has been partly successful against me. How do you think that keeps happening? And don't you think she will re-submit me to this brutality under chaos of the courts with a manufactured violation of production (eliminating this option) if not completely satisfied with everything about my life as a natural control freak? It doesn't matter what I think about the situation, only what the court wants to believe. And this judge will accept all efforts that support his premeditated murderous agenda. Rather than see things in favor of laws, facts and truths she is aware of the choices to promote awful mistakes that support her ambitious momentum. Is this a man or a nice person - determined to misuse the system against me when there is no violence or is this something difficult to explain even for a licensed psychiatrist. Her intentions are unnecessary, personal and don't contribute to justice. She is only a happy person when her efforts prevail - completely obsessed. The Green light by the 1st DCA insures this judge will never be held accountable for any treachery that creates an advantage for the state - his primary function. So the abuse is limitless and without a defense from this aggression that prevents any possibility of fair processing the result is my needless murder. Again, it is so galling why obstruct my legitimate defensive aspirations. I was prepared diligently for a publicly anticipated trial when this judge retaliated against my refusal to accept a public defender by denying over 30 motions and showing an interest in previous court orders to allow certain exculpatory evidence for whatever unexplainable reasons likely endless abuse I can't defend myself from. I was already shivering uncontrollably every time I went to court trying to calm myself by saying this time the judge has to acknowledge my legally supported position and the flagrant injustices that plague my circumstances. But it never happens. Some how my legitimate aspirations are always dismissed. So this fact, and the obvious malicious deterrence efforts of this judge against my defensive presentation have created crippling anxieties but he would rather I shiver and appear guilty than be able to personally explain that the unfairness of processing has terrified an innocent man. They dish out a cultural contempt for all due process rights they can neglect or eliminate. Every thing about the unfairness of this process is upsetting and I have to believe this is intentional to solicit some frustrated and seemingly irrational response that supports instability concerns necessary for my perpetual mistreatment otherwise these manipulations demonstrate sinister character dynamics. I know your all good people trying to do the right thing but this can't happen without appropriate objection. I could expand exponentially on the importance of raising the constitution is designed to ensure fairness as long as the courts hide their support of Mom's external influences as it is completely against the code of judicial conduct but he references it as support in his order even after reading my recent re-submitted Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus illustrating her sabotaging efforts - a vigilante effect even though she knows the truth of my innocence first hand. For personal purposes I will continue to be defenseless against this merciless unconstitutional condemnation the courts are quick to capitalize on. My Ron has prevented my personal ability to attain justice at every given or created opportunity and this violates my due process rights exponentially from the beginning when I met extreme resistance to release and accused her of using the system against me and so endowed with cultural support and much to my surprise she admittedly said "and there's nothing you can do about it" and there hasn't been. I have no constitutional protection from my darkest enemies the court approves. I didn't realize her lawyer manipulated me into a no bond status to protect any threat to her bail money (an unconstitutional dynamic that successfully robbed me of my right to liberty and movement) until the court can devise excuses for processing me as easily and untaken as possible to prevent any chance of a legitimate trial (to tolerate) less than a month after my December 15th and unlawful apprehension until almost October

Statement OF THE Case 4/27/19 pg. 1

... 2012 which they of course claimed that since I was found incompetent that was the only reason for my no-bond status. Attorney Mr. Zantany heard helped facilitate my incompetence as only one report said I was incompetent and there was no official mental health hearing I was ever aware of or present for which is usually necessary to declare involuntary hospitalization necessary but you can bet he personally went to this hide-away method/effort that almost had me killed. But think of how confining the stigma of Mom's manipulative efforts to successfully and unnecessarily involuntarily hospitalize me is. Now I'm misperceived as a dangerous unstable psychotic who needs to be kept from society (though most of the staff quickly realized otherwise and implored me to accept probation as they knew I needed to be free as a truly harmless person) because I adamantly protested at misuses of the court and changing process. Remember the Judge and two prosecutors agreed on 12/16/10 at first appearance to release me into Mom's custody. Ray as there was no arrest warrant, but Mom's and Mr. Zantany's combined condemning efforts were a big surprise as I had been misled to trust the attorney. The two prosecutors showed a glance of oh my god maybe we don't understand the gravity of the situation and the courts continue to irresponsibly assume Mom's insistence on further court abuse is legitimate without considering her needs that reveal the bias motivation of her (incompetent) efforts (personal purposes, to secure bail money) I'm not guilty but these unconstitutional condemning efforts have created a stigma that alludes to the misconception that my mistreatment is a responsible misuse of the courts discretionary power. So I'm not allowed to live in peace because my Mom has taken it on herself to wedge her way between me and responsible reasonable treatment and then fear monger prevent any release that doesn't offer her full control under the enhanced it requires for any possible re-subjecting to permanent elimination from society which is the initial attraction she had to the incompetence/misuse of the people's professions' ambitions because the courts would rather help her appreciated condemning momentum for their own purposes than to incorporate the obvious need for constitutional protection for an innocent severely mistreated and clearly defenseless person. I can stay out of jail, but my Mom has made connections so that very easily she can take away my liberty unless completely satisfied. The courts shouldn't cater to phobias but she has developed a well-crafted in-arguable position against my allegedly inalienable right to freedom and personhood as about only evidenced with using everyone as a conduit for her intentions will never stop. She has gone to great lengths to keep me isolated as letters in the Appendix illustrate determined to keep me vulnerable to these unlawful exploits without external support. And my Constitution is unable to protect me from these injustices because the courts don't value such rights. I need your help, but many who are abandoned out of convenience to avoid unwanted financial obligations do too. I had friends protesting my mistreatment with legitimate points that my mistreatment was obviously unnecessary but she personally neutralized all external advocacy. No one least interfere with her intentions to indefinitely abuse the power of the easily misquipped courts. My friends would testify about her intrusive and threatening dissuasive efforts they couldn't on the courts to be responsibly objective has proven a fruitless hope as they have their own condemning agenda to consider and no advocacy to defend rights that would create a positive momentum against this persecution to certified rights win but this is a place intolerant of Cuban passion and where elsewhere 90% of cases are resolved before trial here they are so confident (as a 90%+ conviction rate proves) in their manipulative manufacturing process ability to misuse the court to secure advantages for their malicious ambitions against every accused they don't mind taking any one (at taxpayer expense) especially for resisting their unfair bias processing and believing the truth should be a relevant factor in justice or basic balance in the courts to a where they can inflict maximum profits by over sentencing. Check the high in a few here. They are ridiculously eager to demonstrate power. Mom has worked tirelessly as a source against me, an absolute menace to my liberty. My fight to invoke basic civil protection against Mom's persecutory influence has inspired murderous enemies throughout the courts and here at the jail. They are not allowed to pump power people this way but they are unstoppable. It's beyond unconstitutional I have tremendous value and potential that should not be unnecessarily obstructed to satisfy these power-hungry people's ambitions. I'm innocent. This judge should not have prejudicial that eclipse this reality or appropriate objectivity about the easily discernable fact Mom's vigilante efforts are not legitimate.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4/27/19 pg. 1

My close childhood friend (and best) died recently and I cry about it often. Such a travesty at such a young age (42). He was sick for a couple of years and I asked several times before giving up for his contact information, but you see this violates the intentional isolation to keep me devastatingly defenseless by design. I'm not allowed to contact any one who loves me because there is a risk that if they knew a small fraction of the UnConstitutional vigilante persecution I am needlessly forced to suffer that ultimately prevents any degree of their egregious intentions or liberty that however futile people with legitimate concerns would demand answers for this obvious abuse that the court continues to refuse to acknowledge for their own purposes against my well established inability to defend myself from my further manipulation and treacherous abuse status as it is a choice to allow these justices to overpower me and continue my mistreatment. On 3/20/19 this judge tried repeatedly to get something out of me for his purposes. Very determined to exile me to D.C. Entrapment as an adversary would be considered conduct unbecoming of an official as an impartial arbiter of law if I weren't just a lowly indigent prosecuting subject to whatever abuse the court feels like. Even then I assert my relevant defensive position his aggression and intolerance clearly illustrate the intolerable atmosphere my legitimate motion for judicial disqualification was designed to prevent. The abuse is limitless, so accusatorial, so treacherous, so vicious. A fellow bar member might inform SJC if such aggression was asserted towards them. I keep trying to shine through with goodness. I'm an innocent man and many know this. They also know my defense is valid, but I can't penetrate these insurmountable prejudices incapable of appealing to reason to receive court action to restore balance from this irrevocable damage to receive relief from this perpetual injustice. I shouldn't be so helpless against such issues with Mom's intrusive personal efforts against my liberty is not newly discovered by me but an endured reality. I've been trusting the courts to acknowledge and insure due process by providing constitutional protection. Douse as a control factor against prejudices and UnConstitutional aggression from the court, judiciary culture. My legitimate appeals to reason will always be striking to the wall in the L.T.S. I have tried to give my Mom every reason to be kind to her love for me and to abandon her obsession but she is emboldened with her own delusions for conquest and as usual can't be reasoned with. The court support imbues her with confidence that she will always get her way. I reduced 55 pgs in my original Emergency Filing of a Petition for a Writ of Prohibition in the Supreme Court of Florida to 14 pages. It's 50% or more about Mom's intrusive influences, isolation tactics and less conspiracy and candor/pragmatism. Check her phone records to the judge on 3/20/19 my last court date and to Dr. Prichard previously for evidence of this incessant behavior and to the judge afterwards, different departments and also to F.S.H. 683-. Her ambitions are relentless, see for yourself (850) 766-0056.

I fight for the chance to restore the unnecessary damage to my children caused by my absence. I have nothing without the constitution and my children (4) have even less rights. I need protection. My children still and possibly will always need me. Not to be eliminated for talking of the wrong side of government policy that finds passionate protest to misuse of authority intolerable. Not everyone has an influence that is pure for my children. If I had a job I could do at least some of what I've dreamed of. My children still love me and they are precious to me. The empire that is hell bent on elimination is not concerned for their livelihood. I've missed a lot (unnecessarily) but not all of my children's lives. They deserve to have my love and influence. I know nobody in the L.T. cares if Mom continues to successfully enter I'm a danger to people but this is a convenient and purposeful fallacy. I don't know how to wash this misconception away. I complain to no voice. I truly believe I should have the opportunity to prove disassociation is a cure-all. I won't subject myself to any shenanigans from those with phobias. I suspect I won't be safe without 200's of miles between us when this is resolved and a non-disclosed location. I can develop long distance skills (skype) with my kids if they still wish. If you think I've made any impression, magnify such efforts with my Mom's 160 I.Q. and realize I'm no match for her and the easily colluded domestic enemies in government. She has been taught the profession of defendant mismanagement and trusting government to correctly perceive this reality or penetrate this persecutory momentum and uphold the Constitution has proven impossible. I need Constitutional protection that can only be had at night full liberty/acquisition of a dedicated private defense attorney. There are ample provisions that can satisfy all (public) concerns. I truly want peace and I know how badly they wish to claim my mistreatment is warranted rather than just a reflection of vindictive aggression for protesting abuses of power they always get away with.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4/27/19 pg. 1

Yesterday, on 4/26/19 I was almost evaled by Martin Falk. I tried to reason with him that while I may benefit from medication to help with emotional instability, due to this unending institutional torment it would mean much if the problem/aggravating factor to my institutional depression wasn't addressed. The problem is the unfair opposing dynamic of the court but Lord knows this won't change without your help. He stood blankly for a moment. I paraphrased that if your car A/C is broken it doesn't matter if you fix it if you refuse to put gas in the car. He seemed perplexed and finally shouted out in frustration real defensiveness that he didn't have to answer that. Typical of anyone used to much more control than my free thought allows. He often asked theoretical questions and based his assertions loosely on various reports. Three times while explaining the dysfunctional flow that renders his position invalid he stopped listening or tried to redirect to find another area of support for his premeditated agenda. He always had an answer based on myopic findings and always refused to accept a more complete depiction of facts. No attempts to encompass the totality of my circumstances because any truth that reflects unconstitutional cultural aggression would force change in their disposition but that wasn't happening. He couldn't answer anything without reflecting a prejudicial disposition or acknowledging that his profession is often misused against the accused to force compliance with bureaucratic mechanisms. He even accused me of being resistant to facts and I countered what facts bring up the facts and he tried to use opinions as facts which I refused to value the way he wished to use them to force me to accept his bias and ignorant position which infuriated him further and reflexively I'm sure he wrote his report in a condemning fashion as this is the way they all retaliate down here. As usual I trembled involuntarily but you can bet it's not included in his report. Remember the various findings only reflect the objectives of the evaluator and his obligation to the courts disposition at the time easily exacerbated by frustration with my logic that contradicts the limited logic these institutions depend on because my position is Constitutionally sound based on ethical considerations and fairness that seemed to have eroded into oblivion from neglect. The Constitution was intended to prevent those with discretionary power from vindictively asserting themselves whenever angered or dissatisfied by your reflexive defensive reaction to unnecessary control. We are to be governed by laws not these activities to misuse andifying institutional power we can't escape to with. You must understand his order is not legitimately based (He filed on the 9th in response to my most recent Petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus to maliciously obstruct my valid 2nd Petition again as my 1st Amendment right and liberty are to be prevented. I submitted on the 7th clearly retaliatory like everything as evidenced by extremely bias opinions of every account representing uncontainable contempt for my every legitimate attempt at justice uncompromised by his defense devastating controlling aggression also evidenced by his accusations. His job is to make his position appear as official as he can make it. Your job is to see through this subterfuge even for an intelligent citizen. Doesn't it make you realize he is using his position as a tool to unconstitutionally manipulate me as predetermined? The Constitution was written in plain English to prevent professionals from claiming they are the only ones who understand our rights (I liberally and always institutionally bias). I need help and I oathfully swear to disprove any claim to fallacy that my detention was responsible. My 55 pg. Emergency Filing of a Petition for a writ of Prohibition in the Supreme Court of Florida illustrates every thing you need to know as well as this current Writ of Habeas Corpus 101-3010 and a few other documents that concisely illustrate the problems. This judge is determined to prevent the easily elucidated truth and facts that benefit my legitimate defenses and as he threatened last August when his second aggressive attempt to persuade me to accept a public pretender that any outburst would eliminate me from my own trial (the ultimate goal) I argued the obnoxious threshold was continued interruptions that prevent proceedings but he of course showed no interest to this like anything that compromises the level of control he wishes to always maintain. So far my appeals to reason backed by Constitutional provisions, Statutory and case laws have not been valued as his contempt for my professional ambitions is unwavering. My elimination from trial is the best hassle free way to insure States conquest remains unopposed by pesky unwanted rights and truths. He is my adversary. It's not always unconstitutional. I flag any government officials here say that supports their predisposed nature of the objectives of the industry and can't immediately be verified. It is likely purely functional as notoriously they lie and deceive to accomplish personal or business goals. Government impropriety is the norm down here but they're slippery devils so it is hard to hold them accountable for their purposeful perversion of the process. Government malfeasance is rampant down here as they've devised ways to prevent any defense of these unethical exploits. I know they all enjoy a challenge so bring on the evidence that my assertion that the courts are bias against the accused will intentionally compromise fundamental Constitutional rights for their business purposes is in fact a fallacy because I have a mountain of evidence to support this reality. Such culture wide impropriety does not instill confidence in government and in fact this endless treachery makes them untrustworthy. I assert that this judge is misusing his positional power and refuses to honor and uphold the Constitution. Show me some confirmed victory evidence he isn't hopelessly persecuting me like Erin Brokovich. He's devastating my defense but knows may be effective to save the court from having to endure my unwanted presentation based on the overwhelming truth.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4/28/19

Out of 16 or so total psych evaluations he found one was diagnosis from 2012 that said I presented as paranoid and frustrated and I explained that everytime someone's diagnosis me this way without being objective about available facts it's frustrating. But this accurate focus was not derailed as the bottom line is I'm wrong and everyone else is always right. Actually convenient. And so out of 16 evaluations there isn't any substantial pattern except for my contempt for these manipulative games on no doubt he would have referenced my problem to support his position against my valid contradictory logic to force me to get past the person that is just misunderstood without even mentioning the fact that this misconception against the truth of my mentality (crazy) is a commonly used tactic to capture to avoid transparency of the malicious nature of the industry and its just easier for my stable me, actually convenient. All I received was silence. I maintain this simple possibility is in fact my reality. He mentioned the judges order same stops all my plans with a little too much pleasure but since you weren't there my valid observation is merely an opinion. When I proclaimed my innocence (even though this never changes the premeditated disposition of my adversary as it should) he pounced on the opportunity to get me to disbelieve specific allegations (eager to credit the malicious cultural momentum against me that has resulted in my continued unlawful detention that is intended to and maliciously prevents any defense of this abuse of process) and once I vehemently informed him we would not be discussing my work product (How unethical to provide such case sensitive information to the state, but they capitalize on every opportunity even though there are other ways to assess someone's competence, consider this 80% of what is used against you at trial) comes directly from the detainer I frustratedly concluded the interview. He had the catered ear to inform the judge I wouldn't cooperate but this undermining transparency of my defense strategy is the primary function all purpose of his investigation. Very malicious it is not and unfair but just business of manipulating the accused as us up down here. I assume other states practice this with more integrity. He was not concerned with the truth of my Mental State, only his objectives and obligations to the court. I mentioned that it's awfully convenient to assume I don't understand anything and am wrong about everything, again the silence. He automatically assumed in competence would lead to resubmitted involuntary hospitalization and when I told him why not he had a different answer for that, but he was just threatening me with PCF so that as the courts prefer I can be competent illustrating his primary objective and lack of concern for my opposing reality. Consider this I was sent to PCF three times when not competent to satisfy people and now that I am legitimately incompetent since no one wants me to be because it's a result of prolonged mistreatment so one even wants to be accountable for and view an unfair trial as the exemption on factor they need to excuse these masterclass justices I'll willingly be to a competent proving the entire industry only does what ever they want and misrepresents the truth to accommodate these despised few as confused about a great many things to fascinate this predisposed agenda. He had cognitive of the present reality he faces trying to demonstrate what he can use to fortify his assertion (myopic of course) He only came to help the courts persecutory processing ambitions. Keep in mind these interrogation style evaluations are usually done on mentally deficient defendants which most would find unethical (except the court system) and while entitled to have your Attorney present this is never apart of usual processing as can and will be used against you US greater control that way if someone tries to resist their intent and they can leverage you back into a submissive position for easy manageability and processing. Remember this is not because they are in fact guilty but transparency of events helps the states production against the accused so they can misrepresent their way to successful impossible as always but with greater efficiency any way we can't stop them from doing hence the complete elimination of our U.S. Constitution. If incompetency and involuntary commitment proves too unlawful and therefore transparent of their malicious intentions to misuse the profession and never consider my liberty as right full based on legal points they continue to ignore they will rather misuse the courts discretionary power to find me competent as the unfair processing without proper status will insure I will never receive justice. That's ultimately exactly this judge's intention, one way or another.

He tried to visit on the 27th concerned I'm sure but when I tell you she's connected it's like lightning fast somehow. I never said she doesn't love me, just not satisfied without control and the available power of the court proves irresistible. She's obsessed. Another important aspect of repetition is that sermon style delivery is the most effective way to get a point or message across. Stating a truth and will be doing its applicability and relevance to reconfirm the legitimacy of these marks drives home this reality. If you don't know that this we do anything we want exponentially that is constitutionally acts above the law and calously discards all inconvenient constitutional rights of the public is uncivilized and that their aggression is wrong without balance and objectivity (fairness) as entitled by the end of this God help us.

Very sincerely, murray & dany

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 2/25/19 pg. 1

All citizens deserve protection from any misconstruction or abuse of government powers as the Preamble to the Bill of Rights clearly states, as fundamental precepts of justice are embodied in our due process rights that favor reasonable and respectful treatment of citizens which can easily be compromised to accommodate an out of control and inherently abusive and sometimes unnecessary business agenda. The current judicial system dynamic does not often produce justice because it does not often incorporate constitutional provisions it can professionally circumnavigate. No justice as initially intended. Your tie any court that possesses the integrity to prioritize the constitutional rights of the accused against the caloric bias manipulative processing the industry prefers. Tyrannical and self-serving perversions of the process to insure effective intentions illustrate power's historic natural want to overdeveloped become abusive and purely self-serving.

For many years the defense of criminal trials was dis-throned within the legal profession, we paid lip-service to the Anglo-American homily that every man deserves a trial. But neither the law nor society generally was generally concerned with what sort of trial he received whether he had a lawyer etc.

Today things have changed. A procession of Supreme Court decisions have recognized that the promises of the 4th, 5th and 6th Amendments must be more than words, and that the 14th Amendment promise of due process of law must carry the basic guarantees of the Bill of Rights to defendants in state trials. These advances are the implementation of promises to the accused individual, particularly the indigent accused, that have always been explicit or implicit in our written Constitution. The judges have implemented the rights promised defendants only because lawyers have asserted their clients' rights. I would attribute recent decisions in the criminal law to activism (by judges) but to awareness - awareness of the importance of the rights at stake, not to defendants constitutional entitlement to them.

The aggressive efforts of lawyers to protect their accused clients, and the success of their efforts have been an important cause of the higher status that criminal defense today occupies in the profession. More lawyers are involved today in defending criminal trials than ever before. This is attributable in important part to the willingness and eagerness of lawyers to represent indigent clients. Part of this willingness is the product of lawyers heightened sense of their professional responsibilities. I would not denigrate the importance of the sincerity of this sense of duty.

The fact that change and advance in the criminal law depends so importantly on the activism of lawyers underscores the importance of alert, informed counsel if defendants are to receive the representation to which they are entitled.

In the wake of the Supreme Court opinions that have shattered accepted ways of thinking about or rather perhaps more accurately, not thinking about criminal trials, ... (People remain ignorant of their formalistic legal manipulations that only produce bias results and they capitalize on this exponentally, but if they knew the courts were maliciously untrustworthy and didn't honor or uphold Constitutional rights of the accused they may demand changes to insure fairness. We'll never know if left to their ability to shield culpability for injustice and prevent transparency of every event transgression, the courts have a subversive sinister function against our domestic tranquility where due process is purposely or maliciously compromised by aggression to satisfy institutional objectives.) The scholar may view the law as an edifice of rules and principles, and devote his efforts to making it a concept complete, consistent and intellectually satisfying. The lawyer with a client however is neither logician or philosopher. He is an advocate. But to be the best advocate, he must sometimes argue for changes or additions to the law as well as simply protecting already recognized rights.

In doing this lawyers serve not only their clients. They also serve society. Court decisions play an important role in forming the public understanding of the criminal process. A generation from now I am certain society will take for granted the rights of suspects that today may seem controversial. ... And conversely, as public understanding advances, judicial attitudes themselves may change.

The just treatment of those accused of crime is always the most delicate challenge faced by any society. Courts and the public both play an important role. But the lawyers who represent the accused occupy in many ways the central position. They deserve much of the credit for past advances in our treatment of criminal suspects, and upon them we must depend for future improvements. My position is not as imaginative as it feels. It is very difficult to defend the prejudicial court system comfortably accepting and promoting condemnation of the accused, prevents with every ounce of available power to unconstitutionally misuse against my righteous position on principle. Crushing dissent is enthusiastically pursued at the detriment to my protective Constitutional provisions.

The Bail reform act of 1964 significantly changed prior bail procedures. The prior act made toward bail and the manner in which that act made continues to define bail procedures must be understood. Although some have argued that the 8th Amendment's prohibition against excessive bail provides a general Constitutional right to bail in non-capital offenses, the Supreme Court has never squarely addressed the issue. In *Stack v. Boyle* 342 U.S. 214, 72 S.Ct. 2112, the Court held that bail set at a monetary bail set at a figure calculated to assure the defendant's future appearance was excessive. *Blanton v. City of Hazzard* 429 U.S. 288, 48 S.Ct. 283, 29 L.Ed.2d 283, 1976 U.S. LEXIS 1112, 53-2 USTC ¶13,000, 22 AFTR2d 53-6112, 53-2 USTC ¶13,000, 22 AFTR2d 53-6112. The purpose of bail bonds is to make sure defendants show up for court, not to punish them or their

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 2/25/19 Pg. 1

... Families - Positioning me as bail dependant on my parents as a financial resource is an unfair dynamic that involves the power of choice. I have other advocates but a personal ability to post bond. Bond must be reasonably set "U.S. v. Montecoron-Zayas 949 F.2d 548" court must provide reasoning for leaving defendants risk of flight where bail amount exceeds defendants means to pay. The entire issue is I've been discriminated to the point that my efforts to appeal to reason and bail this down to thinking of me as simply a fellow human being that although reasonable resolutions was offered several times it is currently unconstitutional unavailable as pre-judges prevail. Defendant bears limited burden of production not burden of persuasion as pre-judges prevail. by coming forward with evidence that he does not pose danger to the community or risk of flight. U.S. v. Mercedes 254 F.3d 435. This is easily done, but should be even to an unobjective prejudicial court. I truly believe an adversarial lawyer could defend me from this discretionary abuse easily on their first attempt. Electronic monitoring and supervision more than adequate. I know my conduct will be inexorably scrutinized or criticized at liberty. I know they wish to be able to assert that my unhelpfully contrived oppression was not a misuse of authority and if I mess up it will spell disaster for many Americans denied a chance by the courts abuse misuse of power of unobjectivity. Bail conditions designed to encourage the accused's appearance can take several forms especially when today's technology - Electric GPS monitoring. The effectiveness of traditional efforts based on financial conditions, this method was challenged and its impact on the nations poor found to be unconscionable. More significantly the Bail Reform act of 1966 codified release as the favored disposition. The 1984 act retained some of its predecessors critical elements. The most significant being a preference for release as a pre-trial disposition. The 1984 act also permits a wide range of types of release conditions. For example, release can be conditional on reporting requirements similar to those of a convicted probationer. Defendant must rebut presumption that conditions or combination of conditions will reasonably assure appearance. I need an impartial opportunity to contest these condemning presumptions. I believe in my defense, it is legitimate. It has merits. The judges aggression is an unnecessary hazard. He has malfeasant defense compromising ambitions. It is clearly the truth of my circumstances uncompromised by unethical attempts to prevent my personal ability to elucidate the truth, the ascertain of which should be of interest as the primary function. I may be effective. Liberty affords me the best opportunity to attempt this arduous task. The function of pre-trial release is to prepare a defense. My unnecessary detention has severely compromised my defense needlessly. There is a need for additional reform of bail procedures. According to the Center for Court Innovation, on any given day, an estimated half million people are detained across the U.S. because of the inability to post bail. The pre-trial Justice Institute claims that 60% of the people in jail are awaiting trial and 40% of those are in jail because they have not posted a bond. Acknowledging this fact, some court systems such as New York, are attempting to implement bail reform. One of the reasons for doing so is that pre-trial detention costs taxpayers. In addition, the effect on the accused can include loss of wages, jobs, and detrimental impacts on their families. Most importantly, studies have shown that the current bail system is having a disparate impact on those without money. One of the proposed solutions is to end a money-based bail system. Part of the problem is that states rely on bail schedules which provide standardized bail amounts tied to the offense and do not take into account the financial status of the accused. *Yarden v. City of Clayton*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 121874, the defendant challenged as unconstitutional a system that detained a person on arrest without holding a hearing regarding the person's indigency and the need for bail or alternatives to bail. As a result, the Clayton Municipal Court instituted a new bail system which the court deemed not constitutional due-process standards. The court noted that "criminal detentions, presumed innocent, must not be confined in jail merely because they are poor. Justice that is blind to poverty and indiscriminately forces defendants to pay for their physical liberty is no justice at all. I've had bail twice, but the decisions against my liberty are purely functional, intentionally limit my ambitions, aspirations for an effective defense. One commentator Professor Howe, has noted that the Eighth Amendment prohibition on excessive bail is "perhaps the least developed of the criminal clauses in the Bill of Rights." Yet a half a million criminally accused people languish in jail in the United States. Howe argues that technological advances that permit electronic tracking of persons have reduced the need in many cases for governments to use bail or detention. Another commentator has noted that in addition to technology allowing for electronic monitoring, improvements in national and global police communication has decreased flight incentives. I'm no flight risk. My detention is truly unnecessary. My mental deterioration is an issue presumably encompassed by House essential fairness, a cognitive due process violation. It goes against what our forefathers recognized as a natural law endowed by god, inalienable right. In most cases, the wise decision will be to seek pre-trial release. A released defendant has a greater opportunity to demonstrate the kind of conduct which will lead support to a later request for a probationary sentence. Perhaps more importantly, a released defendant can always make a more meaningful contribution to the prosecution of the defense, which, if successful, will relieve any necessity for constraining the accused's worthiness for probation.

Remember the entire issue of my unlawful contrived detention is always necessary, which I can dispell with an abundance of evidence favorable to my rightful liberty. House-essential fairness means I should have peace in my life free from perpetual psychological torment. The Federal Speedy Trial clause is designed to prohibit extended anguish. Most of my oppressors show absolutely no interest in the law if it contradicts in some unethical methods or complications. In tentions, always preferring to rely on the pre-conceived major focus that permits excuses for neglect of legitimate contrary relevant truths of law. My ambitions should be to dispell the mercurial wrath but vengeful tendencies and the norm amount disappointed state employees who delight in opportunities to assert magnificent defensive abuse. As I've mentioned, I quickly and easily disposed any notion of threat to the community, with facts of non-violence or malicious intent or nature but the judge just shifted focus to violation of restraining order with no respect for the law. I feel prejudices created by external influences along with my defense which can have prejudicially influenced intent and function by unconstitutional denying an objective audience - a cognitive due process violation.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

3/3/19

pg. 0

Equally futile are my attempts to infuse integrity into the process by incorporating fundamental constitutional provisions that I can't enforce without a lawyer designed to prevent such a bias defense compromising mechanism and court mechanism that eclipse the appropriate objectivity necessary to see and understand why my position backed by law should be considered as favorable for others as it should be for me. I need a lawyer to help combat the insurmountable prejudices that continue to manifest into further persecution. I need a lawyer to help combat this inequality and unconstitutional unfairness produced by the unrestrained unconstitutional aggression of this Judge that dismisses my diligent efforts like everything I say before I've finished speaking. He is not interested in my defensive ambitions and will continue to obstruct justice by actively undermining my defense not just for punishment for not accepting a public defender but as a functional duty to prevent righteous citizens to achieve justice or defend themselves against government abuse.

I've been faithfully dehumanized by these villainizing, condemning, maligning efforts against the truth of my character that help not legalize and compartmentalize, excuses to accept the green light for my mistreatment through intentionally unobjective and obtuse discretion that inextricably compromise my defense. My 8th Amendment right to presumed innocence is designed to protect me from such prejudices and persecution, and it has, especially from an angry predisposed Judge. My rights are endlessly trampled and I'm unfortunately forced to depend on the bias against the deceased court system to ethically take the initiative to incorporate fundamental constitutional provisions to insure my process isn't malicious or biased, unfair but they remain inclined to perpetuate my neglect as a vulnerable despised avenue without a lawyer.

As part of our Bill of Rights the Eighth Amendment states - Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishment inflicted. My extended torment (100 months) borders or infringes upon the latter violation of this 8th Amendment right against cruel and unusual punishment as objectively if I was also presumed innocent I wouldn't still be contending with and robbing realities these injustices that I can't defend myself from have created but instead I am mercilessly subject to the inadequate provisions of this economic housing solution. This signifies their lack of respect for the integrity of the process they will compromise to suit their needs. As both Dr's opined over two years ago I have deteriorated exponentially in physical ways I don't understand. I'm weak and don't recover very well anymore. I have foot cramps in the arch, hand and elbow pain, back and shoulder blade pain is the worst, consequences of sleeping on a 1" mattress for over 8 yrs I'm sure. I'm over 25 lbs underweight and have been for most of this time, just a skeleton with skin. I thought I'd die from malnutrition years ago. I was found incompetent to proceed on 4/4/17 on the so-called basis that I didn't have the stamina to endure a 3.5 day trial, how is this extensive 8th Amendment violation of cruel and unusual punishment supposed to produce different or better results 2 yrs later? I endure a substandard low calorie diet and my inactivity level is likely contributing to early heart disease. These roadblocks here at the jail are designed to be only temporary. 100 months is not temporary but I'm stuck with the inconveniences of incarceration because as a pro se litigant I can't force the court to acknowledge the numerous lawful reasons to restore my rightful liberty. I'm perpetually exhausted and any physical activity leaves me sore and tired. I have only brief restless sleep. I can't sleep without body stretches of waking because I'm tormented with fears born of my loneliness and fatigue. My vulnerability is not irrational as the courts continue to prove I can't enforce nominal constitutional protection from this mismanagement. Most of my dreaming begins as I lose consciousness and we generally continue expressions of recent thoughts. I can't make it past the first 2 or so most times as I'm jolted from sleep because I'm constantly tormented by the evil that works hard to discredit me or otherwise prevent my every defensive effort. That's my reality. I always wake up frustrated by the truth that no-one cares or wants to listen to my valid position. My dreams are filled with concepts I can't get across either because some figure is being mean to me or other devastating chilling imaginings like my paperwork disintegrating into my hands or perceived important tools breaking in my hands. My position is very important to me and it's devastating that the courts is misusing its power to deny ethical considerations I was mistakenly led to believe entitlement to as a valued citizen. I'm a good man, I'm allowed to be disappointed with my mistreatment. I'm an innocent and honest man when I'm allowed to express contempt for my mistreatment. Never liberty is our inalienable right endowed by our creator as a natural right. The time to be responsible to me is always now. I need civil service to be taken seriously and administered fairly - free of complicating human tendencies, but my liberty hangs in the balance upon my own absolute satisfaction that must involve unnecessary punishing deeds as a control factor that prevents my liberty and also a possible avenue of relinquished liberty. As she desires the ability to resubject me to the court system that's under her control and who will continue to unfairly and obtusely deny me all favorable rights. She is the impossible wedge driven between me and hopelessly unavailable reasonable resolution. How did this contingency factor that I can't compete with wedge itself into my circumstances? Persistance. And so why is it impossible to recognize these unconstitutional and unlawful unsolicited and uninvited vigilante efforts against my liberty when responsible civil service involves the acertainment of the truth. This misguided approach to justice will always meet resistance because it is overtly unethical and unconstitutional. It is impossible for all prisonization members to accept that sometimes the power of the courts are misused against citizens for collateral personal purposes. Appropriate objectivity that doesn't automatically assume state condemning efforts are always legitimate. I would provide the balance and fairness I'm entitled to along with my 8th Amendment right to presumed innocence. They have all become too comfortable here with the reversed burden of proof in the industry's favor. I'm begging this court to distinguish fact from intention and to correctly perceive the purely functional and cynical efforts against me. It is a disservice, an injustice for this judge to contribute to this persecution with bias discretions that support a predetermined agenda. The court must have the integrity to look beyond this production but it reflects their best interest and is self-serving not to. I understand every one is trying to do the right thing, but the right thing is maintaining uncompromised objectivity and not

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

3/12/19 pg. 0

succumbing to the malicious efforts of an unreliable source, hence the vital importance of my fabled 4th Amendment right to pressumed innocence. Persecution does happen. It is not impossible to misuse the power of the court for collateral personal purposes as this is a very user friendly system that blindly caters to every solicitation for service even when it's clear the intentions are motivated by collateral vengeance and prejudices they will never willingly defend us from. On 4/4/17 at the Mental Health Hearing Mom used Dr. Richwood as conduct in a pre-orchestrated conspiracy for her intentions to promote a false psychotic disorder for financially efficient purposes against my unmedicated rightfull liberty that the court blindly accepted conceivably because they realize I'm absolutely defenseless from any further mistreatment the court decides to inflict. Remember on 5/25/16 (you can always check the record for verification) much to my surprise my Mom mentioned in court on record the self-inflicted shampoos and the bruises I was unaware she knew of. She knows I'm innocent first hand but this just doesn't fit her incessant desire for control. Why do you think I fight so hard? Any presumption related to these discrediting aspersions is shamefully unobjective. Being fair to a man beleaguered by injustice is not the wrong thing to do. My 6th Amendment right to assistance of counsel jeopardizes my defense as used as conduct my Mom will finance on any attorney of record. Apparently anyone is susceptible to her more than persistent intentions anyone who can affect the outcome of my circumstances as she demands. The court is unconcerned by these obvious injustices and thinks her intrusive influential efforts and irreparable due process damage is completely acceptable because it is clearly well established. I can't defend myself from further harassment and manipulation. To instinctively take advantage of obvious vulnerability (that makes sense) you helped create is predatory in nature, arguably uncivilized. I don't believe this judge actually believes I'm guilty but as a former prosecutor he knows the condemning efforts of the state in this case are not to be hiddenest hidden and that for my own good I should recognize this overpowering momentum. I'll never be able to effectively compete with an unethical institutionally bias design and that I should also recognize the need to compromise, like everyone else is forced to do. This reillustrates the importance of my right to assistance of counsel to effectively navigate the unfair processing design, these prejudices, and insure my Constitutional rights are available. It's not the wrong thing to do for me to be fair to me and allow my defense to be adequately empowered to combat the ample false litigation of Mom's determined agenda. Without my 6th and 14th Amendment rights the capricious condemning efforts are different it is not possible to compete with. I wouldn't be here except for the illegal activity (4th Amendment violation) that produced my unlawful apprehension, twice! I think it is irresponsible to refuse to acknowledge these transgressions as unacceptable and the presumption of guilt that conveniently supports this aggression are equally unethical court functions. I know it's upsetting if not infuriating to know that cops sometimes do the wrong thing even while misusing their power in a misguided attempt to do the right thing but it should not be a mistake compounded by judicial support in favor of obviously egregious violations that are completely disproportionate to reality and now a reflectant vindictive assertions of power through manipulation of the charging process in a gratifying manner. I know they're all trying to do the right thing, however misguided. Your Constitution was designed to insure equality and fairness. If the 14th continues to refuse to consider the facts of my circumstances with appropriate objectivity then they are at least contributing to injustice. I ask this court to adopt a different disposition than the prevailing persecuting wind as my defensive position could be viewed as legitimate without reflexively meaning vengeful wrath as my disrespectful insolence shouldn't result unfavorably. You know I think it a little ironic that at least principled that this great Nation fought for by us to gain it's independence from a tyrannical ruthless regime, dictated by a ruthless misguided power hungry King George the III as I fight oppressive domestic enemies for my rightfull liberty. Anything less is worth nothing. Part of my 8th Amendment right is defined in pre-trial release statutes as "the least onerous amount of bail is to be considered", I must be allowed to realize my Constitutional provision for protection from my domestic enemies.

The Preamble to the Constitution of the United States. We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America. Article VI states This Constitution and the laws of the US shall be the supreme law of the land... and anything in the Constitution or laws of any state to the contrary, not with standing. Our 14th Amendment declares No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the US, nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law; nor deny any person within it's jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. Meaning if they intentionally break the law we are to be protected, but all I keep getting of Rights chimes right in even though formerly established in order to prevent misrepresentation or abuse of it's powers. That further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added. And as extending the bounds of public confidence in government will best insure the beneficent ends of it's institution. And the short list is as follows but expanded upon in the Appendix.

IV Amendment The right of the people to be secure... shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause...

V Amendment No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law;...

VI Amendment In all criminal prosecutions the accused shall enjoy the right... an impartial jury (can be promised by coercive inflammatory material) to be confronted with the witnesses against him (this needs my personal attention and will be hopelessly ineffective if left to a court stooge appointed counsel as that is their malicious function) to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the

3/12/19 pg. 1

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

... assistance of counsel for his defense. And almost most importantly because it feels forgotten

IX Amendment right to be presumed innocent to prevent (unnecessary) punishment before conviction and to work on or develop a defense uncompromised (by the inconveniences of incarceration) the enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people. I can't help feel neglected in light of these fundamental protective rights that our judicial system has seemed to disassociate from in my opinion.

This judge would rather turn all his hate-filled aggression on a defenseless person by knowingly contributing to and wrongfully adopting the malicious condemning efforts of the state as legitimate instead of the impossible task of asking the state to be reasonable or compromise. He should redirect his aggression to those who put him in this position, not just the indirect influence of my mom's external collateral personal ambitions, but his superiors who've asked him to inflict unwavering aggression and perform his function for the industry so maliciously and transparently. Such exposure of this dominating cultural objective on principle makes him and the entire 2nd Judicial Court Circuit subject to collateral attack and since scared people are dangerous he has a great responsibility to the industry to misuse his discretionary power to overcome my resistance and legally sound protest to these injustices. If he attempted to dominate the state for refusing to compromise true equality would start to happen. He should redirect his aggression on the bias mechanisms of the court that do not install confidence in government, bias processing designed to efficiently consume, produce as much conviction as possible regardless of relevant truths to the contrary. His activity (and others) forces me to seek constitutional protection from the nature of the industry that has needs that supersede the rights of the accused because they're inconvenient. I seek constitutional protection from overt abuses of process. This judge must function as a tool of the industry by creating unfair advantages that diminish the effectiveness of my defensive aspirations, whenever it is within any government official's discretionary power where their authority will be misused on principle to procure institutional objectives always. As long as no one is enforcing the constitution and actively prevent them from disregarding fundamental due process rights their unethical methods will always be unfairly self-serving. They've all forced him to accept and promote these insidiously unfair cultural dynamics and bias processing practices as legitimate. They've all forced him to take an unconstitutional action against me but he doesn't mind because the system will never allow me to defend myself against this tyranny, ever. Understanding the current intolerant judicial atmosphere is vitally important to deciding this case. Since I'm not a lawyer I can't protect myself as demonstrated in every abusive differentiation with impunity. My pressured speech formented volatile verbal defensive ambitions prove laudable for their unrefined delivery out of desperation and inability to appeal to reason, that continues to shock me for it's unfairness and dented logic and for it's unwanted context.

Apparently being vulnerable is my thing. I have a natural tendency to push the limits, almost any example applies. This creates bitter enemies which is dangerous among the powerful who have a natural inclination to master as much trouble as they possibly can. Aggressively the spoken truths of prejudice my character and personality create by insisting my mistreatment is a grave injustice inspires retaliation, especially among those used to being unequivocally obeyed, gratified by complete control. I've certainly learned the dangers of free expression as a non-affluent easily overpowered victim of this relentless manipulative abusive system.

The constitution is dead here. I'm not allowed to express disappointment with purely self-serving and unconstitutional assertions of personal power that refuse to be objective about my needs as a citizen with rights to be treated fairly, not considered as guilty until proven innocent, reversal of the burden of proof to promote their personal agenda, because tyrannical punishment tendencies are impossible to compete with (and therefore essentially unrestrained) by design. Any decision to mistreat the accused, irresponsible to facts that supersede intentions and cultural aggression is an abuse of power we can't defend ourselves from as principle as they have full discretion any power over the availability of our protective constitutional provisions they consistently prefer to deny. It's tyranny we are certainly entitled to protection from. Always finding excuses for mistreatment denotes no concern for the negative impact of their unconstitutional behavior and disposition that never receives ethical regulation. They always get away with abusing the power of authority to the detriment of every individual they choose to victimize with these transgressions; we can't defend ourselves from, we can't compete and the systematic elimination of our unviolated rights the culture refuses to incorporate is essentially the reason our constitution was designed to insure fairness and prevention from overpowering misconstruction and abuse of awesome government power with virtually unlimited resources. It's their profession, their arena and basically they can and will let you form justice and responsible civil service whenever it pleases them and they prefer their unconstitutional malicious activity to be completely uncontested hence their arsenal of defense sabotaging tactics. They won't let you play this game. On principle they've decided long ago to deny me justice as this indefinite torment illustrates and I can't change these determined efforts without the constitutional protection through relief I seek.

My mental health issues have been exacerbated by this prolonged neglect and unnecessary mistreatment conceivably the exact reasons the House decision declared prolonged detention was a recognizable due process violation against essential fairness, but actual mental health care that doesn't needlessly incorporate punishing Heds through a functional misdiagnosis can provide every responsible result desired. My mental health was not an issue until Mom incorporated her suspicions, this shows the control dynamic she relentlessly pursues - very transparent to every one except co-conspirators.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 3/18/14 pg. 0

So, again I wrote how inherently unfair these teachers bias organizational practices are and it is because it is the primary reason for this petition! I wish I could just write my government is being unfair, please check into (investigate and correct) their unfair, but (baffled) I don't understand how they've been allowed to develop this way for court processes, but (baffled) I don't understand how they've been allowed to develop this way for their convenience only, contrary to our protective rights intended function. They are out of control and they prefer it that way - no limits to injustices. I want to make sure you know these references to the multitude of purely self-serving injustices will continue to come up at every angle. It's not just an excusable one-time isolated incident, but a cultural mentality at war with the rights of the accused. I just wish these outrageous due process and civil rights violations would inspire a more Constitutional approach to justice as our many Constitutional Amendments have been superceded by institutional objectives. Please help restore the fairness, a fundamental precept of justice free from persecution, as we are entitled! I wish correcting these imbalances, that have developed extremely disproportionate to their intended utility, and restoring the Constitution were easy matters. I wish law enforcement here could provide responsible civil management effectively without resorting to abuse of power and earn our respect and trust by making sure personal violations weren't their first go to move, instinctively retaliatory upon the slightest disappointment or misperceived provocation, when trying to assert that if we are compliant with their demand for absolute control we won't be taken advantage of because they are responsible to citizens and can be trusted because they always demonstrate respect for most people. A's irony respect for community members provides the likely propensity to treat us in a civilized manner. Cops are predisposed here to drum up business. It is their training that they are not to just sit in their cruisers and wait for a call but to actively patrol neighborhood roads, especially for street drug dealers, and to stalk bars for DUI's, and this is very responsible and on its own so appreciated by everyone, including me, that I want to discard any criticism of their noble efforts, but they also capitalize on all domestic calls and their dominating instinctual response to upset defensive emotionally overwhelmed people often becomes a struggle for control and the dominating assertions and vindictive report writing to prove you should have had better personal control of your feelings because they are unable to consider your obvious stress objectively results in unnecessary overcriminalization to satisfy angered cops, so they need to be trained either to deal with people as humely in these delicate fragile emotional states, disarming tactics like prozac, and rationally as possible or the current figurative incentives that compromise our need for a cognitively forward approach to justice in the field that promotes conviction over our need for responsible understanding to prevent adverse or unnecessary consequences must be restructured or obliterated as it obscures the needs of society to fuel the industry. There was a murder in our town, oh and the evidence, but the suspects Mom was a prominent local attorney and claimed he was with her all day, they abandoned efforts to prosecute proving powerful people dictate justice, not facts or laws. The investigations and aggression against me are disproportionate and irresponsible to the facts. My defensive attitude has exponentially exacerbated and complicated my increasingly convoluted circumstances and I need protection from this cultural prejudice against righteousness. The confrontational pride I'm forced to contend with is a human factor I wish law was intended to eliminate used to complete satisfaction through oppressive punishment assertions and other manipulative misuses of authority. I can't compete with that completely disregards my 4th Amendment right to presumed innocence subject to unfair treatment from jump street. Do you think the public cares about how I'm defended against government mistreatment (6th Amendment right if they are unaware of my plight or only are allowed the states misrepresentation of facts as a reference to excuse this mistreatment, especially when the existence of such injustices are conveniently inconceivable to the courts? Do you think the public cares how unfair the Unconstitutional process my I receive is? Do you think this is intentional malicious due process obliterations and condemnations of a bias court system should and will receive resistance, about the ample necessity to elucidate these dysfunctional abusive dynamics to a jury for consideration or will the court treat me as mis-use its power irresponsibly to the truth to avoid transparency of the systems bias malicious nature their actions don't install confidence in government unless they can control presentations in their favor? Are these realities not important to expose so the trier of fact has a complete understanding of my plight? All state employees are dirty cops when needs to feed or protect the industry swamps the rights of the accused. This is unfortunately a very common phenomenon here, everything always interpreted in their favor is the American public going to remain defenseless (indefinitely) from the methodical disentrained and overpowering oppressive exploits of government that is engaged in a business (consumed by this conquest for profit mentality) that all too often involves misusing all available power that has collateral needs that may promise actual justice because everything must cater to their agenda? Can we please have our Constitutional rights and remain full confidence in government (corrupt) who manipulates citizens and produces results unfairly by compromising the integrity of the process. We deserve protection against this profitable imbalance and relentless exploitations of discretionary power by all members. We deserve better don't we? I know we do. So, as always - my options are limited to either chemical oppression or unfair processing as punishment to satisfy collateral issues of contempt for my admission that the rights of the accused are to be respected. Paint it any way they want to, they can't dress up this cultural mind frame to anyone but the mistakenly trusting ignorant victims they are used to overpowering. The factual nature of these abusive methodologies will ring clear someday. The important duty of cops precludes the cognitive ability to provide optimal civil many more, can people with power be considered civilized if reflexively they misuse this authority for personal gratification, because they've developed insatiable punishing tendencies due to a lack of consequences for over-criminalization? Can they be trusted to abandon their vindictive rage holism to provide ethical, consistent, and based responsible civil service? Local legend Dr. Bixler at 80 said about semi-retirees: After doing something for 40 years it's hard to just stop. Do you think the courts (L.I.) can be responsible to the truth and abandon their prejudices and persecutory momentum? I have to believe there are responsible people in government with power over the future quality of my life.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

3/27/19 pg. 1

Remember, they've tried in vain to be fair to me. 2 P.O.R.s, 2 bails, 4 offers of probation, etc... but my Mom simply won't let them, so any misguided suspicion that I belong locked up certainly denies the obvious struggle of compliance with unlawful conviction verses the Meds or no liberty issue. The insistence of Meds by my Mom remains ever present. This is an unfair dynamic and an atrocious due process violation that I need this court's attention to as the L.T. I've made an enemy out of by calling them out for their dependency on bias mechanisms of the court and treachery. They see nothing wrong with allowing my Mom to take advantage of my circumstances for personal purposes as I am a shared target of their professional manipulations and I will never have any defense of this Unconstitutionally infused collateral issue. Remember also, I've agreed to take Meds but only for mental health purposes not to satisfy punishment and comfortable control. If you base a favorable decision to preserve due process rights against unnecessary external influences (intrusive) then you don't have to directly tangle with the debouchery the courts are ever dependent on. They don't want to change so any opinion you give that merely alludes to the fact that they should develop ethical boundaries when processing their victims of intentionally overpowering abuses of exploited supremacy will always be misconstrued in their agendas favor like every thing else. So unless your going to put your foot down on mistreatment of the accused and the unfair devouring mechanisms of the courts they will continue to misuse their monopoly on interpretation to never see a need for change to protect the public. This is an industry registered as a for profit business that will never see reasons to be appropriately objective and responsible to citizens and their rights because it hinders every individual's ability to be profitable as they're accustomed to. "It is not your business to succeed, but to do right when you have done so the rest lies with God." L.S. Lewis. My efforts are not in vain only because they want me to be ineffective. My position is a legitimate danger to their culture's unethical way of life as I demand fairness as Constitutionally entitled and so I will be murdered. If my interest in preserving the integrity of the process is not a shared aspiration by this most noble court. I can't get this government to function properly without your help.

Should I have to be able to outwit, gate all professionals within the system (the unlimited resources of the state, a judge as an adversary) to effectively contest injustices induced by government misconduct. This collusive aspect makes protection from misuse of authority simply an impenetrable dynamic in evitably leads to uncontested impositions as intended by its tyranny. If we can not effectively defend ourselves or compromise their determined efforts with the Constitutional rights to dignified treatment. My protection should not be eclipsed by these prejudices. My innocence should not be eclipsed by these conscious intentions against my right full liberty. I can't enforce the court that alone, I'm forced to be proactive with overwhelming odds to favor against me by malicious design of the court's premeditated system. I have to fight the most difficult battle the entire legal system can muster so they can preserve their right to trample my own. My defenses are considered the most difficult and they wish to complicate this by needlessly eliminating my personal attention to my defense. If the court was truly concerned with ascertaining the truth they would see my ambitions as a resource to provide elucidation of facts, but they prefer to fabricate an ending and misrepresentations convolutions of circumstances as an effective way to psychologically manipulating a jury to only value their position that I need to dispell with personal demonstration of my character volatility and all. Robbing people of their liberty unlawfully is a crime even if they can overpower their way to justification. I left up to them nothing will ever be done about these oppressive injustices. Lawyers congregate in Tallahassee as a Mecca of practicing law and quite frankly realize that they'd better specialize in divorce or anything non-criminal because the courts here don't allow a defense of their profitable exploits. I have acquired professionals that will prevent justice by my Maverick methods they'll never respect. I'm much more respectable than my combative nature protects. I'm trying my best to be responsible with my words and sentiments (sometimes without perfect grace, sometimes failing miserably as my passion to elucidate these important realities are complicating hopefully excusable as a tormented man) in a respectful fashion deserving of the considerations and relief I request. Resisting my pre-trial release even with the same conditions offered in the plea bargain offer illustrates a premeditated defense. A plea bargain effectively eliminates my defense, but the opportunity to be released and present my best defense meaning full also as entitled through newly available resources is very unwanted.

These actions against me constitute fundamental unfairness and therefore this persecution, cultural animosity and prejudice is Unconstitutional for many reasons. The L.T.s have had multiple opportunity to be responsible to me over the last 3050 days but as an indigent person they can easily overpower and refuse to respect without consequence I will continue to only be subject to torment all worse as misuse of power is their God-given right. I want it to be perfectly clear that the current criminal justice system absolutely refuses to be responsible to indigent citizens because they can be overpowered as preferred and that they operate independent of the constitution and any fundamental precepts of justice that insure balance, no equality as they systematically eliminate your rights or any other truths that obstructs their intentions against your liberty. Supposedly an inalienable right they are adept at manipulating people out of. There is no fairness and there never will be without immediate reformative action to insure the safety of the public against this overdeveloped misconstruction and abuse of government power. That is the initial design and intention of our forsaken Bill of Rights. Justice here is contingent on how you voice your opinion of their unethical mistreatment and exploitation of power. And they are sensitive, always quick to interpret seemingly necessary criticism as an excusable outlet for self affirming rage and demonstrations of ability to abuse personal power uncontested with impunity. If you tell them you don't like it or worse reference how factually unconstitutional, unsound and therefore wrong for the deliberate unfairness their manipulative methods create you will receive no justice. On principle. They must impress upon you how foolish it is to recognize government

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

3/28/19 pg. 1

... induced injustices that there will never be any defense of. The perversion and unfairness of the court processes and disposition in my case has reached critical levels that compromise all aspects of justice, due process. I have been manipulated mercilessly with no end in sight. These people are completely evil (figuratively) for not recognizing me as an honest passionate innocent man fighting a ridiculously treacherous tyrannically oppressive authoritarian regime overpowered by unconstitutional manipulations. They can't accept that any man who has suffered an incredibly long series of intentional court manipulations (well-documented) that have violated every due process right within their discretionary power because of the insurmountable prejudices my overemotional reactions have caused. I will be tormented and abused until I die. I will not receive justice here for many, many reasons: The nature of the intentionally enhanced circumstances, My Mom's influential campaigning and demands for absolute control, the animosity inspired by my defensive ambitions and overemotional reaction to unlawful aggression and of course ultimately the proven fact I'm kept from an effective defense of these egregious intentions. I believe in the nobility of this court and still have much hope reasonable resolution may supersede the necessity of action. I still have a pending Petition for a writ of Prohibition in the Supreme Court of Florida and I truly believe in my work and need for relief against unconstitutional persecution by various domestic enemies. If my justice was dependent on the truth and not the fact the system is being misused to make me a political prisoner held hostage, I would not still be here in jail. If I had had a lawyer advocate and untampered with because I found my defense personally I wouldn't still be here either. Justice being contingent on the amount of defense you can afford against flagrant overt abuses of process is a travesty that I deserve constitutional protection from. Through psychologically repressive conditions that are unnecessary I have deteriorated into incompetence in my weakened state. I will only be further taken advantage of as my oppressors have proven they will never be responsible to me. There will never be a solution that actually reflects the truth of my circumstances. There will be no justice as I have been condemned and maligned irrevocably and the prejudices of this venetian culture will seek satisfaction through my unnecessary and unlawfully contrived destruction I can't compete with. With the devastation of Hurricane Michael (2018) everyone says this is America where people help each other. A dissimilar travesty or disaster is the state of our current bias court system that has become our domestic enemy were entitled to protection from according to the Constitution. I think they appreciate how unfathomable it is that they are allowed to bully their way to whatever corrupt result they desire. Are they really allowed to be this way or do ethical government entities truly not know? These people are wrong for treating citizens as second class priorities of the industry. I'm a victim of a corrupt organization "screaming entrapment" and overcriminalization as they fabricate and work my case back wards from intentions to successful imposition as desired. I've been repetitive it is only because what I have to say is not easy to listen to or want to understand, but I hope this reality is understandable and that I'm respected for having the guts to tell you these unfortunate truths. I have heard and I pray it is all worthy of the relief I believe in. Not every delicate issue is easily traversed. Plus, someone style delivery is effective. It plants seeds for later crystallization. The Constitution was designed to frustrate overpowering devices otherwise referred to as misuses of authority throughout this petition. It seems that no other court is interested in taking action to insure balance for me as entitled against these outrageous manipulative injustices because they reflect a necessity for change in unethical government practices and policies. If we have no constitutional protection from maliciously manipulative processing a declaration of this unfortunate fact to the intelligent citizens would counter the constitutional intentions of installing confidence in government. We should be able to trust our government to be responsibly concerned with our lives even when insuring due process fairness might hinder state ambitions. The Rules and Constitutional provisions are in place to insure that misuses of authority don't make those who choose to abuse power look like bad people. The guidelines should be sufficient to process the quality without unfair manipulations that infringe on our rights that only serve the prejudices of those whose Constitution is questionable because they are unable to derive from their intended responsibility to our uncompromised civil rights. No one cares enough to find out how unconstitutional the accused are being processed. I specifically requested on my phone in volvement because he has shown a propensity to implement an agenda inconsistent with the reality of my mental status and if the courts accept this in classic kangaroo fashion because it supports their premeditated purposes then the misuse of the protection as a tool of the industry should be transparent, but who cares? The defense of due process rights violations still depends on the constitutionally unethical courts to acknowledge the unfairness their dependence on this treacherous manipulative process and purpose fulfills and not creates which they will never willingly admit to. An unconstitutional dynamic that is too ingrained, like many of their overpowering formulas, to ever receive protection from, formulae convoluting formulae misrepresentation of the facts in their favor formulae defense sabotage we are unable to compete with by malicious design, and almost most devastating of all is the formulaic misuse of positional power to function only on behalf of the business objectives they are obligated to pursue. If balance and fairness are foregone like the truth and relevant facts to suit the needs of a thriving industry which they always say they please let us recognize that their intended function has been perverted by capitalist greed and that there can never be justice without

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

4/27/19 pg. 0

At court on 3/20/19 Mom told bailiff "I've called and called - bailiff went directly into the judges chambers. Vesting me of my pro se status unnecessarily - the ultimate defense sabotage - is designed to further limit my options. This Judge was awfully anxious to proceed against me as incompetent limiting my options with finally force me to comply with the b's defense mis-managing mechanisms of the court they prefer to rely on. Mom told me she loves me with all her heart and I thanked her for being so nice while my step-dad didn't look in my direction even once, they came to force results as desired - it's an obsession. She told me to be strong before turning away which is characteristic of anytime where I'm forced to do something I may not like. Her direct to the bailiff were to send me back to DCF (Chattanooga) since I resisted meds and once there, just like she has done relentlessly for 8 yrs she will apply herself until she gets her demands met. I will be chemically neutralized - unnecessary violated to prevent any chance of my defense on return that no-one wants. This Judge is not to accept external influence that as long as they keep this liaison off record my Mom's incessant efforts to manipulate me exactly as she wants will finally prevail. She is desperate and will never stop her anxieties for conquest have progressed dramatically and are always heightened when close to something that can offset her satisfaction. Remember ROR were to be into her custody and not only on all this time has she advocated my liberty, less a plea bargain included conviction for 1st degree felonies (Control Factor) she knows I'm not guilty of so she can help conjure a violation that will rid her of my presence forever. Check the records, check her phone records. I may spend periods but her activities are well documented, she is misusing the system and will never stop. I need constitutional protection and a restraining order against her as a very real domestic enemy. I'm not coming back to Florida when this is over. Land of the Unconstitutional Manipulations they refuse to allow any defense of it's terrifying. I have a job in Seattle. I'll figure out how to get there. I'm just barely dodging it - that bailiffs (apparently of teal/green) will hindsight reveals unspokeably knee-slapping and therefore not easily forgivable intentions but this only reinvigorates her determined action to campaign against me. I can't fend off these determined efforts much longer and that is why this most noble court's intervention is essential to protection from having the courts be misused as a malicious instrument Unconstitutionally. The b's sponsors my destruction. This Judge is happy to let DCF do their dirty work but destroying me personally is a much more gratifying way to denigrate power. Keep in mind I'm innocent, but trust this Judge will find a way to limit my presentation. Murder is a free all in this town, hide me forever in light unnecessary meds, prevent legitimate defense, one way or another his determined efforts spell eminent destruction. Predominantly the only reasons people are murdered anymore is that they have too much or know too much. I'm very poor and desperate and my unceasing attempts to infuse integrity into the court process has proven fatal headlessly. I'm innocent. I keep having dreams about the assault. I've never been. Maybe it's time to go out West.

So after over a hundred hours of having to copy my work by hand and a combined total of over 325,000 words endlessly submitted to my government trusting they would see the injustices inherent in my mistreatment without resulting action when I say I'm completely defenseless it is because my hands, arms and torso can't be used for effective defense of my offense to discourage, attack and so I'll rely on my legs (my only functional parts) to get me away from trouble or people that prey on the weak. Sometimes almost half the amount of this point are reporting my activities to someone. I am a target. Many desperate criminals strive to be constitutional informants because it works. Tillman was given 70 yrs came back on appeal and jumped on every body's case with as much extracted personal information not always case oriented to imbue the mis-conception that he knows things about his targets for about a year and was released for only 7 yrs. I saw his retarded intentions a mile away and refused to associate with him so frustratedly he told everyone I was a child molester and then the story included an 8 yr old boy and by next week he was 6. Unfortunately there are some truly brutal idiots here and similar to my experience with the courts no matter how I try to spell this fairly or appeal to reason I just get glared at as they are contentedly continuing to misperceive me as a viable outlet for their aggression and can't be dissuaded by a stranger. Anyway many dotards are held in limbo without processing until they can be of use against me, many never go to court miraculously or go just before or usually after me to report whatever they can and the state relishes any thing that signifies that you a team player willing to do anything to help feed the system by preparing obnoxious against their targets will result in significantly reduced sentencing so the public gets to have looser criminals for this treacherous Unconstitutional dynamic. There are even a few gigantic heathens slated to follow me to Chattanooga as incompetent to brutalize me as they have threatened to do great harm viciously poisoning the child molester misconception. This is how they use others to do their dirty work. I know no one

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 4/27/19 PG. 1

wants to believe our government works this way, but it does down here. DoC is a part of DCF out there, and they have ways of beating people mercilessly and there's nothing any one can do about it. One way or another this venetian culture will be satisfied, and they are so infuriated by my defensive distance of these overt manipulations there is no amount of abuse that will make this last full need to be brutalize me for being so outspoken. Internalization to support rage, and assertions of personal power is a rampant cultural problem. They're murderers who will never be satisfied when confronted with legitimate protest to routine misuses of authoritative power. They are above the law and will kill you for reality how unconstitutional this business is. They must crush dissent, like Khashoggi, all liberal authoritarian governments despise transparency of their profitable war against our rights. Just like last time when Dr. Spindler involuntary hospitalization is not necessary this Dr. additionally stated (again) not likely to produce different results as I'm always found incompetent at my first evaluation. But this time my issue is over, which anxiety produced by irresponsible court aggression. They must state my condition with threat, need will improve in the foreseeable future which they do not, further threatening the courts ability to mislead commitment as culturally acceptable punishment. Any manipulation conceivable to discuss normal considerations of applicable laws and court, federal or statutory requirements. Hobbes shouldn't be catered to, I don't belong locked up for their satisfaction. It's a due process interaction, one way or another I will be brutally murdered as those miscreants are determined to get what they want. They will find away, my murder equals satisfaction. My Dad told me long ago they will find a way to hold trial without me if I keep resisting (their bias not about justice, but gratifying manipulative impossibilities and treacherous processing). This constant threat of ultimate defense sabotage is a looming terror as the truth is irrelevant but anything trusted in their hands proves catastrophically damaging as they desire. No authority member wants to be held accountable for mistreatment, they have well formulated methods and pretext to exonerate themselves from all civil rights violations and overt mistreatment with the support of the entire judicial industry but their malicious per civil activity is no less real. What you witness is courage in stupid amounts. I believe my National government would protect me from these murderers. I can't compete with. I've explained in my most recent petition for a writ of prohibition that assistance of counsel could easily prove useful but that my Mom will obstruct free advocacy and use them as conduit for her personal agenda against my defense and to provide false parody of my strategy to the state as she will make sure all information is used as effectively as possible. Remember it is a great advantage of the state to be able to prepare and counter your every defensive gambit, in fact that is their job even if unscrupulously it detracts from relevant issues that leave nothing that normal people consider fair. It compromises their objectives for conquest. Although law enforcement is comprised of good people who responsibly perform a vital function most of the time, if they reserve their right to misuse their power whenever they please it is a problem for those who can't compete with this treachery. The rights of the public against these travesties are increasingly limited. Government persecution and condemnation undermining the integrity of the entire judicial and legal profession and their associates. Such activity does not inspire confidence in our government as they prove untrustworthy whenever it suits their intentions to misuse power. I have made it clear that the defense I'm preparing to present may prove much more successful than they are comfortable with but their treachery is sure to diminish this prospect significantly. They want to vest me of my pro se status (a long desired goal, my ambitions are a nuisance to their activity for absolute control) and they will fabricate a legitimate foundation to do so. This assures my case will be mismanaged into oblivion, but it's all just a production against the accused, extensive treachery and their inability to be honest when goal oriented should be cause for scrutiny of the words they use as tools to facilitate premeditated agendas, unethical conduct to be sure. It would shock the conscious of the average citizen if I continue to yell and scream at cops they will continue to misperceive me as uncivilized to support their malicious intentions instead of recognizing my anguish as a result of prolonged torment and aggression that they are responsible (irresponsibly) unable to contain. Can't that only the states misrepresentation can be considered the position will be accepted as responsible above the law misuses of authority when actually everything they do is biased motivated and unethical. We are just unable to defend ourselves from abusive tyrants. I document my experience with the courts as well as I can so you know they are intentionally denying justice to an upset indigent man, my heinous allegations precede constitutional treatment. Every time I express contempt for my mistreatment it fortifies their resolve against me, as the cardinal sin is to yell at authority members for their manipulative abusive methods. The primary issue is if they don't feel fully respected to their absolute satisfaction it will lead to lawlessness when this is only a convenient mis conception. Reality is, now that I know how ridiculous unreasonable over punishing and unconstitutional they are allowed to be I wouldn't give these miscreants a hint of an excuse to attempt to justify further unlawful imprisonment and aggression. They can't accept that their malicious disposition is a problem. It's all just a game to them and they are always determined to win at great cost to the integrity of the process, especially if indigent you can't protect yourself from this mayhem/shenanigans. They're all liars. A judge who's always hostile (ever confrontational and combative) against my every defensive assertion that refuses to acknowledge his contempt, aggression, and prejudice so he can continue his murderous intention of devastating my defense (vesting me of pro se litigantship is the

REASONS FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION 4/27/19 pg. 1

...ultimate defense sabotage) on cops that choose to aggressively enhance and over criminalize to ensure your situation is impossible, or psyche professionals that misas their profession to function satisfactorily for the court and often work in tandem to procure (institutional) objectives. They all truly believe it is their duty to manipulate people out of liberty. That is why we have a constitution that they pay absolutely no attention to except when supplying pretext and claims that certain procedures were followed, to prevent reversible error, but having to rely on their untrustworthy word means inherently there is some degree of deception. I understand how they have developed this way with the important job of convicting criminals but we should still have policies that they have the integrity to follow but they don't always have enough respect for the public to do so and that's why this court must save American citizens from the bias in fair processing the current judicial system has devolved into. It's an unconstitutional travesty.

I'll never be respected enough to receive actual justice here. Just like Erin Bopovich my effective defense is eliminated like a threat to uncontested ambitions. The story of Jesse Bell from thousands of years ago proves human nature's propensity to discredit their adversary falsely and use available power to achieve personal objectives. Stated to death by the other man for his disappointment of the king for trying to preserve his families legacy. Nothing's changed except that in the face of flagrant evidence of abuse I get nothing but denial. If anyone harbors the misconception that I'm not an innocent man being overpowered by an unconstitutionally manipulative culture they are extremely mistaken. They can believe anything they want, but if they take action to destroy due process fairness to create an advantage for their misguided ambitions of conquest, such miscreants should graciously accept criticism from wise and worthy.

Long ago I realized I must stick to areas of law beyond judicial discretion, or easily misused power will continue to prove detrimental to my defense, my life, my citizenship but this is impossible with the amount of law interpreting power they can use. This judge sees nothing wrong with facilitating his personal agenda at the expense of my severely compromised and unwarranted but tenable defense. No fair trial as constitutionally entitled. When am I allowed to be upset about these injustices and rampant manipulative treachery? Never! Government impropriety should never have any refuge. It's a disservice.

It is equally foolish to protest abusive (and) government policy as it is to trust government to be responsible to us, not precluded by self-serving motivations. These are indeed due times for our unprotected public. The judges' accusations that my insistence of incompetency is hollow and convenient only, certainly shows the truth of his animosity towards me. I told him I truly suffer but as a former prosecutor who's used to hearing all assumptions of lies from defendants he is inherently incapable of respecting or believing the accused and this starts to explain his intolerance of my pro se litigation shop and his staunch opposition to fairness precluded by deeply ingrained prejudices. We all grow callous in our careers, compromising our integrity, passion but this is at great expense to his responsibility to justice as he is entrusted not to be ruthlessly institutionally biased and can't do this without first respecting citizens that came before him. He must perform his duties impartially and this case his obsessive focus on concluding this case compromises the primary function of the court to ascertain the truth at a fair trial as entitled but he shows no interest if he can dominate me always without a defense from this aggression a lawyer wouldn't be forced to suffer. He's very clearly making my inconveniences or inconceivable that he refuses to change lawfully to prevent my effective defense. How can such a manipulation equate to justice? and the only answer is that there is no defense from this abuse without the intervention of an ethical higher court. Having the audacity to assert a legitimate defense against government misconduct they so routinely inflict they've grown callous to the reality of the injustice or worse to criticize unsound misuses of authority is intolerable here in this small town. If you fight your case they misuse their power to cost you incredible amounts of liberty, many people can't afford to haggle to insure the value of the truth they are counting on this to leverage as much conviction as possible. They are not serving justice but exploiting supernatural and there is nothing we can do because they prefer it that way. Their priorities put our civil rights at the bottom, everything feeds their business agenda (or you will pay for it) How very unconstitutional. You can't stand up for yourself they will all use every ounce of well developed power to overwhelm you on principle to make sure your defense is ineffective. I said enjoying abusing people with impunity kind of law enforcement thing. Demonstrations of misused gratifying power we can't protect ourselves from. They view standing up for my self as an excuse to justify hatred of my attitude. How do I assert that I need protection from injustices induced by government officials success fully without carrying vengeful wrath? Any civil rights violation in their game of conquest is acceptable as long as their confidence they can justify every transgression. I have a plan to live in Florida you better be able to afford an attorney or they will cheer you.

I included a couple letters of my struggle with ongoing abuse here at the jail so the trustee mentioned they were putting stuff paper in the voice and I didn't think much about it as likely just urban legend but he did tell his name boys specifically

specifically the relief I seek is to prevent any and all future action by the L.T. in this case, as they have become notorious for Unconstitutional and unfair prejudicial processing at great expense to my annihilated rights as illustrated by the persecutory manipulations I've been forced to endure. They've violated my 4th 5th 6th 8th 9th and 14th Amendment rights which have obliterated my basic due process rights (fundamental constitutional rights, an uncompromised defense, presumed innocence, pre-trial release, fair trial) and due process essential fairness (Dowse v State 930 So 2d 838) anything to prevent an effective defense of obvious egregious intrusions they prefer to always remain uncontested, universally culturally accepted condemning methods they won't allow constitutional protection of. Dowse provides for due process rights even for the subject malign red citizen because my rights are to be valued. Liberty is an inalienable right (except in extremely severe dangerous cases which this is not), and the best solution for my optimal mental health, Dowse. Many Americans suffer this insurmountable imbalance of power and the inherent abuse of law enforcement organizations that do not receive adequately enforced attention to guidelines that insure a better regulation of authority. I am entitled to rights that protect me from overwhelming efforts by my domestic enemies (anyone who opposes or compromises the availability of my right full liberty). The irrevocable damage to my ability to defend myself through aggressive unnecessary enhancement and external tinkering that prevents reasonable resolution should be correctly perceived as transparent unconstitutional animosity that purposely convolute my circumstances to keep me ineligible for normal considerations. There is an immediate need for attention to these issues of routine government misconduct. A matter of great National importance at least to the accused and their families. 3010 days. I send this all with a prayer of legitimacy or effectiveness if minor faux pas can be easily dismissed so that my personal justice may be achieved.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted

Henry J. Ray

Date April 30th 2019