


(Page 1) 12th Day of Nov. 2019

Docket # 18-9489



In the Supreme Court  
of the United States

Aaron J. Bressi - Petitioner

Vs.

John Gembic, et al - Respondents

Petition for a rehearing to  
the Supreme Court of the United  
States, for the Oct. 7th 2019,  
denied petition for a writ of  
Certiorari No. 18-9489. For the  
petition for a writ of Certiorari  
to the United States Court of  
Appeals for the (3rd. Cir.) No.  
18-2806.

Petition for a rehearing,  
for the denied petition for a  
writ of Certiorari.

Aaron J. Bressi / MC9898  
PO Box A  
Bellefonte, PA 16823 / Phone # N/A

(Page 2) 12th Day of Nov. 2019

Docket # 18-9489

1. I Aaron J. Bressi ask this Honorable Supreme Court of the United States in this Petition, to grant me a rehearing on my denied petition for a writ of Certiorari dated the 7th day of Oct. 2019, due to this Honorable Court may have/ was told to look at the wrong Appendix as the main question presented for review in this Case, under my Statement of the Case.

(Page 3) 12th Day of Nov. 2019  
Docket # 18-9489

---

2. I Aaron J. Bressi mistakenly listed (Appendix C) under my Statement of the Case instead of (Appendix D) as main question presented for review, which would / may have played a major role in the denial of my writ of Certiorari filed through this Honorable Court, if this case was looked at incorrectly due to this listing. The main question presented for review is (Appendix D), which is all listed correctly under my table of Contents / index to Appendices, on this filed writ through this Honorable Court.

(Page 4) 12th. Day of Nov. 2019  
Docket # 18-9489

---

3. I also ask this Honorable Supreme Court of the United States pursuant to (Rule 44) of this Honorable Court, to grant this petition for a rehearing due to this Honorable Court needs to Carefully again review my, reason for granting the petition for this writ of Certiorari which states this Honorable Court's (Rule 10) very clearly and is why (Appendix D) with (Exhibits) A-H as evidence is the main question presented for review, and is now why this Honorable Supreme Court's Supervisory power can be brought into exercise and grant petitioner's writ of Certiorari.

(Page 5) 12th Day of Nov. 2019  
Docket # 18-9489

---

4. I Aaron J. Bressi am also Sending / Stapling to the very back of this petition for a rehearing, a copy of the original filed table of Contents / index to Appendices, a copy of the original filed Statement of the case, which shows this Honorable Court, that it should say (Appendix D) everywhere (Appendix C) is written on the Statement of the case, Just like it is stated in the index to appendices. And also a Copy of the original filed Reasons for granting the petition, so this Honorable Court can clearly see why this petition for a rehearing should be granted and also that this Honorable Court should grant this writ of Certiorari in a rehearing due to this Honorable Court's Supervisory power.

(Page 6) 12th Day of Nov. 2019  
Docket # 18-9489

Also served with copies of  
this petition pursuant to the  
rules of this Honorable Supreme  
Court of the United States  
(Rule 29), are as followed:

Sean P. McDonough, Esq.  
75 Glenmaura National Blvd.  
Moosic, PA 18507

Christine E. Munion, Esq.  
10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 301  
Blue Bell, PA 19422

Office of the Attorney General  
Josh Shapiro  
Strawberry Sq., 16th Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Thank you,  
Aaron Bressi

10-21-19

Docket # 18-9489

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                       |   |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW.....                                   | 1 |
| JURISDICTION.....                                     | 1 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED..... | 1 |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....                            | 1 |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....                    | 1 |
| CONCLUSION.....                                       | 1 |

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| APPENDIX A | The opinion / order of the United States Court of Appeals.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| APPENDIX B | The opinion / order of the United States District Court.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| APPENDIX C | United States Magistrate Judge Report and Recommendation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| APPENDIX D | The main question presented for review / statement of the Case, along with ( Exhibits A-H) as evidence towards these very serious claims against these very serious and dangerous defendants / criminals. which is now the reason this Honorable Court can / should exercise it's Supervisory Power over this case. |

.. (Page 1) 20th Day of May 2019

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I Aaron J. Bressi ask this Honorable Supreme Court of the United States to review the opinion of the United States court of appeals, known as (Appendix A), and also review the opinion of the United States District Court, known as (Appendix B), along with Both Courts Rules and Laws of Federal Civil Procedure.

I ask this Honorable Court to carefully review (Appendix C) which is the main reason this petition for writ of Certiorari is being filed through this Honorable Court. This (Appendix C) is the 11th Day of April decision/opinion from the United States Court of Appeals.

This states all the Rules and Laws of Federal Civil Procedure, which was not followed or was overlooked by the United States Court of Appeals, which now brings this Honorable Supreme Court's Supervisory power into exercise. with (Appendix C) is (Exhibits A-H) as evidence to back-up my claims against these defendant/criminals in this Civil Suit, that I Aaron J. Bressi am asking this Honorable Supreme Court of the United States to review very carefully in this petition for writ of Certiorari.

Thank you,  
aaron Bressi  
5-20-19

... (Page 1) 20th Day of May 2019

#### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I Aaron J. Bressi ask this Honorable Courts to grant my petition for writ of Certiorari:

Under Rule 10 (a)(b)(C), which states;

- A) a united states Court of appeals has entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another united states Court of appeals on the same important matter; has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state Court of last resort; or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower Court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's Supervisory Power;
- B) a state of last resort has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state Court of last resort or of a united states Court of appeals;
- C) a state court or a united states Court of appeals has decided an important question of federal law that has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Court.

Thank you,  
Aaron Bressi

5-20-19