

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**18-9480**

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GREGORY STANLEY ROBERTS PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

VS.  
INSERCO INSURANCE SERVICES RESPONDENT(S)

**ORIGINAL**

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

The petitioner asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Please check the appropriate boxes:

☒ Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the following court(s):

United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit  
United States District Court of Pennsylvania

☐ Petitioner has **not** previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in any other court.

☒ Petitioner's affidavit or declaration in support of this motion is attached hereto.

☐ Petitioner's affidavit or declaration is **not** attached because the court below appointed counsel in the current proceeding, and:

☒ The appointment was made under the following provision of law: 28 U.S.C. § 1915, or

☒ a copy of the order of appointment is appended.

GREGORY S. ROBERTS  
(Signature)

**RECEIVED**  
**MAY 30 2019**  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-3202

Roberts v. Inservco Insurance Services  
(E.D. Pa. No. 2-17-cv-00476)

To: Clerk

- 1) Motion by Appellant for leave to appeal in forma pauperis
- 2) Motion by Appellant for leave to appeal in forma pauperis and request for appointment of counsel

---

The foregoing motions are considered. The motions are granted insofar as they request leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The appeal will be submitted to a panel of this court for determination under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) as to whether the appeal will be dismissed as legally frivolous or whether summary affirmance under Third Circuit L.A.R. 27.4 and I.O.P. 10.6 is appropriate. In making this determination, the district court opinion and record will be examined. No briefing schedule will issue until this determination is made. Although not necessary at this time, appellant may submit argument, which should not exceed 5 pages, in support of the appeal. The document, with certificate of service, must be filed with the clerk within 21 days of the date of this order. Appellee need not file a response unless directed to do so or until a briefing schedule is issued. The Court may reconsider in forma pauperis status or request additional information at any time during the course of this appeal.

Appellant's request for appointment of counsel is referred to a motions panel.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit  
Clerk

Dated: October 26, 2018  
PDB/cc: Gregory Stanley Roberts  
Louis C. Long, Esq.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
US COURTHOUSE  
601 MARKET STREET  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-1797

MICHAEL E. KUNZ  
CLERK OF COURT

CLERK'S OFFICE  
ROOM 2609  
TELEPHONE  
(215) 597-7704

April 10, 2012

Mr. Gregory S. Roberts  
1617 Edge Hill Road  
Abington, PA 19001-1910

RE: Roberts v. Dept. of Public Welfare, et al  
Case Number: CA11-6241


Dear Mr. Roberts:

Your request for appointment of counsel was granted by the Court on 4/5/12. Patrick Flanigan, Esq. has agreed to review your case to determine if he will undertake your representation. You must contact Mr. Flanigan within **seven** days after receiving this notice to arrange a meeting to discuss your case. Please contact Mr. Flanigan at (484) 904-7795.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,

MICHAEL E. KUNZ,  
CLERK OF COURT

By:   
Peggy Rosser, Deputy Clerk

cc: Patrick Flanigan, Esq.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDMUND V. LUDWIG  
Judge

5118 United States Courthouse  
Independence Mall West  
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1775  
(215) 580-2030  
FAX (215) 580-2142

September 19, 2011

Gregory S. Roberts  
1617 Edge Hill Road  
Abington, PA 19001-1910

RE: Roberts v. Bensalem Youth Development Center  
99-cv-3836-EL

Dear Mr. Roberts:



Judge Ludwig is in receipt of your letter dated September 14, 2011.

Please be advised that the above case was dismissed with prejudice on March 31, 2003. It is no longer pending. Therefore, Judge Ludwig can not assist you with the matters raised in your letter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'K. Crispell'.

Kathryne M. Crispell  
Deputy Clerk to Judge Ludwig

EL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GREGORY S. ROBERTS

v.

NORRISTOWN STATE HOSPITAL

**FILED**

APR 05 2012

CIVIL ACTION

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk  
By PER Dep. Clerk


No. 11-6241

14

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2012, plaintiff's "Request for Appointment of Counsel" (docket no. 10) is granted in light of plaintiff's indigence (see docket no. 1), his inability to obtain private counsel, and his stated inability to present his claims. Tabron v. Grace, 6 F.3d 147, 156 (3d Cir. 1993) ("If it appears that an indigent plaintiff with a claim of arguable merit is incapable of presenting his or her case, serious consideration should be given to appointing counsel."). The Clerk of Court is directed to appoint counsel from the Plaintiffs' Employment Panel. This case is placed in civil suspense pending appointment of counsel to plaintiff.

BY THE COURT:



Edmund V. Ludwig, J.

**ENTERED**  
APR 05 2012  
**CLERK OF COURT**

DLD-124

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 18-3202

---

GREGORY STANLEY ROBERTS,  
Appellant

v.

INSERVCO INSURANCE SERVICES

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania  
(D.C. Civ. No. 17-cv-00476)  
District Judge: Cynthia M. Rufe

---

Submitted for Possible Dismissal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)  
or Summary Action Pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 27.4 and I.O.P. 10.6  
March 7, 2019

Before: JORDAN, GREENAWAY, JR. and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

**JUDGMENT**

---

This cause came to be considered on the record from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was submitted for possible dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) or summary action pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 27.4 and I.O.P. 10.6 on March 7, 2019. On consideration whereof, it is now hereby

ORDERED and ADJUDGED by this Court that the judgment of the District Court entered on September 26, 2018 be, and the same is, hereby summarily affirmed.

All of the above in accordance with the Opinion of the Court.

ATTEST:

s/ Patricia S. Dodszeweit  
Clerk

---

Dated: April 4, 2019

DLD-124

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-3202

GREGORY STANLEY ROBERTS,  
Appellant

v.

INSERVCO INSURANCE SERVICES

On Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania  
(D.C. Civ. No. 17-cv-00476)  
District Judge: Cynthia M. Rufe

Submitted for Possible Dismissal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)  
or Summary Action Pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 27.4 and I.O.P. 10.6  
March 7, 2019

Before: JORDAN, GREENAWAY, JR. and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This cause came to be considered on the record from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was submitted for possible dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) or summary action pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 27.4 and I.O.P. 10.6 on March 7, 2019. On consideration whereof, it is now hereby

ORDERED and ADJUDGED by this Court that the judgment of the District Court entered on September 26, 2018 be, and the same is, hereby summarily affirmed.

All of the above in accordance with the Opinion of the Court.

ATTEST:

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit  
Clerk



Dated: April 4, 2019

Teste: Patricia S. Dodszuweit  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit



PATRICIA S. DODSZUWEIT

CLERK



OFFICE OF THE CLERK

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

21400 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE  
601 MARKET STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-1790

Website: [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov)

TELEPHONE

215-597-2995

May 8, 2019

Ms. Kate Barkman  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania  
James A. Byrne United States Courthouse  
601 Market Street  
Room 2609  
Philadelphia, PA 19106

RE: Gregory Roberts v. Inservco Insurance Services  
Case Number: 18-3202  
District Court Case Number: 2-17-cv-00476

Dear District Court Clerk,

Enclosed herewith is the certified judgment together with copy of the opinion in the above-captioned case. The certified judgment is issued in lieu of a formal mandate and is to be treated in all respects as a mandate.

Counsel are advised of the issuance of the mandate by copy of this letter. The certified judgment or order is also enclosed showing costs taxed, if any.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit,  
Clerk

s/ pdb Case Manager

cc:

Louis C. Long  
Gregory Stanley Roberts

## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

Gregory Stanley Roberts  
Petitioner


v.

Inservco Insurance Services  
Respondent

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h)  
I certify that the petition for a writ of certiorari  
contains 57 words, excluding the parts of the  
petition that are exempted by Supreme Court  
Rule 33.1(h)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing  
is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on 5/29/2019

  
-----

**18-3202**

Gregory Stanley Roberts  
1617 Edge Hill Road  
Abington, PA 19001

---

---