

United States Supreme Court

Request for Re-hearing
by the Court En Banc

In Re: Ramsey/Randall

No: 18-9388

Motion

And now on this 26th day in the month of June in the year of 2019; I, Ramsey/Randall (ProSe/ITP) the petitioner comes before this court and its Justices to request for a re-hearing by the court En-Banc and/or review/re-hearing by the Honorable Justice Alito who is the presiding Justice over the third ~~circuit~~ circuit of the federal courts.

I file this request timely and in good faith citing a case in support of my petition that was recently decided before this court with a ~~case~~ high majority in favor of the petitioner/appellant. I unfortunately, did not know or have knowledge of the case to incorporate ~~into~~ it in my original Writ. Please consider.

In 2018, this court decided the case of:
Lozman v. City of Riviera Beach, 201 L. Ed 342
in which an 8-1 decision was made concluding
that the First Amendment is made to protect citizens
from retaliation/retaliatory prosecution whether with
or without probable cause. The courts used the
Mt. Healthy, 429 U.S. 274 (1977) standard over
the Hartman v. Moore standard.

I humbly request under the new Lozman
precedent that my Writ of Habeas Corpus be
granted review en banc by this court for
want of extraordinary relief in violation of
my First Amendment rights. see Frank v. Maguom.
"The federal courts should examine the very truth
and substance of causes of the [prisoners] deten-
tion." (paraphrased)

I do declare under the penalty of perjury that
all is true and correct.

cc: File

Respectfully,
Ramsey
Ramsey Pro Se
RAMSEY/RANDALL-ND06295

Grounds For Re-Hearing Request

I submit this petition on the grounds of the *Lozman v. City of Riviera Beach, Fla.*, 138 S.Ct. 1945 (2018). I did not have knowledge of this court's decision at the time of filing my petition. The basis in which I request rehearing is accordance with its decision by the justices of the court regarding First Amendment retaliation by a municipality. The controlling precedent of this case should entitle me to relief.


Certification

I do certify that the grounds of my petition for re-hearing are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

* *Lozman v. City of Riviera Beach, Fla.*, 138 S.Ct. 1945 (2018)

cc: File

Respectfully
Submitted,


Ramsey Randall

RAMSEY RANDALL-ND0295

SCI-MAHANOV

301 Morea Rd

Frackville, PA 17932