

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

App. 1a: Ninth Circuit Entry of Judgment Without
Opinion (12/18/18)App. 1a

App. 2a: District Court Order (7/13/2018)..... App. 2a

App. 1a
Ninth Circuit Entry of Judgment Without Opinion (12/18/18)

App. 2a
District Court Order (7/13/18)

7/13/2018	211	CLERK'S NOTICE VACATING HEARING. Please take NOTICE that the Case Management Conference scheduled for 9/6/2018 is HEREBY VACATED. The Case Management Conference may be reset after the Court issues ruling on the submitted motions. The deadline for filing Case Management Conference Statement is VACATED as well. <i>(This is a text-only entry generated by the court. There is no document associated with this entry.)</i> (amkS, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 7/13/2018) (Entered: 07/13/2018)
-----------	-----	---

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 18 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM.

No. 18-72572

LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,

D.C. No. 5:18-cv-01250-EJD
Northern District of California,
San Jose

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE,

Respondent,

APPLE, INC., Assigns and Agents, and App
Store Web Application Providers; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: LEAVY, BYBEE, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.