

App. No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

**DR. LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,**

Petitioner,

v.

**EDWARD J. DAVILA, *ET AL.*,**

Respondents,

---

**ON APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

---

**APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBIT  
IN ACCOMPANIMENT OF  
*PRO SE* PETITIONER'S APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam  
*Pro Se Petitioner*  
222 Stanford Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Tel: (650) 690-0995  
Fax: (650) 854-3393  
Email: laks22002@yahoo.com

Dated: February 11, 2019

*Pro Se Petitioner*  
*Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam*

**APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS**

DESCRIPTION OF ENTRY	DATE	RECORD ENTRY NO.
Ninth Circuit Order	December 18, 2018	Ex. A

**Ex. A: Ninth Circuit Order**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**FILED**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 18 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM.

No. 18-72569

LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,

D.C. No. 3:18-cv-02488-JD  
Northern District of California,  
San Francisco

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO,

Respondent,

EDWARD J. DAVILA; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: LEAVY, BYBEE, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**