APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

ł

<u>App. 1a</u>: Ninth Circuit Entry of Judgment Without Opinion (12/18/18)App. 1a

<u>App. 2a</u>: District Court Order (7/20/2018)..... App. 2a

App. 1a Ninth Circuit Entry of Judgment Without Opinion (12/18/18)

L

.

App. 2a District Court Order (7/20/18)

Ŀ

.

,

,

Case: 18-72569, 12/18/2018, ID: 11124279, DktEntry: 3, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 18 2018

In re: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM.

LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO,

Respondent,

EDWARD J. DAVILA; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: LEAVY, BYBEE, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of

this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. See Bauman v.

U.S. Dist. Court, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.

MBI/MOATT


MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

No. 18-72569

D.C. No. 3:18-cv-02488-JD Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

FILED



Northern District of California United States District Court