



# Supreme Court

STATE OF ARIZONA

SCOTT BALES  
Chief Justice

ARIZONA STATE COURTS BUILDING  
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 402  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-3231  
TELEPHONE: (602) 452-3396

JANET JOHNSON  
Clerk of the Court

March 13, 2019

RE: STATE OF ARIZONA v JUAN AURELIO SANCHEZ  
Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-18-0559-PR  
Court of Appeals, Division One No. 1 CA-CR 18-0415 PRPC  
Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR2009-144687-001

GREETINGS:

The following action was taken by the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona on March 13, 2019, in regard to the above-referenced cause:

**ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.**

A panel composed of Chief Justice Bales, Vice Chief Justice Brutinel, Justice Timmer and Justice Bolick participated in the determination of this matter.

Janet Johnson, Clerk

TO:

Joseph T Maziarz  
Diane Meloche  
Juan Aurelio Sanchez, ADOC 258713, Arizona State Prison,  
Florence - East/Shock Unit  
Amy M Wood  
kd

— A —

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

JUAN AURELIO SANCHEZ, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0415 PRPC  
FILED 11-6-2018

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR2009-144687-001  
The Honorable David O. Cunanan, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Diane Meloche  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Juan Aurelio Sanchez, Florence  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Vice Chief Judge Peter B. Swann, and  
Judge David D. Weinzweig delivered the decision of the Court.

---

—B—

STATE v. SANCHEZ  
Decision of the Court

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Juan Sanchez seeks review of the superior court's order dismissing his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's second successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that the petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.

¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.