



Supreme Court

STATE OF ARIZONA

SCOTT BALES
Chief Justice

ARIZONA STATE COURTS BUILDING
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 402
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-3231
TELEPHONE: (602) 452-3396

JANET JOHNSON
Clerk of the Court

March 13, 2019

RE: STATE OF ARIZONA v JUAN AURELIO SANCHEZ
Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-18-0559-PR
Court of Appeals, Division One No. 1 CA-CR 18-0415 PRPC
Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR2009-144687-001

GREETINGS:

The following action was taken by the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona on March 13, 2019, in regard to the above-referenced cause:

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

A panel composed of Chief Justice Bales, Vice Chief Justice Brutinel, Justice Timmer and Justice Bolick participated in the determination of this matter.

Janet Johnson, Clerk

TO:
Joseph T Maziarz
Diane Meloche
Juan Aurelio Sanchez, ADOC 258713, Arizona State Prison,
Florence - East/Shock Unit
Amy M Wood
kd

— A —

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

JUAN AURELIO SANCHEZ, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0415 PRPC
FILED 11-6-2018

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County

No. CR2009-144687-001

The Honorable David O. Cunanan, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix
By Diane Meloche
Counsel for Respondent

Juan Aurelio Sanchez, Florence
Petitioner

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Vice Chief Judge Peter B. Swann, and
Judge David D. Weinzwieg delivered the decision of the Court.

—B—

STATE v. SANCHEZ
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Juan Sanchez seeks review of the superior court's order dismissing his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's second successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that the petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.

¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.