

No. 18-9266

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DANIEL RODRIGUEZ, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 18-9266

DANIEL RODRIGUEZ, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

Petitioners contend (Pet. 8-11) that the definition of "crime of violence" in 18 U.S.C. 924(c) (3) (B) is unconstitutionally vague and that their convictions under 18 U.S.C. 924(o) for conspiring to use a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence -- specifically, conspiracy to commit Hobbs Act robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1951(a) -- should therefore be vacated. In United States v. Davis, 139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019), this Court held -- in the context of convictions under 18 U.S.C. 924(c) that were premised on conspiracy to commit Hobbs Act robbery -- that Section 924(c) (3) (B) is unconstitutionally vague. 139 S. Ct. at 2336. The petition for a writ of certiorari should accordingly be

granted, the court of appeals' judgment should be vacated, and the case should be remanded for further consideration in light of Davis.*

Respectfully submitted.

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General

JULY 2019

* The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.