

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARTEZ BREWER
Petitioner,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States District Court of Appeals
for the Seventh Circuit

PROOF OF SERVICE

State of Indiana)
)
 County of Lake) SS

KERRY C. CONNOR, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and states as follows:

1. On May 6, 2019, the original and ten copies of the petition for writ of certiorari and the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the above-entitled case were deposited into the United States Mail, postage paid, in Highland, Lake County, Indiana, properly addressed to the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court and within time for filing said petition for writ of certiorari.

2. The United States Court of Appeals decided *United States v. Brewer*, 915 F.3d 408 (2019) on February 4, 2019. The 90th day from that decision fell on Sunday, May 5, 2019. Pursuant to the Supreme Court Rule 30.1, the filing date is extended to the first business day following the weekend. In this case, May 6, 2019.

3. An additional copy of the writ of certiorari and motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* were served upon the following counsel of record for Respondent and others:

Solicitor General of the United States
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20530

Mr. David Hollar
Officer of the United States Attorney
5400 Federal Plaza, Room 1000
Hammond, Indiana 46320

Mr. Artez Richard Brewer
Registration No. 73570-112
USP Big Sandy
U.S. Penitentiary
P.O. Box 2068
Inez, Kentucky 41224

s/Kerry C. Connor
KERRY C. CONNOR
Attorney at Law
9013 Indianapolis Blvd., Suite C
Highland, IN 46321
Telephone: (219) 972-7111
Facsimile: (219) 972-7110
kcconnor@sbcglobal.net

Attorney for Petitioner
ARTEZ BREWER

Question Presented

Whether when federal law enforcement exceeds the limitations on the face of the warrant for GPS tracking to in-state monitoring and continues to monitor an individual across numerous states in violation of the warrant, the unauthorized search is irrelevant to the Fourth Amendment and the burden shifts to the searched individual to prove the unauthorized search was without probable cause.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Question Presented	i
Table of Contents	ii
Table of Authorities	iii
Petition for Writ of Certiorari	1
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Constitutional Provisions Involved	1
Statement of Case	2
Reasons for Granting the Writ	5
I. This case represents an important question on the scope of the Fourth Amendment jurisprudence in the context of GPS tracking	5
A. Law enforcement breached the scope of the GPS warrant	5
B. The Seventh Circuit holding in the case at bar created a burden on the defendant to prove that the magistrate's particularized parameters of a warrant were justified by probable cause	8
Conclusion	10
Appendix	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	<u>Page</u>
<i>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents</i> , 403 U.S. 388 (1971)	6
<i>Carpenter v. United States</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2206 (2018)	9
<i>Coolidge v. New Hampshire</i> , 403 U.S. 443 (1971)	6
<i>Dalia v. United States</i> , 441 U.S. 238, 248, 252 (1979)	7
<i>Horton v. California</i> , 496 U.S. 128 (1990)	5, 8
<i>Marron v. United States</i> , 275 U.S. 192 (1927)	6
<i>Richards v. Wisconsin</i> , 520 U.S. 385 (1997)	6, 7
<i>United States v. Brewer</i> , 915 F.3d 408 (7 th Cir. 2019)	1, 6, 7, 8
<i>United States v. Castetter</i> , 865 F.3d 977 (7 th Cir. 2017)	3
<i>United States v. Grubbs</i> , 547 U.S. 90 (2006)	7
<i>United States v. Sanchez-Jara</i> , 889 F.3d 418 (7 th Cir. 2018)	4, 9
<i>United States v. Jones</i> , 565 U.S. 400 (2012)	3, 5, 7, 10
<i>Wong Sun v. United States</i> , 371 U.S. 471 (1963)	10
U.S. Constitution	
United States Constitution, Amendment IV	1, 5, 9
Federal Statutes	
18 U.S.C. §2510 et. seq.	7
18 U.S.C. § 2703	9
18 U.S.C. §3006A	1
18 U.S.C. §3742	1
28 U.S.C. §1254(1)	1
28 U.S.C. §1291	1