18-9174 No. — ORIGINAL

Suprema Grand I

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

<u>Warren Myles</u> — PETITIONER vs.

The State of Nebraska RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Nebraska Supreme Court

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

United States Court of Appeals for the Eight Circuit 314-244-2400 Nebraska Attorney General

Warren Myles 404-974-6184

Deuel County District Court 308-874-3308 Nebraska supreme court and court of appeals 402-471-3731 Mr.Joel B. Jay / Deuel county Attorney 308-874-9934

402-471-2683

Docket No.	
------------	--

The Supreme court of the United States

Warren Myles - PETITIONER

vs.

The State of Nebraska - RESPONDENT(S)

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Warren Myles

907 Creekdale Dr, Clarkston GA 30021

404-974-6184

List of Parties

Mr. Joel B. Jay Deuel County Attoney

907 Jackson Street

P.O Box 508, Chappell Nebraska 69129

Clerk of Nebraska Supreme Court and Nebraska Court of Appeals

2413 State Capitol P.O box 98910, Lincoln Nebarska 68509

402-471-3731

Deuel County District Curt P.O. Box 327 718 3rd St

Chappell NE 69129

308-874-3308

Nebraska Attorney General

2115 State Capitol

Lincoln NE 68509

404-471-2683

Questions Presented

Does the State of Nebraska have the option to respect some but not other states concealed carry permits.

Does smelling of marijuana constitute probable cause for searches, when marijuana is legal in areas close to the arrest? A person could have legally engaged in marijuana and the smell may linger.

Can law enforcement welfare checks extend pass the residence?

Is emergency urination a legitimate reason to park briefly on the interstate for relief?

Can an Officer use criminal history exposed while checking identification to further an investigation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS B	ELOW	
JURISDICTIO	N	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED		
STATEMENT OF THE CASE		
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT		
CONCLUSION		
INDEX TO APPENDICES		
APPENDIX	Α	Nebraska Supreme Court petition of appellee for further review denied
APPENDIX	В	Nebraska Court of Appeals Memorandum Opinion and Judgment on Appeal
APPENDIX	С	Motion to suppress evidence proceedings
APPENDIX	D	Appeal from District Court of Deuel County Brief of Appellant
APPENDIX	E	Appeal from District Court of Deuel County Brief of Appellee and Brief on Cross
APPENDIX	F	Petition for Further Review and Memorandum Brief

Opinions Below

The opinion of the Nebraska Court of appeals appears in app. B. The opinion of motion to suppress the Deuel County District Court appears on app. C. The Nebraska Supreme Court denied further review on app. A.

Jurisdiction

The Nebraska Court of appeals delivered its opinion on November 27th 2018 app. B. The Nebraska Supreme Court denied petition for further review on February 7th 2019. This Court's jurisdiction is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254.

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

This case involves the Fourth amendment of the constitution prohibits "unreasonable searches and seizures." U.S Const. amend. IV.

This case involves the Second amendment of the constitution prohibits "infringement of the right to keep and bear arms". U.S Const. amend II

Cases Cited
State v. Myles
Neh Rev Stat 60-6 166(h)

A----

Statement of the Case

The facts of this case are in dispute. Myles indicated by non-verbal the thumbs up gesture that everything was fine and welfare check was unnecessary upon review of video evidence. After the gesture Myles started returning to the rental vehicle but was called to the officer. The officer asked why the vehicle was parked on the side of the road and Myles stated it was an emergency urination. The officer stated that was not a valid reason to stop the vehicle. Neb. Rev. Stat. 60-6,166(b) The officer requested for Myles identification and was given identification before immediately ordering Myles to get into his squad car twice. Upon receiving Myles Identification the officer began the detention of Myles to investigate the circumstances presumably but without asking or determining who was driving the vehicle. App. F (Supp. 13:13-18). The order of Myles into the squad car led to the officer smelling marijuana on the person of Myles, which consequently followed by a search of Myles person. Myles indicated the possession of a firearm before the search was conducted and that a concealed carry permit was in his possession.

Reason for Granting the Writ

The issuance of the improper parking violation was unjust and improper due to the fact that the officer did not know who was driving the vehicle, both occupants were legally allowed to drive the vehicle but only Myles was charged and convicted on the improper parking violation.

The Officer indicted that stopping for emergency urination is not a valid reason to stop on the side of the interstate and that is not consistent with the laws of Nebraska. Neb. Rev. Stat 60-6,166

The State of Nebraska has decided to not respect some other states concealed carry permits for various reasons but leads to uneven and discriminatory application of the law.

The fact that the officer never smelled marijuana from the vehicle combined by ordering of Myles into his vehicle made search incident to arrest narrowly focused on Myles person and with the validation of the co-defendants right to drive the vehicle and license, should have been allowed to proceed due to Myles arrest.

Conclusion

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Rosnortfulki Suhmittad