

18-9166

No. 18-16193 Docket

9th Circuit of Appeals

IN THE

123

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

2254^{case}
Emergency - Appeal - Summary Judgement Requested

Travis Ortiz

(Your Name)

vs.

CA, Attorney General

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

San Francisco, 9th Circuit Court of Appeals

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Travis M. Ortiz

(Your Name)

Po Box 290066

(Address)

Represa Ca 95671

(City, State, Zip Code)

X

(Phone Number)

all questions, warrant Emergency Arbitratory hearings.
A Summary Judgement is requested. Emergency action warranted

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Can California violate my freedom, and "Double-Jeopardy
Clauses"? (8:30 am 4-7-10 ^{Subj} Blusman Ruled at Bridgette Castillo; at 2pm they used her anyways.)

Can California violate The lawyer-client privilege?
(pp-73 and 4-8-10 newspaper)

Can California "Suppress" Framing Narration of The Sole-
Identifying witness? (Trial pgs 1254-1260 - Brady vs Maryland)

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

California Attorney General's office
Kamala Harris
Clara M. Levers
Deputy attorney general

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A April 2nd 2019 - 9th Circuit Court of Appeals Denied petition
circuit Judges Silberman and Callahan!

APPENDIX B Emergency - appeal 2254 case - freedom violation •

APPENDIX C Extra-ordinary writ - "Double Jeopardy"; and "Lawyer-Client privilege Breach"

APPENDIX D malicious prosecution Sole witness "Ruled" out By Judge Silberman, 8am 4-7-10
The Lawyer-Client - privilege Breached - Verbatim page-73, "Self-Defense" Verbage

APPENDIX E Freedom is a Constitutional Guarantee and SO IS The Lawyer-
Client - privilege. The work product doctrine,

APPENDIX F The brands warrant an Emergency - Arbitrarily hearing!

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
<u>Brady vs Maryland "Suppressed for 2 years"</u>	<u>Trial pages = 1254-1260</u>
Arizona vs Youngblood	
California vs Trombetta	
U.S. vs. Morrison	
People vs Jordan	
Morrow vs Superior Court	
Dambrosio vs Bagley	
Carter vs Rafferty	
Brown vs Maynard	
Nevers vs Killinger	

STATUTES AND RULES

Cal. Bar Rule 3-100 - U.S. Supreme Court Rule 11 - 21a4

3141-C-6 Corrections Wash. DC laws

"Double Jeopardy Clauses" - "Collateral Estoppel" - an ingredient of 5th amendment

4th amendment - Illegal - Search and Seizure (False-arrest)

5th Amendment - Reasonable Doubt -

6th amendment - Effective assistance of counsel

14th amendment - Right to due process clauses

OTHER

CA. STATE BAR COMPLAINTS # 17-17030 They refuse to Arbitrate
17-14963

CA. Judicial performance Conference

Commission Judge Lucena "perjury" pages 679, 686, 687, 688

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at April. 2nd 2019; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at April 27th 2018 - final order July 9th 2018; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at 10-17-17 Cal 3rd Appellate Judge Blease; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 2nd 2010.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 4-2-10, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

A Summary Judgement is requested
Emergency arbitration is warranted!

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The 4th Amendment U.S. Constitution on page 1. preliminary Hearing, Judge Blusman's "Ruling". Excluding Sole witness = Castillo at 4-7-10 - 8:30 am

A 5th Amendment Inbreident "Double Jeopardy Clause", "Collateral Estoppel", on preliminary page 5. "I located one" on 4-7-10 - 2 pm - she already ruled out

The 5th, 6th and 14th Amendments. Violated. - The work product Doctrine

on Verbatim [p673] The Lawyer-client privilege Breached by Matt Bentli. March 2010

It's called malicious Prosecution. automatic Dismissal - in adverse - Sanction.

State and federal Constitutional Guarantees of private Confermentation with Lawyers.

Violated by CO-Brownfield "Eaves Dropped" on Legal-visit, and wrote a Chico Enterprise Records Article on 4-8-10 and printed "Self-Defense".

That Verbose was not mentioned AT prelims, privilege Breached by Butte County CO's Ebrebarious AS IT BETS

14th Amendment "Due process clauses" - 2nd Trial p65 - 1254-1260

The Sole witness, and Cousin Eric's precinct Conversation "Suppressed" for 2 years Undiscovered Thru prelims, Brady vs. Maryland - Arbitration The Public needs to see IT ASAP. "Ebrebarious" as IT GETS!

reasonable Doubt - 5th amendment violations, Lawyer-client privilege Breached.

1st Trial false, Identifying Jury Instructions. Calcim 505 - submitted by all(3) parties. Verbatim [p702] - no Testimonial Support. There is no Evidence To Corroborate a "self-Defense" Theory.

A Railroad - Emergency Arbitration is warranted.

A Summary Judgement is requested.
An Emergency Arbitrany is warranted.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The affidavit

Bridgette Castillo's Statement, got me arrested, on 4-7-10, Judge Blusman "Ruled" on her, Excluding her, and pronouncing "ID-issues" false-arrest. I was Illegally held for a prelim; Lead Detective Testified TO Locating "1" IDing witness, "Double Secordy violated", An automatic Dismissal. Emergency action! Lawyer Dane Cameron my Lawyer, Said were not "presenting nothing AT The preliminary." His paralegal, Breached our privilege In March 2010, Before The Hearing TO The DAs office (p673) malicious-prosecution; an automatic-Dismissal Adverse-Sanction is Warranted, Arbitrany. There is no STATUTORY Time Limits on Lawyer-client privilege

on 4-8-10, CO-Brownfield Wrote A Newspaper Article for Chico

Enterprise Records, under Terry Van Deils Name, and printed "Self-Defense" on The Front page, "Impeding" on "Id-issues", and Slander me as a witness. "Self-Defense" was never mentioned AT The prelim, his false Identifying Verbage, Comes from "Eavesdropping", in my Jailhouse Legal-visit. Its malicious-prosecution. The only Effective "Remedy" is a Dismissal. Dane Cameron my Lawyer, admitted participation, afterwards, "you see how we did That?" RailRoaded my Lawyer-client privilege, undermined By all Sides, The most Fundamental Law, and "Right" in The American Legal System. ^{The 5th, 6th and 14th amendment}

Almost 2 years After ^{The arrest} the Lead Detective parrot, admitted on The Stand, To "authoring" Framing narration of his Sole witness, out of his 2010 Disciplinary Report. "Exonerating Evidence", and proof of no probable cause for my arrest. ^{4th amendment and 14th amendment} Illebal Search and Seizure. RailRoaded AT 1st Trial, all 3 parties violated my 5th amendment, Submitting CalCrim 505 & p6702, There is no Testimonial Support, and "never" was.

All "Self-Defense" Verbage must be come from Legal Visit Breach. An automatic, adverse, Dismissal. I never Signed a waiver. "Privileged Breached"- Arbitrany warranted.

A Summary Judgement is requested
Emergency Arbitration is warranted.

Verbatim Sacket 2012
on Trial pages 1254-1260

In 2010 Eric and Castillo's precinct conversation is the worst
Case of a 4th amendment violation. Brady vs Maryland: Framed me!!

my Lawyer-client "privilege" was never waived, all "Self-Defense", Slender
is a "privilege-Breach", on The Sacket, and in the Chico newspaper, 4-8-10

There is no "Testimony" of "Self Defense" Thru out the whole case!

The privilege is a "fundamental American Law", a violation is an adverse
Dismissal. This is the most "egregious" cases, of a Lawyer-client Privilege Breach.

State and federal "Double- Secrecy Clauses", violated; Collateral-Estoppel, automatic
Dismissal, protection from disputes already "Ruled" on. Castillo was
Excluded by Judge Blusman's "Ruling"; no face no case!! Later, on
4-7-10 I had defective Testified TO Locating "1" witness - Castillo
"Collateral-Estoppel", "an" automatic - Dismissal. Arbitration is mandatory, Adversely.

I was RailRoaded AT my 1st Trial, all parties submitted
false jury instructions. Calcrim 505 which has no testimonial support.
A Lawyer-client "privilege" Breach, I never signed a waiver, waiving
my privilege with Lawyer Dane Cameron. Malicious-prosecution, an
Automatic-Dismissal, Adverse-Sanction Warranted. The most fundamental
Law in the U.S. Justice System. ^{the 5th-6th and 14th amendments.}

I Aint never been Implemented In This Crime!!
Judge Blusman "Ruled" at sole witness, 9 years false-arrest. Arbitrary hearing warranted
all Slender's "Self-Defense" verbiage has no Evidence TO Corroborate
and its proof of a Lawyer-client "privilege" Breach. The most adverse-Dismissal
There is, in the Justice System, no witness ever Testified TO Self-Defense!
There's no time limits on Lawyer-client privilege.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

A Summary Judgement is requested. Emergency Arbitration!!

Respectfully submitted,

Araub Ortiz

Date: April. 23rd 2019