

18-9166

No. 18-16193 Docket

ORIGINAL

9th circuit of appeals

IN THE

18-23

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

2254 case
Emergency - Appeal - Summary Judgement Requested

Travis Ortiz

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

CA Attorney General

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

San Francisco, 9th circuit court of Appeals

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Travis M. Ortiz

(Your Name)

Po Box 290066

(Address)

Repsa Ca 95671

(City, State, Zip Code)

2

(Phone Number)

all questions, warrant Emergency Arbitration hearings.
A Summary Judgment is requested. Emergency action warranted

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Can California Violate my freedom, and "Double-Jeopardy
Clauses?" (8:30 am 4-7-10 ^{Subj} blusman "Ruled" at Bridgett Castillo, at 2pm they used her anyways.)

Can California Violate The lawyer-client privilege?
(p6-73 and 48-10 newspaper)

Can California "Suppress" Framing Narration of The Sole-
Identifying Witness? (Trial ptes 1254-1260 - Brady vs Maryland)

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

California Attorney Generals office
Kamala Harris

Clara M. Levers
Deputy attorney General

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	April 2 nd 2019 - 9 th Circuit Court of appeals Denied petition Circuit Judges Silverman and Callahan.
APPENDIX B	Emergency - appeal 2254 Case - Freedom Violation •
APPENDIX C	<u>Extra-ordinary writ</u> - "Double Jeopardy" and "Lawyer-Client privilege Breach."
APPENDIX D	<u>Malicious - prosecution</u> # Sole witness "Ruled" out By Judge blusman, 8am 4-7-10 The Lawyer-client - privilege Breached - Verbatim page-73. "Self-Defense" Verbage
APPENDIX E	Freedom is a Constitutional Guarantee and so IS The lawyer- client - privilege. The work product doctrine,
APPENDIX F	The brands warrant an Emergency - Arbitrary hearing!

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
<u>Brady vs Maryland "Suppressed for 2 years"</u>	<u>Trial pages = 1254-1260</u>
Arizona vs Young Blood	
California vs Trombetta	
U.S. vs. Morrison	
people vs Jordan	
Morrow vs Superior Court	
Dambrosio vs Bagley	
Carter vs Rafferty	
Bowen vs Maynard	
Nevers vs Killinger	

STATUTES AND RULES

Cal. Bar Rule 3-100	- U.S. Supreme Court Rule 11 - 214
<u>3141-C-6 Corrections Wash. DC laws</u>	
"Double Jeopardy Clauses" - "Collateral Estoppel"	- on infringement of 5 th amendment
4 th amendment	- Illegal Search and Seizure (false arrest)
5 th Amendment	- Reasonable Doubt -
6 th amendment	- Ineffective assistance of counsel
14 th amendment	- Right to due process clauses

OTHER

<u>CA. State Bar Complaints #</u>	<u>17-17030</u>	They refuse to Arbitrate
	<u># 17-14963</u>	
CA. Judicial performance Commission	Judge Lucena "perjury"	pages 679, 686, 687, 688

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at April 2nd 2019; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at April 27th 2018 - final order July 9th 2018; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at 10-17-17 Cal 3rd Appellate Subcase; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 2nd 2010.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 4-2-11, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

A Summary Judgement is requested
Emergency arbitration is warranted!

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The 4th Amendment U.S. Constitution on page 1. preliminary Hearing, Judge blusmons
"Ruling" Excluding sole witness = Castillo at 4-7-10 - 8:30 am

A 5th Amendment Inbredient "Double Jeopardy Clauses", "Collateral-ESToppel".
on preliminary page 5. "I located one." on 4-7-10 - 2pm - she already ruled out

The 5th 6th and 14th Amendments. Violated. - The work product Doctrine
on Verbatim [p573] The Lawyer-client privilege Breached by Matt Beniti. March 2010
its called malicious prosecution. automatic dismissal - an adverse sanction.
State and federal Constitutional Guarantees of private confertation with Lawyers.
Violated By CO-Brownfield "Eaves Dropped" on Legal-visit, and wrote a Chico
Enterprise Records Article on 4-8-10 and printed "Self-Defense".
That verbage was not mentioned AT prelim. privilege Breached by Butte county CO's
Ebrebarias AS IT GETS

14th Amendment "Due process Clauses" - 2nd Trial pgs - 1254-1260
The sole witness, and Cousin Eric's preinct conversation "Suppressed"
for 2 years undiscovered Thru prelims. Brady vs. Maryland - Arbitration
The Public needs to see it ASAP. "Ebrebarias" as it gets!

reasonable
DUBST - 5th amendment violations, Lawyer-client privilege Breached.
1st Trial False, Identifying, Jury Instructions. Calcrim 505 - submitted
By all parties. Verbatim [page 702] - no Testimonial Support. There is no
Evidence To Corroborate a "self-Defense" Theory.

A Railroad - Emergency Arbitration is warranted.

A Summary Judgment is requested.
An Emergency-Arbitrary is warranted.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The affidavit Bridgette Castillo's Statement, Got me arrested on 4-7-10, Judge
Blusman "Ruled" on her, Excluding her, and pronouncing "ID-issues" false-arrest.
I was Illegally held for a prelim; Lead Detective Testified To Locating
"1" IDing witness, "Double Jeopardy Violated", "Automatic Dismissal, Emergency action!"
^{Lawyer} Dane Cameron my Lawyer, said were not "presenting nothing AT The preliminary,"
His paralegal, "Breached" our privilege in March 2010, Before The Hearing
To The DA's office (p5-73) malicious-prosecution, an automatic-Dismissal
Adverse-Sanction is warranted, Arbitrary. There is no statutory Time Limits on Lawyer-client privilege.

on 4-8-10, CO-Brownfield wrote A Newspaper Article for Chico
Enterprise Records, under Terry van Dells name, and printed "Self-Defense"
on The Front page, "Impeding" on "ID-issues", and slandering me as a
witness. "Self-Defense" was never mentioned AT The prelim, his false,
Identifying, Verbage, Comes from "Eavesdropping" in my Jailhouse Legal-visit.
its malicious-prosecution. The only Effective "Remedy" is a Dismissal. Dane Cameron
my Lawyer, admitted participation, after words. "You see how we did That?"
RailRoaded my Lawyer-client privilege, undermined By all sides. The most
Fundamental Law, and "Right" in the American Legal System, 5th-6th and 14th amendment.

Almost 2 years After the arrest the Lead Detective parrot, admitted
on The stand, To "authoring" Framing Narration of his sole witness, out of
his 2010 Discovery Report. "Exonerating-Evidence", and proof of no probable cause
for my arrest. An Illegal Search and Seizure. 4th amendment and 14th amendment.
RailRoaded AT 1st Trial. all 3 parties violated my 5th amendment, Submitting
Calcrim 505 on p5702, There is no Testimonial Support, and "never" was.
All "Self-Defense" verbage must come from Legal Visit Breach. An automatic, adverse,
Dismissal. I never Signed a waiver. "Privileged Breached"-Arbitrary warranted.

A Summary Judgment is requested
Emergency Arbitration is warranted.

Verbatim Sacket 2012
on Trial pages 1254-1260
In 2010

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Eric and Castillo's precinct conversation is the worst
Case of a 4th amendment violation. Brady vs Maryland: Framed me!!

my Lawyer-client "privilege" was never waived, all "Self-Defense", Slander
is a "privilege-Breach", on The Sacket, and on The Chico Newspaper, 4-8-10

There is no "Testimony" of "Self-Defense" Thru out The whole Case!

The privilege is a "Fundamental-American Law", a violation is an adverse
Dismissal. This is the most "Egregious" cases, of a Lawyer-client Privilege Breach.

State and Federal "Double-Separate Clauses", Violated; Collateral-Estoppel, automatic
Dismissal, protection from disputes already "Ruled" on. Castillo was

Excluded By Judge Blusmon's "Ruling", no face no case!! Later, on
4-7-10 Lead Detective testified TO Locating "1" witness - Castillo
"Collateral-Estoppel". "AN" Automatic - Dismissal. Arbitration is mandatory, Adversely.

I was RailRoaded AT my 1st Trial, all parties submitted
false jury instructions. Calcrim 505 which has no testimonial support.
A Lawyer-client "privilege" Breach, I never signed a waiver, waiving
my privilege, with Lawyer Dane Cameron. malicious-prosecution, an
Automatic - Dismissal, Adverse-Sanction warranted. The most fundamental
Law in The U.S. Justice System. ^{The 5th - 6th and 14th amendments.}

I Aint never Been Implemented In This Crime!!
Judge Blusmon "Ruled" at sole witness. 9 years false-arrest. Arbitrary hearing warranted
all Slanders "Self-Defense" verbiage has NO Evidence TO Corroborate
and its proof of a Lawyer-client "privilege" Breach. The most adverse-dismissal
There is, in The Justice System, no witness ever testified TO Self-Defense!
^{There's no Time Limits on Lawyer-client Privilege.}

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

A Summary Judgement is requested, Emergency Arbitration!!

Respectfully submitted,

Ineub City

Date: April 23rd 2019