

No. 18-9160

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

APR 30 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RADOMYSL TWARDOWSKI

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

BISMARCK POLICE DEPARTMENT

LT. GLEN TERRES, SGT LYLE SINCLAIR

~~AND DET BRANDON RASK~~

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

RADOMYSL TWARDOWSKI

(Your Name)

3601 UNIVERSITY DR 5 APT # 308

(Address)

FARGO, ND 58104

(City, State, Zip Code)

(701) 781-0165

(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

THE QUESTIONS I WISH THE COURT TO REVIEW ARE:

1. SHOULD THERE BE A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON GRAVE PERSONAL INJURIES, MORAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL, WHICH AFFECT THE PERSON FOR THE REMAINDER OF HIS/HER LIFE, WRONGFUL ACTIONS WHICH CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO THE DESTRUCTION OF THE FAMILY, CAUSE DERAILMENT OF ONE'S PROFESSIONAL CAREER AND LIFE IN GENERAL.

THIS IS A CASE OF SERIOUS VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS, PRIVACY OF HOME, OF DUE PROCESS. PETITIONER QUESTIONS THE 'STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS' LINE OF DEFENSE, WHICH NEVER TOOK UP THE ASSERTIONS AD MERITUM.

2. WHY DID THE COURT RULE ON MOTION WHEN I WAS REQUESTING A JURY TRIAL?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	THE OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
APPENDIX B	THE OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

18 U.S. CODE § 3286.

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at www.govinfo.gov ; OPINIONS OF THE U.S. COURTS 18-1027; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at www.pacermonitor.com ; 1:17-cv-00110; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was FEBRUARY 27, 2019.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: APRIL 10, 2019, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

1. 42 V.S.C. § 1983



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

IN JANUARY 2007 I WAS BADLY BETRAYED BY MY FAMILY ,  
MY WIFE WAS (AND OBVIOUSLY STILL IS) AFFECTED BY MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS,  
THERE WERE EMOTIONS AT HOME , MY THEN - 15-YEAR-OLD SON STRUCK ME  
AND HAD TO BE DISCIPLINED.

A FEW DAYS AFTER THIS FACT THE POLICE ENTERED OUR HOME IN  
A SNEAKY, ILLEGAL FASHION , WITHOUT THE WARRANT OR PROPER  
INTRODUCTION AND ATTACKED ME ESSENTIALLY IN A PREMEDITATED  
FASHION.

I WAS PHYSICALLY ASSAULTED , CONFINED , JUDGED GUILTY & DRUMMED UP  
OR NONEXISTENT TRANSGRESSIONS WITHOUT DUE PROCESS .

THE BEHAVIOR OF MY FAMILY AND SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS OF THE PUBLIC  
ENTITIES HAVE CAUSED IRREPARABLE PERSONAL , PROFESSIONAL ,  
MORAL , PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL HARM , WHICH IS ACTIVE  
AND ONGOING , SINCE FAMILY REMAINS A FAMILY .  
THIS CONSTITUTES GRAVE INJUSTICE.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED SINCE THE HARM INCURRED  
IN JANUARY 2007 IS ACTIVE AND ONGOING.

GROSS VIOLATIONS OF DUE PROCESS HAVE NOT BEEN ADDRESSED.

THIS IS A CASE OF THE DESTRUCTIVE DAMAGE DONE TO THE FAMILY  
AND OF MULTIPLE VIOLATIONS OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS OF A  
HUSBAND, FATHER AND CITIZEN.

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Radhakrishna Dhandarini

Date: APRIL 30, 2019