

JUL 17 2019

BY:

For Mailing

Office of the Clerk
in the
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington D.C. 20543

RE: Rosa V. Florida et al
NO: 18-9065

Notice to the Clerk of the Court

1. I, Charlene Rosa pro se inmate receive the notice from the clerk dated July 10, 2019.
2. Thank you so much for patience with me. I did read the entire Brief from this Court looking for the page limit and the rehearing and must have overlooked it. The error was not for any abuse of procedure. Most rehearing motions are 20 page limits so I just assumed. and since I did not find the information I thought that I could go up to 40 pages as in the writ of Certiorari so I did file a supplement. please void the supplement please.
3. I filed this timely attach motion for Rehearing as requested by this Court pursuant to Rule 33.2(b) and Rule 44.6.
4. The Law library at the prison asserts that the clerk have a form for Rehearing and refuse to give me another Certiorari form, so I file the Rehearing on line paper I hope and pray that this Honorable Supreme Court will understand that I lost all my free will and only can work with material provided by the department of correction D.C.

5. Due To the 15 Page limit ⁱⁿ ~~it~~ I could only argue the Essential Element and the Ground on the Material Fact that is intertwined the Factual Element that Vitiates the Conviction.

6. The Entire State Case is Fabricated and this Court in review will determine that the State Case does not exist without defense counsel inadequate representation that deprive defendant of counsel at critical stages of the trial proceeding, and meaningful Adversarial Testing.

Absent defense counsel In Adequate representation I would be acquitted because.

1. The State Failed to Verify the Inferences statements including recording conversations for (a) Motives (b) Truthfulness (c) Authenticity.

2. The State file amendment charge Discredit that he proceeded on during trial that the Trial Judge realized that the jury rejected and or the State did not prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, so instead of giving the jury direction for a Direct Verdict of Acquittal the Judge gave the jury a instruction on Direct Verdict of Conviction caused by defense counsel Discredited alternative theory to the jury that prove the defendants guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as Counsel asserted that she "Caused" the Victims death.

3. The Metro PC Custodian using cell tower to track my Movement on July 4, 2002 discredited the

neighbor theory that he saw me doing activity that he described when I was elsewhere in another city. In addition this witness ~~for~~ committed perjury he first told police that he saw me July 6, 2006. When airline record shows that I left this country July 4, 2002 he recanted.

4. Maxine Hylton who testified that I called her from the Victim home complaining that the Victim refuse to pay me wages. The alleged conversations that she testified that she had with me on the Victim's home phone lasted about 10-15 minutes. The Victim home phone record shows that there was a call from Mrs. Salzman resident to Maxine Hylton lasted "one minute" establish that Maxine Hylton was not a credible witness.

5. The Arresting Special Agents testified that I made Voluntary Statements to them and show them a scar in my hands. The trial Judge ordered a Examination of my hands that establish that I have no scar in any of my hands establish that these two Special Agents was not credible witnesses. Coupled with the fact that the record is devoid of any steps that was taken under Miranda rule to establish alleged Voluntary Statement which is grounds for Federal relief under the Miranda rule on conviction that was obtained in violation of the rule.

base on the above material facts establish that Strategic Decision on defense counsel unconstitutionality on the Factual Elements of the case is unconstitutional. and I pray that the Honorable United States Supreme Court will find that the United States District Court and Court of Appeal Apply the incorrect standard just to let this case go away.

The rest of the state allegations about petitioner charge her flight dates and park her truck at the Miami International parking Garage for Omar Nunez to pick up, cost Nunez 90 dollars was a collateral crime evidence violation of my Freedom to Travel and Spousal privacy.

No one verify that my son was in a car accident and that is so sad and pitiful on the state of Florida.

The Issue concerning me using a passport is so irrelevant to any alleged murder. I did explain my immigration issue to the defense counsel who failed to investigate.

I am not a murderer did not kill Mrs. Salzman or cause her death. That is one hard pill to swallow.

I Thank you So much
Sifra C. Roth 606814
July 17, 2019