

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No.: 18-9018

Jupiter Dennell Wilson, Sr.

Appellant(Pro se litigant),

v.

**CONSOLIDATED CASE
CIVIL ACTION NO:2:16cv301
CIVIL ACTION NO:2:16cv629
CIVIL ACTION NO:2:16cv711
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
NO.: 18-1562 (4TH CIRCUIT)**

**City of Chesapeake,
in it's Official Capacities as the
Appellee.**

APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR REHEARING

Comes now Jupiter D. Wilson, Appellant for cause to assert above motion with
objection to the following order(dated October 7, 2019) in the above-entitled case:
“The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.” Appellant asserts objection as
follows:

1) . As enforced by Compulsory Education, the Board of Education of which
determines the curriculum for subjects(that didn't even include a basic working
knowledge of the law, or the Constitution) learned and acquired during Appellant
pro se's youth provided the force and propensity for continued effects that became
and fortified seeds of intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effects
while also relied upon by Appellant, because as inferred to Appellant like most
citizens were subliminally taught to rely on what the attorneys and judges say as also
learned or acquired the hard way contrary to the purpose of education and the
Fourteenth Amendment that the same citizens like Appellant face challenges

acquiring an attorney because generally attorneys get to cherry-pick over the cases attorneys want. Appellant perceives being repeatedly victimized.

2). Proof that Compulsory Education is an intervening circumstance is that most citizens are unable to file a lawsuit, nor proficiently initiate or participate in a civil judicial process and won't, because while not being able to get an attorney, tens of millions simply can't while grumbling and verbally complaining in addition to becoming subjected to a health detriment of anger, depression, or a PTSD related condition or worse being plastered on the six or eleven o'clock news as another statistic like on May 31, 2019, incident that occurred within the city of Virginia Beach by one of its employees.

3). Now as a direct result of the issues stated above Appellant was left in judicial destitution or left to fend for Appellant's self during each judicial matter while in addition to being trampled over by attorneys, judges, and commissioners in multiple cases that included and totaled so far four discrimination cases as derived from eight EEOC charges, and three workers compensation cases from the same Employer of whom appears indelibly empowered from the systemic administrative interventions expressed above.

4) . Stemmed from the myriad of compounding effects of Compulsory Education against Appellant as validated by Attorney Hamann of whom said the eighth EEOC charge about the Merit-Pay Increase Appellant should had filed a lawsuit by October 31, 2019. Attorney Hamann is correct, consistent with Appellant's abilities that includes time monies, official, and personal obligations to family, church, job, and Appellant's community.

5). In short, as a direct result of these life long interventions that have generated, exacerbated, and perpetuated adverse employment and judicial effects included but

limited thereto against Appellant, Appellant has been denied fair trials that should include a trial by a jury despite paying fees as per contracted consistent with the **1866 Emancipation Law dealing with contracts(Civil Rights Act of 1866)**.

6). The words “any person” found in the Fourteenth Amendment means exactly what it says, whether lame, deaf, dumb, ignorant, white, black, and etc. Due Process and Equal Protection of the law does not mean to determine if a litigant is able to comply with court rules or procedures. In other words, because Appellant may not be able or judicially proficient enough to properly administer sufficient compliance to the judicial procedures and Rules like a licensed attorney, Appellant should not have been denied a fair trial or a trial by jury to present Appellant’s case.

7). Therefore; as stated above these grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented as related to the fact that Appellee, along with the judges in the federal courts, and the Virginia Worker’s Compensation Commission all knew that Appellant a pro se litigant will likely not be able to timely represent Appellant’s self as described above would only lend Appellant to an unfair court proceeding in the district court to the benefit of Appellee(a corporation) of whom has access to an attorney. Based on these intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect the District Court should not have accepted payment of fees.

8) . It is within this cumulative experience that Appellee has benefited to the detriment of Appellant despite Appellee’s knowledge of the same while failing to correct a manifest injustice under misprision of a felony(18 U.S.C. § 4) of Appellant’s known rights in relations to the Fourteenth Amendment with respect to each officer of the court’s Oath and Affirmation.

Respectfully submitted,



Jupiter D. Wilson, Sr., pro se litigant 757-560-7449
1600 Head of River Road
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322

Certification of a party unrepresented by counsel

Appellant, Jupiter D. Wilson, Sr., hereby asserts this certification with regards to "Appellant's Petition for Rehearing," and that it is restricted to the grounds specified pertaining to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented, and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully submitted,




Jupiter D. Wilson, Sr., pro se litigant 757-560-7449
1600 Head of River Road
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of all the foregoing has been served upon United States Mail, Postage prepaid, or Hand Delivered on or before the 17th day of November 2019, to:

Melissa A. Hamann, Esquire (VSB# 82179)
Office of City Attorney
City of Chesapeake
306 Cedar Road
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322
Telephone 757-382-6586
Facsimile 757-382-8749
mhamann@cityofchesapeake.net
Counsel for Defendant

Respectfully submitted,


Jupiter D. Wilson, Sr., pro se litigant 757-560-7449
1600 Head of River Road
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322