

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-50535
Summary Calendar
D.C. Docket No. 3:17-CV-265

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED
January 9, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

In the Matter of: ABIE WOLF, also known as Abraham C. Wolf; formerly
doing business as Mac H. Auto General Mechanic and Repair

Debtor

ABIE WOLF, also known as Abraham C. Wolf, formerly doing business as
Mac. H Auto General Mechanic and Repair,

Appellant

v.

RANDOLPH OSHEROW; GARRY STARR; BONNIE STARR,

Appellees

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Western District of Texas

Before SMITH, WIENER, and WILLETT, Circuit Judges.

J U D G M E N T

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

It is ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the District Court is
affirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that appellant pay to appellees the costs on appeal to be taxed by the Clerk of this Court.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

January 9, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 18-50535
Summary Calendar

In the Matter of:

ABIE WOLF, Also Known as Abraham C. Wolf;
Formerly Doing Business as Mac H. Auto General Mechanic and Repair,

Debtor.

ABIE WOLF, Also Known as Abraham C. Wolf;
Formerly Doing Business as Mac H. Auto General Mechanic and Repair,

Appellant,

versus

RANDOLPH OSHEROW; GARRY STARR; BONNIE STARR,

Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
No. 3:17-CV-265

No. 18-50535

Before SMITH, WIENER, and WILLETT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Abie Wolf appeals, *pro se*, the district court's judgment affirming the judgment of the bankruptcy court denying a discharge from his debts. The district court explained its affirmance in an impressive and thorough twelve-page opinion, the "Amended Order" issued on June 21, 2018. The court properly observed that Wolf's case centers on his allegation that the proof of claim was forged and not actually signed by Garry Starr. The court correctly held that that assertion had been foreclosed by final orders of the bankruptcy court that had not been appealed. The district court also opined that, in addition to being foreclosed, the forgery claim lacked merit.

There is no error. The judgment of the district court, affirming the bankruptcy court, is AFFIRMED, essentially for the reasons convincingly set forth by the district court.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.