

No. **18-8945**

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington, DC 20543-0001

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

FEB 22 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Lorraine D. Black — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
Life Unlimited/ConcernCare — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For The Eighth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Lorraine D. Black
(Your Name)

8422 N. Beaman Ave
(Address)

Kansas City, MO. 64154
(City, State, Zip Code)

816) 446-6843
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Did Appeals Court ERR By Not acknowledging TO Reply Briefing The Documents presented for the appearance of Court appointed Counsel legal Representation?
2. Did Appeal Court ERR By Not granting me Relief Enforcing Settlement Payment on Defamation, Retaliation, Race Discrimination, Liable For False Allegations on the Record Civil and Constitutional Rights Violated Due to Misconduct By Respondents?
3. Did Courts ERR By allowing both Respondents TO place Name on Registry List wrongful, Blackballed, Unable TO work while evidence from Municipal Court Findings of NOT Guilty, Closed Case Dismissed, And Ignored by Courts?
4. Did Courts ERR By Causing Conflict with usance A mandate, Mandate Recall, Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(a) First Then issued an Order Denied Rehearing by Panel and Rehearing En Banc is also denied. TO Pursue Petition For Writ of Certiorari?
5. Did Courts Ignore and Denie Proposed Scheduling Plan Rule 26.1(A)(1)(F) Conferences Disclosures? Misconduct and Negligence, Pain and Suffering Abuse and Neglect Demonstrated?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Department of Mental Health

Rule 4: Summons Request to the clerk for signature and seal, someone appointed Request of petitioner-Plaintiff the Court may order that Service be made by a United States Marshal or deputy marshal or by a person specially appointed by the Court. The Court must so order if the petitioner Plaintiff is authorized to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 USC § 1915 or as a Seaman under 28 U.S.C. 1916.

State of Missouri
Department of Mental Health
1706 East Elm Street P.O. Box 687
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
573/751-8202

State of Missouri
Department of Mental Health
821 E. Admiral Blvd
Kansas City, MO 64106

816) 889-3400
Director: Timothy W. Wholf, Kansas City Regional Office

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	February 06, 2018 Missouri Dept. of Mental Health Review Denial, Dismissal Never investigated, name place of Registry list Review Denial
APPENDIX B	November 02, 2018 Municipal Court Trial, Dismissed, Closed, Not Guilty
APPENDIX C	November 07, 2018 Mandate and Mandate Recall United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit
APPENDIX D	December 03, 2018 Denied Rehearing by panel rehearing En banc. United States of Appeals Eighth Circuit
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Rule 9 Appearance of Counsel Denied	pg. 5
Rule 13 Review on Certiorari Time for Petitioning	pg. 9
Rule 16 Disposition of Petition for Writ of Certiorari	pg. 16
Rule 17 Procedure in an Original Action	pg. 16
Rule 23 Stays	
Rule 44 Rehearing Denied	pg. 27
Rule 45 Process: Mandates	pg. 57
	pg. 59

STATUTES AND RULES

1. Employment Discrimination, Retaliation of Sex, Race Disabilities Title ~~IV~~ of the Civil Right Acts of 1964, as Amended.
2. Employment Discrimination, in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments of 2008.
3. Authority . 42 U.S.C. 2000 e-5(b)
 42 U.S.C. 12117
 42 USC 2000 ff-6

OTHER

- 29 USC 211
- 29 USC 626
- 28 U.S.C. 2101 (F)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix D to the petition and is
☒ reported at United States Court of Appeals; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix D to the petition and is
☒ reported at United States Court of Appeals; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is
☒ reported at Municipal Court; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Missouri Dept. of Mental Health court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is
☒ reported at Missouri Dept. of Health Senior Services; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Dec. 03, 2018.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Dec. 03, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix D.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including Oct. 13, 2017 (date) on Oct. 13, 2017 (date) in Application No. 17 A CV 00906 W-NW

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Nov. 02, 2018.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: Feb 06, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Allegedly. Defamation Statements
2. The Impact of Statements on Petitioner Reputation
3. Response TO Demand For Retraction
4. Ruling On merits
5. Jurisdiction of Washington D.C.
6. Demanding Settlement Total of One Million Dollars Civil lawsuit from Respondents, Misconduct and Due process laws ignored.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner Lorraine D. Black was charged with physical abuse determination Rule 9 CRS-10-5.200(1) G) and name placed on Registry list disqualification for 2 years dated for Feb. 06, 2020 on false accusations denied hearing with Dept. of Mental Health. Never investigated by agency with Life Unlimited and Dept. of Mental Health have violated my Civil and Constitutional Rights causing no employment, Defamation, Race discrimination, Conspired laws broken seeking Review from Supreme Court and judgment. Conflicts after having a trial at Municipal Court on Nov. 02, 2018 findings are Not guilty, Dismissed, Closed after Life Unlimited or Dept. of Mental Health never testified and proved any allegation. Appendix states all dismissals and denied Rehearing, hearing, mandate. Mandate recalls conflicts Request for court appointed counsel denied. Objecting and disputing to not having a fair trial due to being unable to properly represent civil lawsuit case. Peo Se do to mental breakdown and physical and mental disabilities not acknowledge by the courts, while suffering from Retaliation for being an advocate, incompetent to properly defend or understand procedures of the courts seeking Review to Repair Reputation from all false accusations. District of Missouri - 08 CV-1456. Rights to Redress from all false accusations of grievances 28 USC 1654. See United States V. Pallares - Colon 359 F.3d 1088, 1095 (9th Cir 2004) To Request to Summons and properly add Dept. of Mental Health, Rule 4- to have marshal serve to pursue settlement hearing and trial for fraud and crimes committed fighting for justice See. Charles W Echehardt, Florida Evidence 610.8 (2006) Relief. Can be granted civil lawsuit payment \$ 850,000.00 Life Unlimited \$ 150,000.00 Dept. of Mental Health total \$1,000,000.00 Total Relief. Granting Rehearing.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Arguments, Objections Conflicts of Federal court,
Appeals Court, Dept. of Mental Health denial Hearing, And
Municipal Court Findings at trial Nov. 2, 2018. Not Guilty
Closed. Review and Judgement from honorable Supreme Court
Seeking Court appointed Counsel to Request summons
of Dept. of Mental Health holding Petitioner name hostage
on Registry list, wrongful termination, no evidence or
testimony given or investigation to restore name to
professional standing, to proceed grant leave to add
due process law procedures; Rule 4 Summons Dept. of
Mental Health and address all false accusations
causing irreparable harm against petitioner Lorraine,
Black, 8452 N. Blanton Ave KCMO 64154 (816) 446-6843 living
in poverty, pain and suffering Conspiracy, defamation,
malice harm, wage penalty, front and back pay, public hearing
trial and settlement hearing, personal liability by both
agencies Life Unlimited Concern Care and Dept. of Mental
Health, evidence of all documents to support all claims
including tape recorded conversation with Life Unlimited
ignored not acknowledge by the Courts Enrrol working
Pro Se alone suffering from mental, physical breakdowns
harm, seeking Review and Judgement civil lawsuit
payout and summons. Life Unlimited payout \$850,000.00
and Dept. of Mental Health \$150,000.00 for all harm caused.

Petitioner Lorraine S. Black is seeking Review from Supreme Court and Relief of \$1,000,000.00 total from Respondents Life Unlimited/ConcernCare and Dept. of Mental Health for all crimes and losses broken thanking the Honorable Supreme Court. Motion leave to proceed in forma pauperis has been granted in federal and appeals courts.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Lorraine S. Black
Date: April 8, 2019