

# APPENDIX

## Exhibit 1.

The Order of the Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit dated December 19, 2018 that denied my Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal without any explanations about why this decision was reached.



Tatyana Drevaleva <tdrevaleva@gmail.com>

---

**18-17343 Tatyana Drevaleva v. United States Department of Ve, et al "Order Filed"**

---

ca9\_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov <ca9\_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov>

Wed, Dec 19, 2018 at 1:49 PM

To: tdrevaleva@gmail.com

\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\* Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 12/19/2018 at 1:49:01 PM PST and filed on 12/19/2018

Case Name: Tatyana Drevaleva v. United States Department of Ve, et al

Case Number: 18-17343

Document(s): <https://ecf.ca9.uscourts.gov/docs1/009030580279?uid=3bae95097d4200b0>

Docket Text:

Filed order (EDWARD LEAVY and ANDREW D. HURWITZ) Appellant's motion for injunctive relief is denied (Docket Entry No. [5]).

Appellant's motion to expedite the appeal is denied as unnecessary (Docket Entry No. [4]). This preliminary injunction appeal is already expedited pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 3-3.

The portion of the December 14, 2018 order requiring appellant to pay the docketing and filing fees or file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis is vacated as issued in error. A further review of the district court docket reflects that the district court granted appellant leave to proceed in forma pauperis and that such permission has not been revoked for this appeal. The district court revoked appellant's in forma pauperis status for appeal No. 18-17241. Accordingly, appellant's in forma pauperis status continues in this court for this appeal No.

18-17343. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). Appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is therefore denied as unnecessary (Docket Entry No. [8]).

The previously established briefing schedule remains in effect.

[11126469] (OC)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Honorable William Alsup, District Judge  
Claire Truxaw Cormier, Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Tatyana Evgenievna Drevaleva  
Kimberly Robinson, Assistant U.S. Attorney  
USDC, San Francisco

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Main Document

Original Filename: 18-17343.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp\_ID=1106763461 [Date=12/19/2018] [FileNumber=11126469-0] [7ba352b562d0a840675f35953a7740f7e51da056b5627d48cfc63023b01567eafe8b31c1d32f6308ffc198bbb87d7e8dc300eb10cb800b450770e6db25f8bfe8]]

## **Exhibit 2.**

The Order of the Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit dated January 24, 2019 and signed by Chief Justice of the 9th Circuit Mr. Sidney Thomas that denied my Petition for a Writ of Mandate without any adequate explanation about why this decision was reached.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 24 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: TATYANA EVGENIEVNA  
DREVALEVA.

No. 19-70073

TATYANA EVGENIEVNA  
DREVALEVA,

D.C. No. 3:18-cv-03748-WHA  
Northern District of California,  
San Francisco

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO,

Respondent,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
VETERANS AFFAIRS and ROBERT  
WILKIE, United States Secretary of  
Veterans Affairs,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, GOULD and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**

### **Exhibit 3.**

The Order of the Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit dated February 28, 2019 where the Panel of three Justices affirmed the District Court's Order denying my Motion for Preliminary Injunction stating that "the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument" but not giving any explanation about why the questions raised in this appeal were "so insubstantial." Also, this Order prohibited me to file the Emergency Motions for reconsideration.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 28 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TATYANA EVGENIEVNA  
DREVALEVA,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
VETERANS AFFAIRS, and ROBERT  
WILKIE, United States Secretary of  
Veterans Affairs,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 18-17343

D.C. No. 3:18-cv-03748-WHA  
Northern District of California,  
San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CANBY, GRABER, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and the parties' briefs indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (stating standard); *Am. Hotel & Lodging Ass'n v. City of Los Angeles*, 834 F.3d 958, 962 (9th Cir. 2016) (denial of preliminary injunction reviewed for abuse of discretion).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's December 3, 2018 order denying preliminary injunctive relief.

Appellant's motion for sanctions is denied (Docket Entry No. 20).

All other pending motions are denied as moot.



No emergency motions for reconsideration of this order will be filed or entertained.

**AFFIRMED.**