


18-8908
No. _____

ORIGINAL



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PRESTON G. DEMBUGHET, JR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

DARRYL VANNOY - WARDEN — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, FIFTH-CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PRESTON G. DEMBUGHET, JR. #9331
(Your Name)
C.B.C. - LIR - CELL - #15;
LA. STATE PENITENTIARY
(Address)

ANGOLA, LA. 70712
(City, State, Zip Code)

"NONE"
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- #1.) WHETHER, THE LOUISIANA-COURT'S, "LACKED-SUBJECT-MATTER-JURISDICTION," BECAUSE OF AN, "INVALID-ARREST;" (THAT WAS NOT SUPPORTED BY A, FEDERAL-Grand-Jury-Indictment); Pursuant To - Fed. R. Cr. - Proc. R-9(a); (SEE: EXHIBITS-#2)?
- #2.) WHETHER, THE U.S. DISTRICT-COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA, ERRED, BY BOUNDING PETITIONER; OVER TO THE 15TH & 16TH JUDICIAL-DISTRICT-COURTS; VIOLATIVE OF, DUE-PROCESS OF LAW?
- #3.) WHETHER, PETITIONER'S, CASE IS AN, CASE WITH, EXTRAORDINARY-CIRCUMSTANCES, FOR PURPOSES OF - Fed. R. Civ. Proc. R-60(b)(4); #6); ?
- #4.) WHETHER, THE: U.S. DISTRICT-COURT, FOR THE Western-District of Louisiana; AND, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, FOR THE FIFTH-CIRCUIT; STATE OF Louisiana. "SIDE-STEPPED," THE CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY (COA) - PROCESS BY FIRST DECIDING THE MERITS OF AN APPEAL IN A, HABEAS-PROCEEDING; AND THEN, JUSTIFYING ITS DENIAL OF A, COA; BASED ON ITS ADJUDICATION OF THE ACTUAL-MERITS, IT IS IN ESSENCE DECIDING AN APPEAL WITHOUT JURISDICTION; 28 U.S.C. A. SEC. 2253(c)(1); VIOLATIVE OF - BUCK-VALENTIS; 137 S. CT. 259 (U.S. 2017); ?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- #1.) U.S. District Court, Western-District of Louisiana; And-
- #2.) U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth-Circuit, State of Louisiana.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Order Granting - In Forma Pauperis;
APPENDIX B	28 - U.S.C. SEC. - 2254, Writ of Habeas-corpus;
APPENDIX C	APPLICATION FOR (COA);
APPENDIX D	NOTICE & ORDER FOR DENIAL OF (COA);
APPENDIX E	NOTICE OF APPEAL; & 5TH Cir. Letter;
APPENDIX F	NOTICE FROM - U.S. DIST. CT. (W.D. 2A) - DENYING, (COA). & U.S. CT. App. 5TH Cir. Denial of (COA);

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Buck-V.-DAVIS, 132 S. CT. 259;
(U. S. 2017).

STATUTES AND RULES

SEE: EXHIBITS:

OTHER

SEE: EXHIBITS:

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix "E" to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix "E" to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix "F" to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix "D" to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

1.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 3, 2018.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SEE: Writ OF Habeas-Corpus; (EXHIBITS-"A")

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

SEE: (EXHIBITS - "C.") Petitioner, filed
An motion for A Transcript of The (Federal-
Grand - Jury); Proceedings from (5-28-76);
or/ To Dismiss The Indictment, or/ the Grand-
Jury Was originally Intended To Protect The
Accused, And IT Is Time To Take IT Back.
In the U.S. District - Court, Western District of
Louisiana, on (4-30-17), Civil - Docket - Nos. # 1-17-
CV-00600-JPM; IT Was Dismissed on (8-10-17).
Petitioner, filed "objection" on (8-25-17). Case Was
ReAssigned on (9-1-17); And Dismissed Without Pre-
judice, on (9-28-17); Petitioner, filed - Supervisory Writ;
To - U.S. Court of Appeal, Fifth - Circuit. Motion, Was
Transferred on (10-10-17);
Motion for Relief Pursuant To (Fed. Rule Crim. Proc.
Rule-60(B)(4); From - (6-22-17); Was Transferred
With Writs, To U.S. Ct. App. 5th Cir. on (10-10-17).
Order Denying Motion, To (Ct. App. U.S. 5th Cir.); Was
on (10-11-17); Notice of Appeal, ENTITLED; Appli-
cation; For Certificate of Appealability; Was
on (11-6-17); IT Was Denied on (11-8-17); SEE:
USCA Case - Number # 17-30881 For (16-Notice of App-
eal; (11-13-17). Set - Deadline For Clerk (re: 16-
Notice of Appeal; Certify Appeal Record -
17-30881); By Clerk To (COA) (11-28-17);
Petitioner's; (COA) And (530 Habeas - Corpus);
And (Rule-60(B)); Petitions, Was Dismissed As moot
on (2-3-18); Where Mag. James E. Graves, Jr. Treated
Petitioner's; (530 - Writ of Habeas - Corpus);
As An, (2241 - Petition). - 15 -

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner, Was Denied Due-Process
of Law By The Lower - Courts, (Supm.)
Because None of Louisiana's-Courts,
Has, Subject-Matter-Jurisdiction,
of Petitioner's, Case Proper. As
Petitioner's, Was Threatened
By Hon. Judge Perez-Montes &
Judge Trimble; For Exercising His
Constitutional-Rights. When
His (COA) Was Denied on-11-7-17;
SEE: EXHIBITS-"F"

Further, Petitioner, "NEVER" Had
An, Licensed-Attorney, To Represent
Him, In "None" of His Court-
Proceedings;

Nor Did Petitioner, Ever Have
Adequate Counsel, or Representation,
In "None" of His Collateral-Proce-
edings; (Supra.)

SEE: ADDITIONAL - EXHIBITS:

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Creston J. Demouchet, Jr. #9 20331-

Date: July 13, 2018.