


18-8908

No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Preston G. Demouchet, Jr. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Darryl Vannoy-Warden — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, FIFTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Preston G. Demouchet, Jr. #9331
(Your Name)
C.B.C. - LIR - CEII - #15;
LA. STATE PENITENTIARY
(Address)

Angola, LA 20212
(City, State, Zip Code)

"NONE"
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

#1.) WHETHER, THE LOUISIANA-COURT'S, "LACKED-SUBJECT-MATTER-JURISDICTION", Because of An, "Invalid-Arrest;" (THAT WAS NOT SUPPORTED BY A, Federal-Grand-Jury-Indictment); Pursuant To - Fed. R.Cr.-Proc. R-9(a); (SEE: EXHIBITS-#2)?

#2.) Whether, THE U.S. DISTRICT-COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA, ERRED, By Bounding Petitioner; over To The 15TH & 16TH JUDICIAL-DISTRICT-COURTS; Violative of, Due-Process of Law?

#3.) Whether, Petitioner's, Case IS An, Case With, Extraordinary-Circumstances, For Purposes of Fed. R. Civ. Proc. R-60(b)(4);?

#4.) Whether, The U.S. DISTRICT-COURT, For The U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, For The FIFTH-CIRCUIT; STATE OF LOUISIANA, "sidestepped" Process By First Deciding The MERITS OF An Appeal In A, HABEAS-PROCEEDINGS; And Then, Justifying ITS Denial of A, COA; Based on ITS Adjudication of The ACTUAL-MERITS, IT IS IN ESSENCE Deciding An Appeal Without Jurisdiction; 28 U.S.C. A. SEC. 2253(c)(1); Violative of - BUCK-V-DAVIS; 137 S.CT. 159 (U.S. 2017);?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

#1.) U.S. District Court, Western District of Louisiana; And -

#2.) U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, State of Louisiana.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Order Granting - In Forma Pauperis;
APPENDIX B	28-U.S.C. SEC. - 2254, Writ of Habeas-Corpus;
APPENDIX C	APPLICATION FOR (COA);
APPENDIX D	NOTICE & ORDER FOR DENIAL OF (COA);
APPENDIX E	NOTICE OF APPEAL; & 5TH Cir. Letter;
APPENDIX F	NOTICE FROM - U.S. DIST. CT. (WD. LA); DENYING, (COA); & U.S. CT-APP. 5TH CIR. Denial of (COA);

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Buck v. Davis, 132 S.Ct. 259,
(U.S. 2017).

STATUTES AND RULES

SEE: EXHIBITS:

OTHER

SEE: EXHIBITS:

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix "E" to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix "E" to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix "F" to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix "D" to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 3, 2018.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SEE: Writ of Habeas-Corpus; (EXHIBITS - "A")

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

SEE: EXHIBITS - "C. " Petitioner, filed
An, motion for A Transcript of The (Federal -
Grand - Jury); Proceedings from (5-28-17);
or/ to Dismiss The Indictment, or/ the Grand
Jury Was originally Intended To Protect the
Accused, And IT IS Time To Take It Back.
In the U.S. District - Court, Western District of
Louisiana on (4-30-17), Civil - Docket No. #1:17-
~~CV-00600-333-JPM~~; IT was Dismissed on (8-10-17).
Petitioner, filed "objection;" on (8-25-17). Case Was
ReAssigned on (9-1-17); And Dismissed Without Pre-
judice, on (8-28-17); Petitioner, filed - supervisor); this;
To - U.S. Court of Appeal, 5th - Circuit. Motion, Was
Transferred on (10-10-17);
Motion for Relief Pursuant To Fed. Rule Crim. Proc.
Rule-60(B)(4); From - (6-22-17); Was Transferred
With Writs, To U.S. Ct. App. 5th Cir. on (10-10-17).
Order Denying Motion, To Ct. App. U.S. 5th Cir.) was
on (10-11-17); Notice of Appeal, Entitled; APPLI-
cation; For Certificate of Appealability, Was
on (11-6-17); IT was Denied on (11-8-17); SEE:
USCA Case - Number #17-30881 For (16-Notice of App-
eal); (11-13-17). Set - Deadline For Clerk (re: 16-
Notice of Appeal; Certify Appeal Record -
(#17-30881); By Clerk To (COA) (11-28-17);
Petitioner's; (COA) And (530 Habeas - Corpus);
And (Rule-60(B)); Petitioner, was Dismissed As (not
on (2-3-18); where Mag. James E. Graves, Jr. Treated
Petitioner's; (530 - Writ of Habeas - Corpus);
As An, (241 - Petition). - 15 -

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner, Was Denied Due-Process of Law By The Lower - Courts, (Supra). Because None of Louisiana's Courts, of Petitioner's, Subject - Matter - Jurisdiction, Petitioner's, Case Proper. As By Hon. Judge Perez - Montes & Judge Trimble; For Exercising His Constitutional - Rights. When His (COA) Was Denied on - 11-7-17.
SEE: EXHIBITS - "P"

Further, Petitioner, "NEVER" Had An, Licensed - Attorney, To Represent Him, In "None" of His Court - Proceedings;

Nor Did Petitioner, Ever Have Adequate Counsel, or Representation In "None" of His Collateral - Proceedings; (Supra.).

SEE ADDITIONAL - EXHIBITS

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

President Demarest #90331

Date: July 13, 2018