

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MICHAEL PERALES,

Petitioner

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Respondent

APPENDIX

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix A Judgment and Opinion of Fifth Circuit

Appendix B Judgment and Sentence of the United States District Court for the Northern
District of Texas

APPENDIX A

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-10544
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

January 9, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff–Appellee,

versus

MICHAEL PERALES,

Defendant–Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
No. 1:17-CR-74-1

Before SMITH, WIENER, and WILLETT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Michael Perales appeals his 96-month within-guidelines sentence for

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

felony possession of firearms and ammunition in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). He challenges the procedural reasonableness of the sentence, contending that the district court did not provide sufficient reasons for rejecting his argument for a sentence at the bottom of the guidelines range. He also urges that the court plainly erred in treating his prior federal bank robbery conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a) as a crime of violence under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2, but he concedes that that issue is foreclosed by our precedent; he raises it solely to preserve it for further review. *See United States v. Brewer*, 848 F.3d 711 (5th Cir. 2017). Accordingly, we do not address that issue further.

With regard to the adequacy of the explanation for his sentence, Perales contends that plain-error review should not apply based on *Chavez-Meza v. United States*, 138 S. Ct. 1959 (2018), and because he was not given the opportunity to object. *Chavez-Meza* is inapposite because it involved a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and did not abrogate our precedent applying plain-error review to issues that received no objection at original sentencing hearings. *See Chavez-Meza*, 138 S. Ct. at 1963–68; *United States v. Gerezano-Rosales*, 692 F.3d 393, 398–400 (5th Cir. 2012); *Burge v. Par. of St. Tammany*, 187 F.3d 452, 466 (5th Cir. 1999). Further, although, after imposing the sentence, the district court stated, “You may stand aside,” that statement did not prevent Perales or his counsel from objecting to the sentence. *Cf. Gerezano-Rosales*, 692 F.3d at 399; *see also United States v. Morales*, 299 F. App’x 455, 457 (5th Cir. 2008) (rejecting argument that plain-error review applied where the defendant was ordered to “stand aside” after sentencing).

Accordingly, we review for plain error. Perales must show a forfeited error that is clear or obvious and that affects his substantial rights. *See Puckett v. United States*, 556 U.S. 129, 135 (2009). If he makes such a showing,

we have the discretion to correct the error, but only if it seriously affects the fairness, integrity, or public reputation of judicial proceedings. *Id.*

The district court listened to Perales's reasons for requesting a sentence at the bottom of the recommended guidelines range, including the fact that he had cancer. The court then explicitly stated that "the specific reasons for imposing the sentence" were the "sentencing objectives of punishment and deterrence." Even if the district court "might have said more," the record makes clear that the court considered the evidence and arguments, and its statement of reasons was sufficient legally. *See Rita v. United States*, 551 U.S. 338, 358–59 (2007); *see also United States v. Mondragon-Santiago*, 564 F.3d 357, 365 (5th Cir. 2009). Thus, Perales has not shown a clear or obvious error with respect to the adequacy of the reasons. *See Puckett*, 556 U.S. at 135. Moreover, he has not shown that the alleged error affected his substantial rights, because he has not established that a more thorough explanation would have resulted in a lower sentence. *See Mondragon-Santiago*, 564 F.3d at 364–65.

AFFIRMED.

APPENDIX B

United States District Court

Northern District of Texas

Abilene Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

MICHAEL PERALES

Defendant.

Case Number: 1:17-CR-00074-C(01)

USM No. 43787-177

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

The defendant, MICHAEL PERALES, was represented by Sherylynn A. Kime-Goodwin.

The defendant pleaded guilty to count 1 of the indictment filed November 8, 2017. Accordingly, the court has adjudicated that the defendant is guilty of the following offenses:

<u>Title & Section</u>	<u>Nature of Offense</u>	<u>Date of Offense</u>	<u>Count Number</u>
18 U.S.C. §§922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2)	Convicted Felon In Possession Of Firearms and Ammunition	10/06/2017	1

As pronounced on April 20, 2018, the defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 1 through 4 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.

It is ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$100.00, for count 1 of the indictment, which shall be due immediately. Said special assessment shall be made to the Clerk, U.S. District Court.

It is further ordered that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material change in the defendant's economic circumstances.

Signed this the 20th day of April, 2018.



SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE SAM R. CUMMINGS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DEFENDANT: MICHAEL PERALES
CASE NUMBER: 1:17-CR-00074-C(01)

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 96 months as to count 1 to run consecutive to any revocation sentence imposed in Case No. 1:17-CR-088-01-C pending in the Northern District of Texas, Abilene Division.

The defendant shall remain in the custody of the U.S. Marshal Service.

The Court recommends placement at FMC Butner, North Carolina.

RETURN

I have executed this judgment as follows:

Defendant delivered on _____ to _____

at _____, with a certified copy of this judgment.

UNITED STATES MARSHAL

By _____
DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

DEFENDANT: MICHAEL PERALES
CASE NUMBER: 1:17-CR-00074-C(01)

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of: 3 years.

The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.

The defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994:

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as directed by the probation officer.

The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court.

- ☐ The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse.
- ☒ The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon.
- ☒ The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.
- ☐ The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (34 U.S.C. § 20901, *et seq.*) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense.
- ☐ The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence.
- ☐ The defendant must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663 and 3663A or any other statute authorizing a sentence of restitution.

If this judgment imposes a fine or a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such fine or restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release in accordance with the Fine and Restitution sheet of the judgment.

The defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth below). The defendant shall also comply with the additional conditions on the attached page.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) The defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer.
- 2) The defendant shall report to the probation officer in a manner and frequency directed by the court or probation officer.
- 3) The defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer.
- 4) The defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities.
- 5) The defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons.
- 6) The defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment.
- 7) The defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician.
- 8) The defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered.
- 9) The defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer.
- 10) The defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer.
- 11) The defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.
- 12) The defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court.
- 13) As directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

DEFENDANT: MICHAEL PERALES
CASE NUMBER: 1:17-CR-00074-C(01)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

1. The defendant shall abstain from the use of alcohol and all other intoxicants during the term of supervision.
2. The defendant shall participate in a program (inpatient and/or outpatient) approved by the U.S. Probation Office for treatment of narcotic, drug, or alcohol dependency, which will include testing for the detection of substance use or abuse. The defendant shall contribute to the costs of services rendered (copayment) at a rate of at least \$25.00 per month.