

JUN 14 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 18-8657

1
2
3 IN THE
4 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
5
6 IBEABUCHI, IKEMEFULA CHARLES - PETITIONER
7
8 VS.
9
10 MARICOPA COMMISSIONERS - RESPONDENT(S)
11
12 ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
13
14 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS NINTH CIR-
15
16 CUIT
17
18 ON PETITION FOR REHEARING, RULE 44.
19
20 (IBEABUCHI, IKEMEFULA CHARLES)
21
22 (ADC NO. 177007, P.O. BOX 8400)
23
24 (Florence, Arizona, 85132-8400)
25
26 (Telephone Number: N/A)
27
28

1 GROUNDS OF PETITION

2 3 1. 18 U.S.C. ss. 401; Power of Court

4
5 "A Court of the United States shall have power
6 to punish by fine or imprisonment, or both, at
7 its discretion, such Contempt of its authority, and
8 none other, as; (3), Disobedience or resistance
9 of its, lawful writ, process, Order, rule, decree,
10 or Command."

11
12 (June 25, 1948, C. 645, 62 Stat. 701; Pub. L. 107-273, Div.,
13 B, Title III, § 3002 (a)(1), Nov. 2, 2002, 116 Stat. 1805)

14
15 This Court, on, June 3, 2019, denied the fore-
16
17 going, Petition for a writ of Certiorari, as a matter
18
19 of law. But, it, invariably, did not adequately, enforce
20
21 its, Power, against the Respondent(s)' willful disobedience
22
23 or resistance to this Court's, lawful writ, process, Order,
24
25 rule, decree, or Command, therein.

26
27 This Court's Rule in, 39.8, granted the Petition-

er's, Application for In forma Pauperis, status, (See;
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS)
When, a review of the foregoing Petition for a writ
of Certiorari was Satisfactorily, not Frivolous nor
malicious, which concludes that, this Court, at-
law, entertained a warranted lawful writ, upto
the point of its' June 3, 2019, Order, denying the
Petition, therein, at-law.

The Petitioner, alleges that, the Respondent(s) is
a habitual and Contumacious, Criminal to the above
mentioned Statutory Grounds, by their, disobedience
or resistance of the lawful writ, process, Order, rule,
decree, or Command, of this Court's, dated, on, April

1, 2019, or as received by the Petitioner, sua sponte
instructing and commanding the Respondent(s) to,
"SEND A COPY OF THIS FORM TO PETITIONER'S COUN-
SEL OR TO PETITIONER IF PRO SE. PLEASE INDICATE
BELOW THE NAME(S) OF THE RECIPIENT(S) OF A
COPY OF THIS FORM. NO ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATE
OF SERVICE IS REQUIRED." (See, WAIVER, Supreme
Court of the United States, No. 18-8657, as Proof,
depended on.)

The Petitioner was never served by the Respon-
dent(s), to a meaningful-Compliance of the fore-
going lawful writ, (See, habitually, in, No. 18-7956;
18-7987, 18-9232, etc.,) and which act, the Peti-

tioner, believed, might had affected the outcome of
his Petition, to the extent, that, the Petition was reviewed, without, Parties, nor, Parties Information, due to this Court, therein.

Accordingly, the Respondent(s), violated the lawful writ and process of this Court, warranting the Power of Court, provoked, and the setting-aside of the Order denying Petition, (which, did not dismiss the Petition...) and enforce the punishment for Contempts Constituting Crimes as provided, at-law. (See, e.g., 18 U.S.C. ss 402.)

Respectfully Submitted,

Cæula. (Ibeabuchi)

1 Date: 6/14/19

2
3
4 Enclosures: Order denying Petition, June 3,
5 2019, Scott S. Harris, Clerk
6

7 Notice of Waiver, unresponded, to, by the
8 Respondent(s)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28