

**No. 18-8637**

---

---

**In the**  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

James Paul Arlotta,  
*Petitioner,*

V.

Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority et al.,  
*Respondents,*

---

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari  
to the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Second Circuit**

---

**PETITION FOR REHEARING**

---

James P. Arlotta  
*Pro Se Petitioner*  
6248 Powers Rd.  
Orchard Park, NY  
14127  
(716)-395-6103

RECEIVED  
JUN 14 2019

RECEIVED  
JUN - 7 2019  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## **Table of Contents**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
PETITION FOR REHEARING.....	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION.....	1-5
CONCLUSION.....	6-7

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

The “government” by the *people*, for the *people*, and of the *people* has become complacent with contempt and great angst against the *people*. As is evident with the various treatment(s)’ by the entire federal judiciary. Which leads the *people* to question why the documents’ that guide our “government” are “enshrined” at all?

It is public knowledge to the *people* that since 09/11/2001 our United States Armed Forces have been engaged in combat against ***religious theocratic extremists***. Yet, this court has clearly and evidently indicated its obedience(s)’ through the Roman Catholic justices’; to Vatican City State procuring the pens’ and “minds” for rent in their understanding of trans-Substantivities’. A reminder for this court’s attention, as per 28 U.S.C. § 455...

"(a) mandates that a Justice "shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned, (b) He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances: (1) Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;"

If efficacy finds the *people of the United States of America*, this court has plainly under the guise of the moral majority and “religious right,” taken the Bill of Rights’ First Amendment **Establishment Clause** and neglected to address the subjective anger of an entire nation.... the ***People***. Not only has this court ignored the **Establishment Clause** beyond the ken of any judge or justice that “sits” on any bench. You have made a mockery of the sacrifices’ of all the brave *people* that fight, **GET INJURED SEVERELY, AND DIE**, against theocracy in the name of ***freedom, democracy, democracy, and “justice”*** in the Armed Forces of the United States of America and its allies’.

An “insignificant reminder” to this court. The founders’ of this nation and their

generation(s)...the Pilgrims' fled Europe due to the *religious persecutions'* of the "holy roman empire." Apparently Vatican City State has neglected to be reminded of that sorry part of their history. Akin to the clergy sex abuse scandal that has only "scratched the surface," as fellow Buffalonians' WGRZ TV-2 News Reporter Steve Brown, (graduate of the diocese of Buffalo Canisius College,) who interviewed the "rev." Paul Dillion Seil. Respondent in this court's docket# 17-9078, but Mr. Brown neglected to *ask, (as an "investigative journalist,")* about the aforestated docket. As this petitioner had provided a *hard paper courteousy copy* to WGRZ TV 2. As WKBW TV-7's ITEAM Reporter Charlie Specht, (graduate of St. Bonaventure University,) consistently keeps providing a political "platform" for homosexual members' of the roman catholic clergy. As his "*investigative journalist*" reporting clearly indicates the propensity of the hypocritical "theological" position of the moral majority and "religious right," through the "official dogma" of the Holy Bible by Vatican City State; and the two former "priests'," Mr. Specht exclusively "covers." Mutually *exclusive* of the plethora of Christian denominations' in the United States of America. As the commissioners' of the Federal Communications Commission have been notified.

How can any reasonably minded person, (as the *Constitution of the United States through both Houses' of Congress,*) has instituted this court to *interpret* the laws, rules, regulations', etc. of this nation; not be offended by the reluctance of this court and the federal judiciary to secure the *blessings' of liberty*? Contingent upon the fact that the "government" by the *people*, for the *people*, and of the *people currently neglects' its duties to the consent of the governed. Due to the fact that Vatican City State has a monopoly in the peoples' sovereign judiciary.*

Have the roman catholic justices' addressed that their "moral and religious convictions," are an extension of the ponitification(s)' of a *foreign potentate*? What about chief justice Robert's relationship with respondents' Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority's "chair" of the Board of Commissioners' Sister Denise Roche, ultimately through the diocese of Buffalo; let this court and the *people of the United States* be reminded how the chief justice has a bronze plaque commemorating his attendance as a student at respondent St. Bernadettes roman catholic parish, this court's docket# 17-9078.

This *biasedness* to Vatican City State, considering the publically stated grand jury investigation(s)' in the states of Pennsylvania and New York; along with those of the U.S. Department of Justice all the way to our nation's capital. This petitioner had notified the U.S. Attorney's Office here in the W.D.N.Y., only to be refused any assistance or help. As this court needs to be reminded that the *peoples' tax dollars fund the, (he spoke so fast one could not understand his name,) U.S. Attorney's salary that refused me any help or assistance*. Just like the Erie County NY District Attorney John Flynn's *double standard* regarding firearms violations by the African American Buffalo Common Council Member Ulysess Wingo. In strict contrast to the Buffalo Peace Makers' *community volunteer* who had a similar occurance. Except Mr. Wingo, (*the politician*,) had no charges filed, but the Buffalo Peace Makers' *community volunteer* was charged. Didn't President John F. Kennedy, (as the numerous others' after him,) stress the importance of *service to ones community, ones nation?* Here's the part of this petition where I remind the court of the trans-substantivity that Vatican City State has turned into the activism for an *imposed religious agenda on the PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES...*

A critical reading of the Fed. R. App. P.; more specifically Rule 41 (2) (D). Has come to this Petitioner's attention that the U.S. C. A. for the 2d Cir issued its Mandates in the docket associated with this court's docket# 18-8637 . When Fed. R. App. P. 41 (2) (D) states...

“(D) The court of appeals must issue the mandate immediately when a copy of a Supreme Court order denying the petition for Writ of Certiorari is filed.”

Any communication(s)' with the U.S.C.A. for the 2d Cir. will confirm this statement.

As no one knows what the court's intentions' are regarding its rules. This petition can only speculate that violations' of *civil due process* are not *referenced with rule 10 of this court.*  
Regarding this court's supervisory powers'.

## CONCLUSION

*McDonnell v. U.S.*, 136 S. Ct. 2355 (2016), page 23...

“Although the opinion refers to normal political interaction between public officials and their constituents, Chief Justice Roberts wrote in his opinion, “we cannot construe a criminal statute on the assumption the government will ‘use it responsibly.’”...A related concern is that, under the government’s interpretation the term “official act,” is not defined “with sufficient definiteness that ordinary people can understand what conduct is prohibited” or in a manner that does not encourage arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement.”

Is that the intentions' of the pontifical court? Since the *people* are not intelligible enough to discern for themselves' such written words in text? That they need *religious guidance in contemptuous disregard for the First Amendment Establishment Clause?*

In the case, *Van Deelen v. Johnson*, 497 F.3d 1151 (10th Cir. 2007) it states...

“[II A] “The defendants argue, vigorously that Mr. Van Deelen’s lawsuits and administrative appeals do not amount to “constitutionally protected activity” and thus fails the first prong of the *Worrell Test*. This is so, defendants submit, because Mr. Van Deelen’s activity involved only private disputes and not issues of public concern,” We can not agree...[A] One might well (as defendants do) question the merits of Mr. Van Deelen’s petitions on their significance...But a private citizen exercises a constitutionally protected First Amendment right anytime he or she petitions the

government for redress; the petitioning clause of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment does not pick and choose its causes. The minor and questionable, along with the mighty and consequential, are all embraced. This is, of course, not to say that the “public concern” test proffered by the defendants and adopted by the district court has no place in the law of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment. Rather, the test quite properly applies to claims brought by government employees—but its scope goes no further.”

The government since the Obama Administration has ignored this *citizen's petitions' and complaints*', or as chief justice Roberts refers to “ordinary people” like myself am incomprehendable as to the nature of what is or isn't prohibited? If the pontifical court can rule in favor of the Vatican City State, (whose ownership of Christianity and Jesus Christ should be questioned,) through their delegation of a *specific religion that they as employees' of the federal government" of the United States of America are forbidden by the federal constitution's ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE TO ENDORSE...EVEN IF IMPLICITLY SINCE THE PEOPLE AND I ARE NOT INTELLIGENT ENOUGH TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WE READ.*

If this petitioner is in contempt of this court, may he forget the fact that he speaks the English Language. Just like our system of law is based in...since my capacity to understand the pontifical court's actions as a mere literary conundrum in the secular world they are in fact controlling through the roman catholic members' of...**THIS PONTIFICAL COURT AND VATICAN CITY STATE. THAT EXPECT THE PEOPLE OR ANYONE ELSE TO PRAY THEIR COURT! MAY THE PEOPLE FORSAKE THOSE IGNORANT IN THE “NAME OF THE ROMAN CATHOLIC GOD,” NOT AS MARTYRS', BUT IN THEIR CONTEMPT OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA!**

Afford Supreme Court of the United States of America docket# 18-8637 the rehearing it deserves.

CERTIFICATE OF PARTY

\*Amended  
06/10/2019

NOT

REPRESENTED BY COUNCIL

I, James P. Arlotta, hereby certify that this Petition for Rehearing is presented in Good Faith and not for delay....on this date, June 4<sup>th</sup>, 2019...before this court.

\* June 11, 2019

James P. Arlotta

James P. Arlotta

In Forma Pauperis Pro Se Litigant-Appellant

6248 Powers Rd.

Orchard Park, NY 14127

Pro Se Party

\* May I also add in this certificate that the grounds are regarding the circumstances, as per 28 U.S.C. § 455, stated in the petition. Which would necessitate that United States Code intervenes substantially to control, necessitating RECUSAL of the roman catholic judges justices.

In the affect/effect of. \*

Regarding Susan Frimpong's 06/10/2019 telephone message, (and letter,) about this being stated in a certificate.

James Paul Arlotta