

Pg. 1

No. 18-8579

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

FEB 21 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

John Dee Weter Jr. — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

US Department of Justice "ET AL" — RESPONDENT(S)

*Habeas Corpus*  
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF ~~CERTIORARI~~ TO

9th District Court of Appeals of Texas

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

*Habeas Corpus*  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF ~~CERTIORARI~~

John Weter Jr.  
(Your Name)

2101 FM 369 N  
(Address)

Iowa Park Tx 76367  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)

- #1. Is the U.S. Government violating the Petitioner's 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right of the U.S. Constitution to be free from Cruel and Unusual Punishment by having the U.S. Department of Justice use the Montgomery County Sheriff's office and Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Physically and Psychologically Torture the defendant using Classified Government F.I.S.A. Equipment that everyperson on earth to be electronically Synced with the defendants brain? This has gone on for 43 months straight causing severe Nerve damage and depression and anxiety issues and is doing permanent issues by causing Psychological and Nerve damage and the defendant has No information to give that has Not been discovered or is Not Available via F.I.S.A. Nervo Connection to the defendants Brain. This is pure, Non-STOP, Neverending Torture with No motive.
- #2. Is the U.S. Government violating the Petitioner's 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment right of the U.S. Constitution to due Process of law by indirectly forcing all agencies of the state and Federal Government to deny the Existence that the F.I.S.A. program is on the defendant<sup>(A)</sup>, that he is being Tortured<sup>(B)</sup> or that his rights were violated in a murder trial by the Montgomery County Sheriff's office. <sup>(C)</sup>?
- #3. Was the F.I.S.A. Program intended and Authorized by warrant of the F.I.S.A. Court for a legitimate Purpose by the Barrack OBAMA Administration starting 1/2013, however, indirectly used to interfere with the 2016 Presidential Election by misusing the U.S. Government F.I.S.A. Program to intentionally Cause the Assault of James Freeman and the Death of Michelle Becker so that the Montgomery County Sheriff's office could blame the Republicans for Murder and Treason using the F.I.S.A. program, to everyone on earth, while torturing me simultaneously?

1 prove up all this criminal history that we talked about,  
2 that's my burden to do, right?

3 A. That's what we're doing.

4 Q. And if it's wrong I may not be able to do it,  
5 right?

6 A. That's what we are doing.

7 Q. And he explained to you. And that's why he will  
8 object when it's the right time to do that, right?

9 A. He attempted to investigate me showing there are  
10 -- or several records that were pulled from Travis County  
11 that I told him were fixed because I was incarcerated in  
12 Bartlett State Jail, that were included in Dr. Kit  
13 Harrison psyche eval and included in the case file. I  
14 attempted to dispute those. He refused to look at them  
15 amongst many other things, like the DNA and the bloody --  
16 he refused to acknowledged any of them. When I finally  
17 wrote the State bar and then he attempted to -- he  
18 attempted to question my competence to stand trial. And  
19 even in the State Bar he evaded the charges that don't  
20 apply and focused on the ones that do, much like you are  
21 right now.

22 Q. You don't like to be in situations where you  
23 don't have much power, right?

24 A. Is that your belief?

25 Q. I am asking you a question, sir.

*Krypple & Janos Conspiracy*

## LIST OF PARTIES

☒ ~~(1)~~ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ ~~(2)~~ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

(#1) United States Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington DC 20530

~~(#2) U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas~~

~~Re No. 61010~~

~~Honorable T. 77208~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	Pg 6	
JURISDICTION.....	Pg 7-9	3 pages
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	Pg 10	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	( <del>pg 11-16</del> ) Pg 11-16	6 pages
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	( <del>pg 17-45</del> ) Pg 17-45	28 pages
CONCLUSION.....		

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<del>pg 11-16</del> Judgement of Conviction by Jury
APPENDIX B	Notice of Case 4:18-cv-04855 in the US District Court Southern District of Texas
APPENDIX C	Order of dismissal Case # 4:18-cv-04385 in the US District Court Southern District Texas
APPENDIX D	State Commission on Judicial Conduct - motion to dismiss
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

# Table of Authorities Cited

Pg 5

## Cases and Page number

## Page Number

#1. Murray v Carrier 477 US 418, 91 L.ed2d 397, 106 S.Ct 2639 (1986)	Pg 18, 40
#2. US v Vasquez, 151 F.3d 1185 (9th Circuit 1998)	Pg 20, 41, 42
#3. US v Caries, 967 F.2d 1018 (5th Circuit 1992)	Pg 22
#4. US v Garcia, 517 F.2d 272 (5th Circuit 1975)	Pg 22
#5. Holloway v Arkansas, 433 US 475, 489-490 L.ed2d 426, 98 S.Ct 1173 (1978)	Pg 24, 35
#6. US v Torg, 52 F.3d 207 (9th Circuit 1995)	Pg 24, 35
#7. Hamilton v McCallister, 772 F.2d 171 (5th Circuit 1985)	Pg 24, 39
#8. California v Trombetta, 467 US 479 (1984)	Pg 30, 37
#9. Trejeda v Dubois, 142 F.3d 18 (1st Circuit 1998)	Pg 39
#10. US Medina, 90 F.3d 459, 463 (11th Circuit 1996)	Pg 40
#11. US v Martinez, 151 F.3d 384 (5th Circuit 1998)	Pg 41
#12. Dubria v Smith, 197 F.3d 390 (9th Circuit 1999)	Pg 43
#13. Lyons v McCallister, 770 F.2d 529 (5th Circuit 1997)	Pg 31

## Statutes and Rules

## Page Number

#1. Rule 20 of the Supreme Court	Pg 11
#2. Title 18 USC 2510	Pg 12
#3. Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 46B. 0023	Pg 24

573

For Reason #10

~~15A-14698 TO~~  
15A-12928

**Duroy, Thomas**

---

**From:** Duroy, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, September 25, 2015 3:24 PM  
**To:** Hoff, Todd; James, Andrew; Stephenson, John; Galczynski, Angelica; Wright, Jessica; Greenwood, Terance; Zenor, Bruce; Bivens, Kenneth; Slusher, Timothy  
**Subject:** RE: MCSO 15A012928 / MURDER (MICHELLE BECKER - V) / EVIDENCE TO BODE CELLMARK FORENSICS / EMAILED BODE CELLMARK LAB REPORT (09-25-2015)  
**Attachments:** CCB1579-0356\_report.pdf

ALL - I just received the Bode Cellmark Forensics Lab report regarding this case, which is attached. On at least one exhibit, such as the swab from suspects' hands (E03), which you might expect his DNA to be there, please note that suspect was excluded from the **MAJOR** component of the mixture (three or more people). The MAJOR component is the victim. The **MINOR** portion of this component (which could be the suspect) could not be compared, probably due to the mixture. Bode forwarded everything to DPS for review regarding CODIS upload. Supplement report, supporting docs, and CD from me will follow soon.

SEE ATTACHED

X

Thanks!

Tommy

**Detective Thomas Duroy**  
Montgomery County Sheriff's Office  
Cold Case-Homicide Squad  
1520 Lake Front Circle, Suite 900  
The Woodlands, Texas, 77380  
281-297-6507 (Office)  
936-672-1844 (Cell)  
281-297-6599 (Fax)  
Thomas.duroy@mctx.org

**From:** Duroy, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, September 04, 2015 10:36 AM  
**To:** Hoff, Todd; James, Andrew; Stephenson, John; Galczynski, Angelica; Wright, Jessica; Greenwood, Terance; Zenor, Bruce; Bivens, Kenneth; Slusher, Timothy  
**Subject:** MCSO 15A012928 / MURDER (MICHELLE BECKER - V) / EVIDENCE TO BODE CELLMARK FORENSICS

ALL,

The below listed evidence was delivered to Bode Cellmark Forensics this morning (09/04/2015) for a 15-Day turnaround. The total cost was \$10,687.50. Supplement report and supporting docs will follow soon.

Thanks,

Tommy

**Detective Thomas Duroy**

0000 B36

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF <sup>Habeas Corpus</sup>~~CERTIORARI~~

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of <sup>Habeas Corpus</sup>~~certiorari~~ issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix <sup>B and C</sup>\_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.



## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was pending.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 2/2019, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C b B.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 5/15/2018.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## Jurisdiction to hear Habeas Corpus

~~2254~~ P38

- #1 - Title 28 U.S.C. 2254 - (A) The Supreme Court, A justice thereof, a circuit judge or a district Court shall entertain an application for a writ of Habeas Corpus in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgement of a state Court only on the grounds that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.
- (B) An application for a writ of habeas Corpus on behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgement of a state court shall not be granted unless
- (i) The Applicant has exhausted the remedies available in the Courts of the state OR
  - (ii) There is an absence of a State Corrective Process OR
  - (iii) Circumstances exist that render such Process ineffective to protect the rights of the Applicant
- (D) An Application for a writ of Habeas Corpus on behalf of a person in custody pursuant to a judgement of a state court shall not be granted with respect to any claim that was adjudicated in the merits of a state court proceeding unless the adjudication of the Claim -
- (i) resulted in a decision that was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established federal law, as determined by the supreme Court of the United States OR
  - (ii) Resulted in a decision that was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented at a state Court Proceeding.
- #2 - Title 18 USC 3239 - optional venue for espionage and related offenses -
- The Trial for any offense involving a violation, begun or Committed Upon the high seas or elsewhere out of the jurisdiction of a particular state or district if
- 1) section 793, 794 or 798 or section 1030(a)(1) of Title 18
  - 2) Section 601 of the National Security Act of 1947, 50 USC 421 OR
  - 3) Section 4B or 4C of the Subversive Activities Controll act of 1950 (50 USC 983 B or C)
- may be in the District of Columbia or any other district authorized by law.

- (#1) The Applicant is on A Classified U.S. Intelligence Program of Classified National Security Standing at the Time of the offense (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, F.I.S.A. Program) and throughout the Applicants trial. This FISA Program is a Material Fact that was unlawfully denied by trial Court. There is an absence of State Corrective Process that is wrongfully convicting the applicant of Murder.
- (#2) This FISA Program is broadcast worldwide causing the death of Michelle Becker
- (#1) The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Title 28 USC 2254(A)(B)(i)(ii)(iii).
- (#2) The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Title 18 USC 3239 - Section (793)(794)

On 5/15/2018, the 359th District Court of Montgomery County Texas entered a judgement.  
(Appendix NO A) Cause # 15-08-08092-CR

The Case is now in the 9th District Court of Appeals of Texas Case # 09-18-00194 but FISA Program and the unlawful Conditions of the Trial Court are still being omitted  
(Appendix NO 99)

All Parties have been Served in Compliance with Supreme Court Rule 29.4(B) and this notice in the Jurisdictional Statement is fulfilled as required by Supreme Court Rule 14(E)(V)

As required by Supreme Court Rule 14(E)(i) and Rule 11 - this Case is of importance to the public because All the Applicants life for the last 6 years has been broadcast to the entire earth. This FISA Program Caused Huge National and International Political and Economic Turmoil by using the Power of the Federal Government to Murder and Torture American Citizens (Michelle Becker and I) in front of the whole world for years. This is a Gross human rights violation that Caused a U.S. Political Civil War. ~~It~~ is IMPERATIVE That the U.S. Supreme Court Resolve, Legally, All issues for the world to see on FISA and to Correct the "Deep State Justice Department" that Controls the number one Country on Earth, The USA so we can have international Respect Again.

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions involved

(P. 10)

- #1. Title 18 USC 2510
- #2. Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 46B.0023

**DNA Processing, Results, Conclusions, and Statistics (Continued):**

The individual associated with sample CCB1579-0356-R16 (John Dee Weter Jr) is excluded as a possible contributor of the partial DNA profile obtained from the sample listed above.

See Table 1 for summary of alleles reported for each sample.

**Notes:**

1. Testing performed for this case is in compliance with accredited procedures under the laboratory's ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation issued by ASCLD/LAB and ANAB. Refer to certificates and scopes of accreditation for certificate numbers ALI-231-T and AT-1672, respectively.
2. The DNA profiles reported in this case were determined by procedures that have been validated according to the standards established in the FBI's Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories.
3. Any reference to body fluids in evidence descriptions are based on the written descriptions of the samples by the submitting agency.
4. The DNA extracts and submitted evidence will be returned to the Montgomery County Sheriff's Office.

Report submitted by,



Hope Parker, MSFS  
DNA Analyst II  
[DNA]



Jaclyn Benjamin, MFS  
Forensic Biology Analyst I  
[Forensic Biology]

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This Habeas Corpus will be in aid of the Appellate Courts Jurisdiction, on a Criminal Murder Charge, in a Civil Case and in 2 Cases against the U.S. Federal Claims Court.

Extreme Exceptional Circumstances warrant this Courts discretionary powers, and adequate relief CAN NOT be obtained in any other Form or From any other Court.

The Petitioner wants to encompass every Subsidiary problem listed in the Questions Presented Portion of this document to avoid waisting ~~meat~~ Courts time and having to go backwards. The Rules of Supreme Court do not tell me how to bring Criminal, Civil and Class Action Tort in 1 petition. The Petitioner Cant find Jurisdiction untill the Tort and Civil Complaints are processed. But the Petitioner has Jurisdiction to Prematurely process the Habeas Corpus under Rule 20 of the Rules of Supreme Court (appendix # 1), but only for the Criminal Case. There is no way to present the Honorable Court with half of the facts and resolve the issue. The Petitioner is not a lawyer and is doing his best to Compile all the information into this Habeas Corpus with the Prayer the Supreme Court will fully resolve all aspects of the Issue presented to reduce unnessecary work of other Courts.

### Section I - Courts involved in the issues presented

- A) 359<sup>th</sup> District Court of Montgomery County Texas Cause # 15-08-08092 - CR
- B) 9<sup>th</sup> District Court of Appeal of Texas Case 09-18-00194 - CR - Pending
- C) 331<sup>st</sup> District Court of Travis County Texas Case # DIDC13900260 - Pending
- D) US District Court, Southern District of Texas Case # 4:18-CV-04389 - Pending
- E) US District Court, Southern District of Texas Case # 4:18-CV-04855 - Pending
- F) J - I-95 Tort Claims to the U.S. Department of Justice that will be filed in the Federal Claims Court - Pending

Judgement 5/15/2018  
(appendix # A-1)

### (B) Agency's involved in investigating these issues

- (i) Texas Commission on Jail Standards
- (ii) Texas Bar Association - 4 investigations 3 pending Re# 201806620, Re# 201806619, Re# 201706210
- (iii) Texas Rangers - Ranger Chris Poteet (512) 424 2160
- (iv) Texas Commission on Judicial Conduct CJC NO 19-0015 active investigation Pending
- (v) U.S. Inspector Generals office - 4/2018

So for the Petitioner Cant get the U.S. Government to legdly acknowledge the Classified National Security Program that has Caused and proves all of these Court investigations and agency investigations. The Petitioner Prays the Supreme Court Resolve all Subsidiary Claims and investigations after patiently reviewing what they already know

(P3 12)

### Section III - Brief Summary of Case necessary to understand the facts presented

#### (A) The Legal Portion of the FISA Program and (B) The illegal Portion of the FISA Program

(A) The Legal Portion of the FISA Program - From 1/1/2013 - 9/2014 and From 5/2015 - 12/15/2018 and Currently running, the Petitioner has been under a Legal Program by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

This Legal Program does the following things to the Petitioner

- (i) Completely "Syncs" my Brain to every person on earth's brain through wireless electronic Communication as defined in Title 18 USC 2510 (Appendix No 2). Everything the Petitioner hears, sees, feels, thinks or experiences is broadcast telepathically to every Person on earth before the Petitioner realizes his Brain is processing the thought or experience.
- (ii) An Artificial Intelligence Computer that wirelessly intercepts, records then broadcasts the Petitioner's "Perceptions" Communicates with the Petitioner which of course is broadcast to every person on Earth. The U.S. Government Controls this Computer and it can do and has done the following under this FISA Program
  - wirelessly alters TV's, Newspapers, Books, Documents, Computers instantly before my eyes can process the change, to communicate with me, but always in Code, alluding to my specific thoughts rather than stating them directly. Every Person on the Planet has witnessed this.

#### (B) The illegal Portion of the FISA Program - Please Read Patiently -

Obviously this program has violated international laws by Broadcasting to everyone on earth. Since the U.S. Government does NOT do such things, the U.S. Government will not admit the Program because it effected every economy and political party of Every Government on earth. What ever the LEGAL Portion of this Program is, it is tied to an international, National Security Agenda that is Classified and IMMUNE.

Under Legal Immunity President Barack OBAMA Committed Crimes Domestically that are not immune to Prosecution but any investigation that is spawned is Unlawfully Restrained from investigating under National Security Immunity. These Crimes are as follows

- (i) Starting 1/1/2013 Barack OBAMA began broadcasting my every memory, thought or experience to every person on earth. The intention was to drive me crazy to have me kill a man while everyone in the World watched so the OBAMA Administration could blame the Republican Party on FISA Surveillance.
- (ii) Starting 5/2015 Barack OBAMA Cut on FISA Program to have me kill Michelle Becker on FISA to blame the Republican Party during the 2016 Presidential Elections with Absolute immunity.

## Statement of the Case

(P.13)

(1)

The defendant worked with the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration from 2009 - 2013. In January 2013 the defendant also worked for CEC Electrical Company, An industrial Electric Company. The defendant was offered a transfer to Houston, a 2 dollar an hour raise and 40 hours a week of overtime to build a Federal Data Center in Houston Tx, Called Cyrus One. In January 2013 the defendant transferred to Houston Tx.

From 1/2013 - 2/23/2013 - the defendant worked 80 hours a week on the Data Center. The US Government turned on F.I.S.A. Surveillance that broadcast the defendants memory and his every experience to the entire world electronically. The defendant didn't know the U.S. Government did this until 2/23/2013. Before the defendant knew the F.I.S.A. was on, the U.S. Government used proxy agents to speak to the world through the F.I.S.A. Program by speaking in my presence. The employees would speak figuratively describing and alluding to events rather than stating them directly. Without the defendant knowing these employees spoke to the world that I worked for the U.S. DEA and that the DEA was linked to the republicans and were responsible for the F.I.S.A. Program, and that they would have me kill someone as an intelligence operation.

From 2/23/2013 - 4/15/2013 - the defendant moved back to Austin with his wife and Children. The U.S. Government allowed the defendant to know the F.I.S.A. program was on him and that everyone knew what the defendant did. No one ever explained that the world could see, hear, feel, experience everything the defendant could simultaneously. Instead a Co worker named James Freeman approached the defendant that the DEA had turned on the F.I.S.A. Program as punishment for exposing the Data Center in Houston Tx. The defendant was told he had to do everything James Freeman told him to do for 9 weeks and the Program would go away. For the next 9 weeks the defendant was sleepdeprived with 2 hours of sleep a night, forced to starve himself and his wife and forced to speak figuratively in Code, alluding to known Subjects, Non stop every second. What the government was really doing was training the world to speak in Code to allude prosecution, a standard intelligence "language". The terrorization was designed to make the defendant hate James Freeman.

From 4/15/2013 - 5/1/2013 the defendant refused to do what James Freeman instructed despite the U.S. DEA and "talking" TV's controlled wirelessly by the Artificial Intelligence Computer controlled by the F.I.S.A. Program telling the defendant that the commands were authorized by the U.S. Government. Once the defendant started refusing to comply, Everyone on earth, including Michelle Becker the defendants wife that everytime I left my wifes presence to go to work, James Freeman or one of his friends would rape my wife with impunity because the US Government authorized the rape. The defendant went to the US DEA, Georgetown Police 4 times, the Roundrock Hospital and a Government Controlled Computer all confirmed the defendants wife was being raped if the defendant did not comply with the instructions. After seeing the defendants wife with black eyes, pulling pieces of a broken map out of her Vagina and Everyone on earth telling the defendant it would not stop. The defendant exercised his 2nd Amendment right to bear arms to protect his family because there was no other way to stop the Rape or Terrorization by James Freeman. On 5/1/2013 the defendant defended his family after 3 months of Rape and Terrorization. James Freeman was Assaulted, on F.I.S.A.



<p><b>Department</b> [12] - 50:14, 58:1, 58:4, 65:22, 78:10, 82:17, 88:24, 101:6, 102:11, 106:24, 120:16, 123:8</p> <p><b>deprived</b> [4] - 41:3, 41:15, 41:17, 66:17</p> <p><b>depriving</b> [1] - 120:21</p> <p><b>depth</b> [1] - 55:20</p> <p><b>Deputy</b> [1] - 125:4</p> <p><b>describing</b> [1] - 14:3</p> <p><b>DESCRIPTION</b> [1] - 3:16</p> <p><b>desire</b> [1] - 71:20</p> <p><b>desperately</b> [1] - 14:8</p> <p><b>despite</b> [1] - 100:21</p> <p><b>detailed</b> [1] - 111:14</p> <p><b>details</b> [3] - 56:1, 56:5, 117:23</p> <p><b>Detective</b> [8] - 22:12, 22:15, 22:22, 23:4, 23:15, 23:20, 26:1, 88:15</p> <p><b>determine</b> [4] - 52:13, 73:3, 83:13, 90:9</p> <p><b>detriment</b> [1] - 58:25</p> <p><b>diagnosis</b> [3] - 45:5, 45:7, 45:8</p> <p><b>different</b> [5] - 10:4, 37:12, 56:8, 60:12, 99:8</p> <p><b>differently</b> [1] - 27:6</p> <p><b>difficult</b> [2] - 87:14, 112:22</p> <p><b>difficulty</b> [1] - 35:15</p> <p><b>dinner</b> [3] - 9:2, 10:1, 28:10</p> <p><b>DIRECT</b> [2] - 5:9, 39:20</p> <p><b>Direct</b> [2] - 3:7, 3:10</p> <p><b>direct</b> [7] - 49:14, 55:7, 65:6, 65:7, 67:19, 87:5, 102:1</p> <p><b>directives</b> [1] - 66:20</p> <p><b>directly</b> [7] - 34:13, 49:11, 52:16, 67:15, 67:19, 68:8, 119:15</p> <p><b>Director</b> [9] - 48:21, 58:20, 62:23, 64:4, 67:12, 67:13, 71:24, 120:13, 121:19</p> <p><b>director</b> [7] - 50:13, 50:22, 56:24, 57:24, 59:23, 123:6, 123:7</p> <p><b>directors</b> [1] - 57:17</p> <p><b>discover</b> [1] - 54:12</p> <p><b>discovery</b> [7] - 66:7,</p>	<p>82:15, 82:18, 109:20, 110:14, 111:9, 122:8</p> <p><b>discuss</b> [3] - 31:6, 71:11, 108:20</p> <p><b>discussed</b> [1] - 34:15</p> <p><b>discussing</b> [2] - 31:7, 108:21</p> <p><b>discussion</b> [1] - 45:20</p> <p><b>dismiss</b> [4] - 42:13, 109:21, 113:3, 114:4</p> <p><b>DISMISSAL</b> [1] - 3:17</p> <p><b>dismissal</b> [4] - 42:9, 85:19, 85:21, 85:24</p> <p><b>dismissed</b> [8] - 21:15, 42:17, 42:20, 42:23, 67:12, 103:4, 103:13, 118:4</p> <p><b>dispute</b> [7] - 23:4, 26:4, 98:9, 101:19, 104:14, 110:24, 111:25</p> <p><b>disputed</b> [5] - 111:2, 111:4, 111:6, 112:4, 112:22</p> <p><b>disregard</b> [1] - 85:12</p> <p><b>disrespectful</b> [2] - 36:16, 36:21</p> <p><b>distributed</b> [1] - 38:8</p> <p><b>DISTRICT</b> [4] - 1:6, 1:10, 2:4, 2:7</p> <p><b>District</b> [2] - 125:5, 125:18</p> <p><b>DNA</b> [8] - 63:13, 64:10, 64:12, 64:13, 64:14, 82:19, 104:15, 116:1</p> <p><b>doctor</b> [12] - 14:1, 26:23, 27:12, 56:15, 60:9, 62:3, 94:2, 94:5, 94:6, 106:11, 117:15</p> <p><b>doctors</b> [5] - 24:4, 33:15, 73:16, 77:12, 123:3</p> <p><b>document</b> [4] - 21:6, 101:22, 106:14, 121:14</p> <p><b>documentation</b> [1] - 103:17</p> <p><b>documented</b> [2] - 82:21, 120:8</p> <p><b>documents</b> [1] - 101:18</p> <p><b>Donald</b> [2] - 64:25, 67:11</p> <p><b>done</b> [18] - 9:24, 26:7, 54:11, 55:13, 61:20, 65:19, 67:2,</p>	<p>69:24, 71:6, 84:3, 91:12, 95:9, 102:16, 103:3, 112:8, 112:15, 120:13, 122:19</p> <p><b>donkey</b> [2] - 68:22, 68:25</p> <p><b>door</b> [5] - 15:11, 38:6, 76:20, 90:25, 92:5</p> <p><b>dope</b> [1] - 25:9</p> <p><b>down</b> [14] - 6:18, 13:4, 13:10, 26:22, 28:5, 59:11, 68:15, 70:22, 70:25, 72:20, 72:24, 84:10, 87:2, 122:6</p> <p><b>DPS</b> [1] - 50:19</p> <p><b>Dr</b> [22] - 24:2, 24:6, 64:22, 77:12, 82:17, 86:13, 87:9, 88:21, 88:22, 89:20, 94:7, 101:23, 104:12, 106:5, 110:19, 111:21, 112:1, 116:19, 122:5, 122:6</p> <p><b>dragging</b> [1] - 87:13</p> <p><b>draw</b> [1] - 69:1</p> <p><b>drew</b> [1] - 74:16</p> <p><b>drinking</b> [2] - 23:21, 24:12</p> <p><b>Drive</b> [1] - 2:16</p> <p><b>driving</b> [1] - 15:9</p> <p><b>dropped</b> [6] - 96:9, 97:5, 97:16, 97:19, 97:24</p> <p><b>drug</b> [12] - 24:10, 25:21, 46:25, 47:6, 68:1, 68:3, 70:23, 100:21, 100:24, 121:4, 121:12</p> <p><b>Drug</b> [30] - 16:1, 46:4, 46:11, 47:3, 47:8, 47:24, 48:10, 48:24, 49:2, 49:8, 50:18, 50:23, 51:12, 51:15, 52:1, 52:16, 52:21, 53:6, 57:1, 58:2, 58:4, 58:11, 59:6, 59:17, 59:18, 60:4, 62:25, 119:2, 121:9, 121:15</p> <p><b>drugs</b> [6] - 24:7, 24:11, 24:15, 24:22, 28:23, 29:3</p> <p><b>drunk</b> [2] - 77:9, 77:20</p> <p><b>due</b> [2] - 65:25, 119:7</p> <p><b>duly</b> [2] - 5:8, 39:19</p> <p><b>during</b> [13] - 29:18,</p>	<p>31:5, 32:7, 32:9, 39:3, 47:19, 50:18, 54:15, 55:5, 87:10, 93:22, 108:17, 108:19</p> <p><b>DWI</b> [4] - 99:1, 100:1, 100:19, 121:10</p>	<p><b>endangering</b> [1] - 33:2</p> <p><b>ended</b> [3] - 11:2, 45:6, 119:22</p> <p><b>ending</b> [1] - 84:19</p> <p><b>endorsed</b> [1] - 65:2</p> <p><b>ends</b> [8] - 28:18, 31:1, 36:11, 45:19, 80:7, 108:13, 113:20, 124:16</p> <p><b>enforcement</b> [4] - 15:7, 15:9, 21:7, 70:23</p> <p><b>Enforcement</b> [30] - 16:1, 46:4, 46:11, 47:3, 47:8, 47:24, 48:11, 48:24, 49:2, 49:8, 50:18, 50:23, 51:12, 51:15, 52:1, 52:16, 52:21, 53:6, 57:1, 58:2, 58:4, 58:11, 59:6, 59:17, 59:18, 60:4, 62:25, 119:3, 121:9, 121:15</p> <p><b>enhance</b> [2] - 99:15, 103:10</p> <p><b>enhanced</b> [5] - 100:5, 102:24, 102:25, 103:4, 122:22</p> <p><b>enterprise</b> [1] - 56:18</p> <p><b>enters</b> [2] - 38:13, 109:7</p> <p><b>entire</b> [7] - 57:21, 57:22, 62:15, 101:7, 117:17, 117:23, 120:18</p> <p><b>entitled</b> [1] - 1:20</p> <p><b>entrepreneurial</b> [1] - 70:4</p> <p><b>entry</b> [1] - 116:18</p> <p><b>environment</b> [2] - 73:14, 80:19</p> <p><b>equal</b> [1] - 70:3</p> <p><b>equipment</b> [1] - 75:9</p> <p><b>error</b> [1] - 122:9</p> <p><b>errors</b> [4] - 63:14, 82:20, 116:1, 122:8</p> <p><b>Eskew</b> [2] - 56:10, 118:3</p> <p><b>especially</b> [5] - 50:19, 51:22, 57:7, 58:20, 77:14</p> <p><b>essence</b> [17] - 34:22, 46:14, 46:18, 49:1, 50:5, 53:17, 53:19, 57:20, 58:15, 60:21, 61:1, 63:8, 67:5, 75:7, 118:23, 121:16, 122:10</p>
---	---	---	--	--

From 5/1/2013 - 8/10/2013 - The defendant was arrested and taken to Travis County Jail. The defendant told the (13) (14) detective the "Truth" and turned him self in. For 90 days the U.S. Department of Justice forced the Travis County Jail to blame the DEA/Republicans for organizing the assault. On 8/10/2013 the Judge dismissed the Charges after the defendant Confessed to defending his wife. The reason was lack of witnesses. Every person on Earth Watched.

From 8/10/2013 - 9/2014 - The U.S. Government used F.I.S.A. to slander the defendant and the Republicans in every way possible. The Republicans, using a proxy Company, Dempsey Electric, employed the defendant and instructed the defendant "not to think" because everyone on earth knew the defendants thoughts. Every Second, 72,000 times a day the defendant trained his brain to "Not Think" and instead to do as instructed by his employee. Everyone else on earth, including the defendants family did all they could to hurt the defendant. A game became established that the DEA, me, and the Republicans were guilty of Treason and that every person was to do all they could to hurt us on F.I.S.A. People slandered me with every bad act or thought I had ever had in my life. Anyone who helped me was to be attacked wherever they were. No one wanted to help me and even people who did want to help, also hurt me more times than Not and would try to help occasionally. My employee started harrassing me pretending Not to be the DEA/Republicans. I was again told to stave myself and talk neverendingly while Not thinking. The defendant hypnotized himself into Automatism as directed by his employee, a proxy agent, authorized and enforced by the U.S. Government. In 4/2014 the defendant went to the U.S. FBI asking for help. They told the defendant to get another job. The defendant did, and began worked for First Texas Electric who sided with the FBI and Democrats. The defendant refused and was Fired. The Government forced everyone on earth to abuse and isolate the defendant. By 7/4/2014 the defendant was admitted to Conroe Psychiatric Emergency Center on 7/4/2014 in an Psychotic state in hypnosis starving himself and walking hundreds of miles in the heat as directed by the U.S. Government in order to allow the defendants family to visit with him. The U.S. Government used this to degrade and Train the defendant to do stupid things to punish or humiliate himself while under Automatism/psychosis. The U.S. Government destroyed the Republicans and me until 9/2014 when they realized they could induce me into hypnosis / Automatism with pressure from outside influences.

From 9/2014 - 5/2015 - The defendant went through Tr. County mental Health and Travis County Court and tried to get his life back together. The defendant believed he would get 5 years in prison for defending his wife from being raped by James Freeman on 5/1/2013.

From 5/2015 - 6/2015 - The U.S. Government turned F.I.S.A. back on without the defendant knowing, Again. This time proxy agents broadcast, without me knowing, that my wife Michelle Becker was working with the F.B.I. to prosecute the DEA, me, and the republicans for the assault of James Freeman. The U.S. Government had already used a wirelessly attuned TV to imply that me and my family were linked to I.S.I.S. so everyone hated me. Then the proxy Agents, alluded that the DEA/Republicans would have me kill my wife to ~~prevent her from~~ stop her from prosecuting the DEA/Republicans.

103:20, 104:19 <b>chase</b> [1] - 97:13 <b>check</b> [2] - 13:15, 121:14 <b>chemistry</b> [1] - 23:18 <b>child</b> [7] - 8:13, 10:16, 14:17, 28:24, 94:11, 99:1, 100:2 <b>childhood</b> [1] - 8:6 <b>children</b> [7] - 7:14, 8:8, 8:19, 8:22, 10:5, 15:19, 54:22 <b>chillers</b> [1] - 75:8 <b>choir</b> [5] - 55:16, 55:18, 59:11, 102:18, 120:23 <b>choose</b> [2] - 71:18, 83:7 <b>choosing</b> [1] - 83:11 <b>chose</b> [2] - 69:21, 117:5 <b>Christi</b> [2] - 74:9, 74:12 <b>CIA</b> [2] - 65:23, 118:2 <b>cigarettes</b> [3] - 92:14, 92:19, 120:25 <b>circle</b> [1] - 105:20 <b>circumstance</b> [1] - 105:25 <b>circumstances</b> [2] - 91:19, 103:21 <b>citizen</b> [2] - 71:17 <b>claim</b> [4] - 55:18, 64:23, 100:21, 120:23 <b>claiming</b> [3] - 19:21, 106:2, 106:6 <b>Claudia</b> [1] - 1:21 <b>clean</b> [1] - 25:22 <b>cleaned</b> [1] - 116:2 <b>clear</b> [1] - 118:9 <b>cleared</b> [1] - 118:8 <b>clearly</b> [5] - 48:20, 55:8, 57:1, 70:2, 116:9 <b>clerk's</b> [1] - 110:24 <b>client</b> [2] - 31:19, 90:23 <b>Clinton</b> [3] - 59:25, 65:2 <b>clock</b> [1] - 11:14 <b>close</b> [1] - 84:5 <b>closed</b> [4] - 86:24, 87:3, 87:10, 88:20 <b>closer</b> [2] - 33:15, 40:14 <b>clothes</b> [3] - 9:4, 9:7 <b>clothing</b> [2] - 6:1, 6:7 <b>co</b> [1] - 82:8 <b>co-counsel</b> [1] - 82:8 <b>cocaine</b> [2] - 49:24,	50:1 <b>Code</b> [2] - 49:15, 111:17 <b>coincide</b> [1] - 112:15 <b>Coke</b> [2] - 92:13, 120:25 <b>college</b> [4] - 70:3, 100:7, 121:2, 121:5 <b>college-educated</b> [2] - 70:3, 100:7 <b>Comey</b> [11] - 48:21, 49:5, 58:20, 62:24, 64:4, 64:24, 67:12, 71:24, 73:1, 120:14, 121:19 <b>comfort</b> [1] - 59:4 <b>coming</b> [5] - 21:24, 22:18, 38:24, 43:18, 119:22 <b>comment</b> [1] - 102:9 <b>Commerce</b> [1] - 57:24 <b>commercial</b> [1] - 56:17 <b>Commission</b> [5] - 41:20, 66:12, 92:10, 95:3, 125:22 <b>commit</b> [2] - 50:24, 64:3 <b>committed</b> [3] - 66:11, 89:11, 122:12 <b>common</b> [2] - 34:23, 67:25 <b>communicate</b> [4] - 14:4, 14:5, 16:22, 23:8 <b>communication</b> [2] - 16:9, 16:12 <b>company</b> [4] - 10:12, 47:15, 55:2, 75:14 <b>compared</b> [1] - 47:7 <b>compartmentalize</b> [1] - 89:17 <b>competence</b> [4] - 40:8, 81:9, 82:4, 104:18 <b>competency</b> [19] - 45:9, 57:13, 73:3, 78:16, 79:4, 79:9, 81:10, 82:13, 85:7, 86:14, 86:17, 106:16, 107:16, 107:18, 111:3, 112:1, 112:2, 112:17, 122:15 <b>competent</b> [16] - 37:4, 44:7, 62:15, 68:10, 70:2, 71:10, 72:15, 73:22, 78:2, 80:14, 81:3, 83:16, 90:10, 103:14, 115:3,	122:18 <b>complete</b> [2] - 64:15, 100:24 <b>completed</b> [1] - 121:10 <b>completely</b> [7] - 53:10, 62:5, 63:14, 65:3, 83:21, 83:23 <b>complex</b> [1] - 75:8 <b>compliant</b> [1] - 117:16 <b>comply</b> [1] - 120:5 <b>complying</b> [1] - 117:7 <b>compose</b> [1] - 52:6 <b>compound</b> [1] - 120:12 <b>comprehend</b> [1] - 85:9 <b>computer</b> [1] - 1:24 <b>computer-assisted</b> [1] - 1:24 <b>computerized</b> [1] - 1:23 <b>concern</b> [1] - 35:14 <b>concerned</b> [1] - 24:20 <b>concerns</b> [2] - 34:20, 120:18 <b>concludes</b> [1] - 124:11 <b>concurrent</b> [3] - 95:24, 97:6, 97:9 <b>conditions</b> [1] - 41:12 <b>conduct</b> [1] - 78:5 <b>conference</b> [12] - 28:7, 28:18, 30:18, 31:1, 43:24, 45:19, 76:18, 80:7, 107:21, 108:13, 112:25, 113:20 <b>confers</b> [1] - 63:23 <b>confidential</b> [2] - 118:11, 118:12 <b>confirmation</b> [3] - 63:6, 63:8, 75:1 <b>conflict</b> [1] - 87:5 <b>confused</b> [1] - 19:2 <b>confusion</b> [2] - 79:15, 89:7 <b>Conroe</b> [6] - 1:22, 2:5, 2:8, 2:14, 2:17, 125:24 <b>conscious</b> [1] - 36:2 <b>consequences</b> [3] - 71:21, 81:17, 84:16 <b>consider</b> [1] - 70:14 <b>consideration</b> [1] - 71:9	<b>considered</b> [1] - 92:18 <b>consistent</b> [1] - 47:17 <b>consistently</b> [1] - 121:13 <b>conspiracies</b> [1] - 55:14 <b>conspiracy</b> [32] - 16:1, 48:9, 49:25, 50:9, 51:21, 52:5, 53:16, 55:11, 56:23, 59:20, 60:1, 62:8, 62:13, 64:6, 68:14, 70:8, 72:5, 72:13, 72:22, 76:1, 76:2, 76:5, 76:8, 76:10, 78:8, 106:20, 116:1, 117:3, 117:8, 119:14, 119:25, 121:16 <b>conspiratorial</b> [4] - 33:21, 38:18, 80:19, 102:22 <b>conspirators</b> [1] - 61:22 <b>constant</b> [2] - 69:10, 101:4 <b>Constitution</b> [1] - 111:18 <b>construction</b> [3] - 47:15, 55:12, 70:4 <b>contact</b> [2] - 8:5, 27:20 <b>contacted</b> [2] - 15:14, 69:14 <b>contacts</b> [2] - 53:6, 121:14 <b>contains</b> [1] - 125:7 <b>contempt</b> [3] - 36:21, 36:23, 36:25 <b>context</b> [1] - 57:8 <b>continents</b> [1] - 71:2 <b>continually</b> [1] - 87:3 <b>continuance</b> [1] - 72:5 <b>continue</b> [2] - 47:15, 109:12 <b>continued</b> [5] - 36:14, 47:20, 52:5, 62:12, 87:1 <b>contract</b> [4] - 47:25, 52:23, 118:25 <b>contracted</b> [2] - 48:25, 49:8 <b>contracting</b> [1] - 51:23 <b>contractor</b> [1] - 47:18 <b>contradict</b> [1] - 64:20	<b>contradiction</b> [2] - 64:15, 115:4 <b>contradictions</b> [1] - 114:25 <b>contradicts</b> [1] - 63:14 <b>contrary</b> [1] - 114:21 <b>control</b> [6] - 28:22, 46:22, 54:20, 56:12, 105:13, 119:14 <b>controlled</b> [3] - 50:20, 65:4, 71:1 <b>conversation</b> [3] - 9:17, 20:19, 90:23 <b>conversations</b> [2] - 20:14, 41:6 <b>convict</b> [5] - 63:11, 85:5, 85:8, 117:12, 122:16 <b>convicted</b> [11] - 81:17, 90:7, 95:12, 95:15, 95:19, 96:10, 96:15, 98:3, 99:5, 100:9, 100:19 <b>convicting</b> [1] - 84:10 <b>conviction</b> [2] - 99:14, 100:15 <b>convictions</b> [3] - 99:22, 102:18, 103:2 <b>convince</b> [1] - 76:7 <b>cook</b> [1] - 10:3 <b>cooked</b> [1] - 10:2 <b>cooperative</b> [1] - 25:18 <b>copy</b> [3] - 110:15, 113:7, 114:15 <b>corner</b> [1] - 28:5 <b>Corpus</b> [2] - 74:9, 74:12 <b>correct</b> [45] - 10:8, 11:21, 11:24, 12:2, 12:8, 16:19, 19:12, 19:22, 19:23, 21:23, 26:18, 27:11, 27:19, 27:22, 39:24, 40:4, 40:5, 41:16, 71:20, 75:18, 84:17, 86:14, 86:17, 87:11, 87:22, 92:8, 95:4, 95:13, 95:17, 95:21, 96:14, 98:24, 99:17, 100:3, 100:4, 100:12, 109:25, 110:1, 110:6, 110:13, 110:17, 110:21, 114:9, 116:4, 125:7 <b>correctly</b> [2] - 86:1, 125:14 <b>corroborate</b> [3] -
---	--	---	---	--

From 6/2015 - 8/2015 - The US Government pressured me every second and allowed me to realize I was again on (3) F.I.S.A Program. I quit thinking and went back into Automatism. I worked 60 hours a week and drove another (P. 15) 20 and everyone on earth did all they could to hurt me except my employer, Kenmor Electric. For 2 months at work everyone harassed me constantly pushing me into psychosis.

From 8/1/2015 - 8/6/2015 - The defendant started hallucinating. The defendant could not eat or focus his mind starting 8/1/2015. The defendant still did not know that the U.S. Government had planned to kill his wife. On 8/4/2015 the defendant went to Tri County Psychiatric Hospital in Psychosis looking for help from the pressure of the Government harassing him. Tri County refused the defendant Emergency help. On 8/6/2015 the defendant was hallucinating and throwing up. The defendant had not ~~eaten~~ <sup>eaten</sup> in 6 days and was sleepdeprived for 6 days. The defendant believed that peoples insides were being cooked with gas. The defendant's employer knowing that the defendant was hallucinating asked the defendant to kill his wife and that it was the only way to stop the world from having their insides cooked. That day, 8/6/2015 the defendant was called by Tri County and the defendant said yes. <sup>he needed mental help.</sup> The defendant also went to his family's house 6 times that day looking for help and the Family. The Onicals said that the defendant looked drugged. The employer called Tri County and said the defendant was erratic and vomiting at work. The Sheriff was dispatched to do a welfare check, but they went to the wrong address knowing the location of the defendant's location because of F.I.S.A Surveillance. 2 hours later 6 sheriffs sat less than 1 mile away and waited for 1 hour until Michelle Becker was executed on F.I.S.A ~~order~~ in front of her two children as planned by the United States Government. The defendant was hallucinating and believed his wife had steroids in her neck to keep her from dying. The defendant believed his sons eyes were being torched out with a blow torch and that you could come back to life 4 times.

From 8/6/2015 - 12/2016 - The defendant stayed in Automatism / psychosis for 4 months "Not Thinking". The Judge, Lawyer and Sheriff told the defendant that the Sheriff was the Republicans and would help me get away with Murder. The Republicans were being investigated by the FBI though, so everyone had to pretend to be the FBI the entire time. The defendant was told not to think and to make up fake stories to confuse the Machine that records the defendant's thoughts. The defendant did as he was told and occupied his mind every second making up stories and denying he killed anyone. The Sheriff set up 3 trustys day and night to sleep deprive, degrade and frustrate the defendant every second of every night and day. By December 2014 the torture made the defendant so angry that he started thinking despite what was happening and he figured out the FBI, Democrats and the Sheriff had killed the defendant's wife on purpose.

From 12/2014 - 9/2017 - The defendant wrote the FBI and Fought with his lawyer and the Court. He also read the newspaper and the Republicans were being blamed for the murder and the oil bust during the 2016 Presidential Election. The defendant figured out the Democrats killed Michelle Becker to influence the 2016 Presidential Election. The defendant broadcast to the entire earth night and day for nearly 2 years as he was tortured, that All businesses, governments and people on earth should support Donald Trump and Fight the Democrats and The FBI. Trump was elected and in 9/2017 The Texas Bar Picked up on investigation and the Trial Court figured out they were no longer immune to prosecution. James Comey had been fired and President Trump began fixing the U.S. Department of Justice.



From 9/2017 - 5/2018 - Once the Texas Bar dismissed the Corrupt Attorney, Joseph Kripple, The Trial Court (4)  
initiated a fake investigation by investigator Tommy Jenkins and used 3 psychiatric Doctors to falsely declare the (P. 16)  
defendant delusional for saying he was an F.I.S.A and that there was a Conspiracy to kill the defendants wife.  
The Sheriff Tortured the defendant and slandered his entire history so bad that the Texas Rangers picked up  
an investigation into the abuse. The Sheriff threatened the Jury and the witnesses to find the defendant  
incompetent with a mental illness. Then the Sheriff threatened the Jury and all witnesses to lie at Jury Trial  
to give the defendant a life sentence in Prison without due process of law. The defendant was denied genuine  
Counsel, expert assistance of Counsel, denied expert witness, drugged, denied toxicology, evidence was fabricated.  
Judge Hamilton later wrote an excellent Appellant Brief with attorney Darin Ray for the defendants Direct Appeal.

From 5/2018 - 3/2019 - The defendant transferred to TDCJ (Texas Department of Criminal Justice) and the  
Torture Continued. The defendant is sleep deprived with less than 2-3 hours of sleep a night on average.  
This unlawfully restrains the defendant because he cant focus or concentrate on his legal work. The defendant  
wrote a grievance about the Torture and Harassment where the Trustys and ~~guards~~ guards isolate the  
defendant and threaten any inmate who would help or associate with the defendant.  
The defendant tried to file the Complaint and was transferred to SkyView Mental Hospital and diagnosed  
again as delusional and threatened to be forced to take antipsychotic medication if he complained  
of Torture Again. Now the defendant suffers in Silence and tried to write the Courts. All Courts and  
Agencies refuse to acknowledge the Torture, physical and psychological and the F.I.S.A Program that  
was Calculated to drive the defendant Crazy and Kill his wife then violate all the defendants rights.  
The defendant is now being denied Mail and writing supplies by officer Murhead at TDCJ law library.  
The defendant wrote the following Courts / Agencies - All deemed the Complaints as Frivolous or didn't respond

- A) US Department of Justice Inspector General 4/2018 - refused to help
- B) US Department of Justice - 2 tort Complaints 10/2018 - ignored
- C) US District Court Southern District of Texas - Case 4:18-cv-04855 - dismissed with prejudice
- D) US District Court Southern District of Texas - Case 4:18-cv-04385 - dismissed with prejudice.
- E) US District Court Southern District of Texas - 28 USC 2254 Habeas Corpus - Pending
- F) 9th Court of Appeals of Texas - Case # 04-18-00194 - refuses to acknowledge F.I.S.A
- H) 5th Circuit US Court of Appeals - 28 USC 351 Complaint on US District Court - pending
- I) State board of Judicial Conduct # CJC 19-0015 - denied misconduct
- J) State bar of Texas - 3 investigations - refused to prosecute

All Agencies of Government refuse to help the defendant as he is Tortured in a Texas Prison  
with out sleep for nearly 44 months because the US Government killed his wife, Michelle Becker,  
and is trying to cover up the F.I.S.A Conspiracy to influence the 2016 Presidential Election.

This is a Fundamental Miscarriage of Justice by the United States Government.  
Only the Supreme Court Can help. The defendant PRAYS the Supreme Court will.

5/8

		<b>Caucasians)</b> <b>Suspect (Weter Jr.) is excluded from major component.</b> <b>No conclusions can be made on minor alleles.</b>
--	--	---

Not my DATA

## Reasons for Granting the Petition

Pg 17-45 ~ 28 pages



**DNA Processing, Results, Conclusions, and Statistics (Continued):**

The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual with this DNA profile at 9 of 15 loci tested is:

- 1 in 550 thousand in the US Caucasian population
- 1 in 1.8 million in the US African American population
- 1 in 760 thousand in the US Hispanic population

The following loci were not used in the statistical calculation due to no results being obtained: D8S1179, D21S11, D7S820, CSF1PO, D2S1338, and D18S51.

The individual associated with sample CCB1579-0356-R16 (John Dee Weter Jr) is excluded as a possible contributor of the partial DNA profile obtained from the sample listed above.

8. The DNA profile obtained from sample CCB1579-0356-E09 is consistent with a mixture of at least two individuals including a major female contributor (Female 1).

The major component DNA profile matches the DNA profile obtained from sample CCB1579-0356-R15 (Michelle Renee Becker).

The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual with this DNA profile at 6 of 15 loci tested is:

- 1 in 6.6 million in the US Caucasian population
- 1 in 16 million in the US African American population
- 1 in 27 million in the US Hispanic population

The following loci were not used in the statistical calculation due to the inability to resolve a major component: D21S11, CSF1PO, D13S317, D16S539, D2S1338, D18S51, D5S818, and FGA.

The following locus was not used in the statistical calculation due to no results being obtained: D7S820.

The individual associated with sample CCB1579-0356-R16 (John Dee Weter Jr) is excluded as a possible contributor of the major component DNA profile obtained from the sample listed above.

Due to the possibility of allelic drop out, no conclusions can be made on the minor alleles present in the sample CCB1579-0356-E09.

9. No DNA profile was obtained from sample CCB1579-0356-E12.

10. A partial DNA profile was obtained from sample CCB1579-0356-E14.

The partial DNA profile matches the DNA profile obtained from sample CCB1579-0356-R15 (Michelle Renee Becker).

The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual with this DNA profile at 8 of 15 loci tested is:

- 1 in 5.8 million in the US Caucasian population
- 1 in 76 million in the US African American population
- 1 in 14 million in the US Hispanic population

The following loci were not used in the statistical calculation due to no results being obtained: D21S11, D7S820, CSF1PO, TH01, D19S433, vWA, and TPOX.

## Table of Contents for

Procedural Default due to External Factors and Interference  
by government officials

- Ground #1 - Trial Court lacked Competent Jurisdiction to try the case
- Ground #2 - All investigative State Agencies refused to act on illegal activities but did not deny them.
- Ground #3 - All Discovery, Computers, TVs, Books, Newspapers are wirelessly altered by the U.S. Government
- Ground #4 - 2 judges, 3 lawyers, 10 Montgomery County Sheriffs, 4 state agencies all deny the existence of the U.S. Government's F.I.S.A. Program, Torture and rights violations.

## Ineffective Trial Caused by Trial Court and Government AND Trial Courts Conflict of Interest

- Ground #5 - Defendant was drugged because the Judge forced the defendant to take new psychotropic medication while the defendant was forced to represent himself because of Conflict of Interest, despite protests.
- Ground #6 - All 5 psychiatric doctors knowingly lied in Evaluations for Competency and Sanity, Concerning material fact that effected the defendants state of mind
- Ground #7 - Defendant was denied a full toxicology test of the blood taken the day of the murder because Trial Court "forgot" to preserve the blood once the defendant proved fabrication of records, and involuntary intoxication at the hands of U.S. intelligence agencies.
- Ground #8 - Trial Court intentionally deleted sound off of Video from Cell 2410 to delete the Confessions by the Sheriff of Conspiracy to Kill Michelle Becker for the U.S. FBI, then ~~presented~~ preserved edited video on record to show the Trial Court and Counsel "investigated accusations by defendant"
- Ground #9 - Trial Court Spoke in Code, alluding to Current and Known Facts, established by use of the F.I.S.A. Program - intentionally rendering the official Record, Completely inaccurate.

## Trial Courts miscarriage of Justice due to Government interference with Witnesses and Jury Improperly Influenced

- Ground #10 - The Jury, doctors, lawyers, Judge and all witnesses threatened to be arrested by the Sheriff on fictional, unrelated Charges if they were witnesses against the state or its agenda.
- Ground #11 - The defendant defamed, everysecond by extreameous offenses and bad acts, while sleepdeprived, Harrowed, and upset on F.I.S.A. Program to the entire world, intentionally to prejudice the world not to believe or assist the defendant for political reasons of National Security.
- Ground #12 - Defendant denied Jury Instruction on involuntariness

1 THE COURT: It's overruled as to hearsay.

2 Q. (By Mr. James) So you can't say whether if those  
3 were or weren't caused, if any of those delusions that he  
4 purports to have had, you can't say whether they were or  
5 weren't caused from methamphetamine use?

6 A. No, I can't. Michelle told me what was going  
7 on.

8 Q: And Michelle had caught him using meth at  
9 various times in the past?

10 A. She never told me anything about the meth.

11 MR. JAMES: Pass the witness.

12 MS. BROWN: Nothing further for this  
13 witness, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Hang out for one second.  
15 Okay. Call your next.

16 MS. BROWN: May I approach, Your Honor?

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 (Bench conference begins.)

19 MS. BROWN: We are at the end of our case.  
20 Mr. Weter wants to testify.

21 MR. YATES: Judge, I think we should read  
22 him his rights. We don't think he should go on. He  
23 wants to go on. We think we have to let him go on  
24 because he wants to.

25 THE COURT: We're going to take a break.

Procedural Default due to external factors and interference by Government officials - Ground # 1-4

Issue Stated - Ground # 1

The 359<sup>th</sup> District Court of Montgomery County Texas lacked the jurisdiction to handle the case. (P. 18)

Summary of Argument

The defendant John Water has been on Federal Surveillance by Warrant of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act Court (F.I.S.A. Court) from 1/2013 - 9/2014 and from 5/2015 - 2/5/2019 and Continuing. This Program broadcast the defendants thoughts and memory to every person on earth, making the Trial Court fully knowledgeable of the F.I.S.A. Programs impact on the defendants state of mind at the time of the offense. The trial Court tried to subpoena F.I.S.A. records but lacked Jurisdiction. See Section I and Section II (A)(B) of Statement of Case.

Argument and Authority

Procedural Default: The Supreme Court stated, "the existence of cause for procedural defaults, cause must ordinarily turn on whether the prisoner can show that some objective factor, external to the defense, impeded Counsel's efforts to comply with state procedural rules, such as factual or legal basis was not reasonably available to Counsel, or interference by officials made compliance impracticable" Murray v Carrier, 477 US 488, 91 L.Ed2d 397, 106 S.Ct 2639 (1986)

Hl. On 5/1/2018 the US Attorney quashed the defendant's motion for F.I.S.A. records from the F.B.I based on on  
① ongoing investigation ② Classified Standing. Exhibit H1-H5

② Defendant admitted a Document from the US Inspector Generals office from an investigation in 4/2018 into evidence at Trial that stated the defendant Complained at Department of Justice Federal Program when the defendant Complained of the F.I.S.A. Program.

- This again proves Trial Court had knowledge of Defendants being under F.I.S.A. Program, yet forced the defendant to proceed to Trial without Federal Evidence necessary to prove the defendants state of mind at the time of the offense and Culpability of the Crime.

#3. The entire world including Trial Court received "Telepathic Messages" - Electronically Broadcasted by the US Government from the defendant as defined by Title 18 USC Article 2510(12)(13) and were legally knowledgeable of Federal Surveillance that impeded the state of the mind of the defendant at the time of the offense. See Section II (A) of Statement of Case.

Murray v Carrier - Trial Court knew of lack of Jurisdiction to Compell evidence yet proceeded to trial because of interference by officials (the U.S. Government) This proves lack of Jurisdiction and Procedural Default by officials with prejudice.

1 under the influence of methamphetamine?

2 A. Because my son, Michael, have it at that time  
3 too.

4 Q. And you and Michael and Terry all gave written  
5 statements -- I don't believe Terry did.

6 You, Cashada, and Michael gave written  
7 statements. Is there any reason that why Michael would  
8 have said that the brain scanner act started in Austin,  
9 Texas with the dope dealer.

10 A. That I don't know because I didn't live with him  
11 at the time.

12 Q. So you can't think of any reason that Michael  
13 would refer to it as an act?

14 A. I cannot. I'm not Michael. I'm sorry.

15 Q. And Michael, he really cares about John, doesn't  
16 he?

17 A. They're brothers.

18 Q. And Michael had not been cooperative with  
19 talking to myself or to any of our investigators when  
20 they tried to talk to him?

21 A. Well, Michael had a drug habit himself. And  
22 since this has happened, my son has been clean for a  
23 year, almost a year and two months.

24 Q. I'm glad to hear that.

25 Do you remember telling -- and you told

## Issue Stated - Ground #2

Procedural Default due to External Factors and interference by Government officials Caused 5 state and Federal agencies to Not investigate or find misconduct yet not deny the Allegations of F.I.S.A. Program Torture, Harrowing, Sleepdeprivation and Conspiracy. See Section III(c)(8) statement of Case (P319)

### Summary of Argument

5 State and Federal Agencies refused to acknowledge F.I.S.A. Program or Misconduct and Artfully evaded describing the events occurred through Carefull wording and Allusions. This obviated the guilt of the U.S. Govt and Trial Court but violated the defendants right to due process under the 5th and 14th Amendment. Any finding of misconduct would have greatly changed the outcome of the Trial because of influence of the jury based on these determinations.

### Argument and Authority

- #1. Texas Commission on Jail Standards denied abuse and alluded to defendant needing to "take his medicine". The defendant was not prescribed medication at the time the statement was written by the Commission.
  - #2. The State Bar of Texas, investigated Joseph Kipple and Dennis Yates. Appendix T states the conclusions of the investigation of Dennis Yates was an isolated, inadvertant, or unskilled act or omission does not subject the attorney to discipline. This proves ineffective Counsel Claims.
  - #3. The Texas Rangers investigated yet, "Knottically tried to reduce the psychological abuse", but on record in Court Ranger Chric Potect referred to the document by the Commission on Jail Standards who said I needed to take my medicine. Meaning the abuse was authorized by officials. Prosecutor James Collier Ranger Potect on Record requesting investigations notes and ~~setting~~ witnesses on Record at Trial 5/1/18 - 5/15/18 Appr #19
  - #4. Texas Commission on Judicial Conduct investigated in CSC #19-0015 and Concluded No Misconduct but did not deny the allegations - see appr #19
  - #5. United States inspector general investigated and determined F.I.S.A, sleepdeprivation, Harrowing and rights violations were a U.S Justice Department Program by an Authorized Contractor. This document was admitted into evidence at Trial by defendant - see appr 92
- #1-5 proves 5 outside Agencies were influenced by government officials to deny investigations that would have influenced the minds of the jury because the defendant Claimed the Trial Court and Sherriffs office were working on a Conspiracy to Cover Government in the intentional murder of the victim to blame a Political party using F.I.S.A program during the 2016 Presidential Election. The officials protect People or Agencies from investigating based on National Security intelligence operations. Murder and Election interference is Not Legal but no one will or can investigate, based on National Security intelligence operations. Murder and Election interference is not Legal but no one will or can investigate. The US Senate has uncovered 2 other F.I.S.A Abuses by the U.S Dept of Justice and is investigating FBI director Andrew McCabe on Criminal Charges, as I Claimed on 9/3/2016 Appendix 0-6

1 had been arrested and put in the jail?

2 A. Like I said, it has been quite a long time ago.

3 Q. But if you are on an audio recording, telling

4 Detective Hof that, would you dispute that?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Are you aware that at the same time that John  
7 was in the jail he wouldn't open his eyes, wouldn't speak  
8 to anybody, was refusing to communicate with anyone?

9 A. No, I wouldn't.

10 Q. But yet he was able to try to call you, right?

11 A. I don't know.

12 Q. Now, John also has -- we talked about  
13 methamphetamine. He also has problems with alcohol?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And, in fact, you told Detective Hof that even  
16 when he was on his medicine, him and alcohol don't get  
17 along?

18 A. That he doesn't -- his body chemistry can't  
19 handle alcohol.

20 Q. You also told Detective Hof that he doesn't know  
21 when to stop when he starts drinking?

22 A. No, he doesn't.

23 MR. JAMES: Your Honor, may I have one  
24 minute?

25 THE COURT: Yes.

## Issue Stated - Ground 3

Trial Court and the U.S. Government Caused a procedural default and a discovery violation under Brady because the U.S. Government wirelessly altered random Computers, TVs, Radios, Books, Newspapers and Court documents to Communicate with the defendant. speaking in Code, responding to the defendants thoughts. The Artificial Intelligence Program that Controls this Communication did not reveal its abilities to the defendant until 4/2016 after the defendant figured out the Republicans had not organized the murder of Michelle Becker.

See Section II(A) of Statement of the Case and Section V(A) of Statement of the Case (P320)

### Summary of Argument

The U.S. Government Confused the defendant unwittingly by altering every form of media the defendant witnessed by blaming the Republicans, the defendant and the US DEA for the murder of Michelle Becker so that everyone on earth could witness and would blame the DEA. No matter what the defendant did, TV, Book, Newspaper or person blamed the defendant for murder. The defendant never knew that Books, Newspapers and documents could communicate with the defendant until 4/2016, when the U.S. Government stated threatening the defendant not to mentally blame the FBI/Democrats for murder. The defendant never knew what was authentic discovery because everything changed. when the defendant claimed evidence was manufactured to Attorney Kipple. Attorney Kipple used that question of validity as grounds for an incompetency hearing - speaking of the picture of a Car with blood on it on p 171 of the Casefile - Appendix #14

The psychological of Absolutely Every person, books, documents or TV being "Controlled" by a National Security Program Calculated to induce an Agenda onto the minds of the entire planet, being administered forcefully and unwittingly under sleep deprivation, Duress and fear of life of imprisonment can not be understated. The defendant did not know who to trust, what was real or why this was happening. This caused Confusion, Psychosis and greatly influenced what the defendant pursued as far as defense, during the defendant's Sanity Evaluation by Kit Harrison on 5/2016 - 9/2016 - Appendix H14

### Argument and Authority

- #1. US v Vagages, 151 F.3d 1185 (4th 1998) Government interference with a defense witness choice of whether to testify constitutes a violation of due process and requires a reversal and remand for New Trial.
- #2. The U.S. Government used the abilities of the U.S. Government to alter documents and threaten the defendant to blame the DEA/Republicans for murder; mentally starting 4/2016 - Appx 18 will prove threats by the U.S.
- #3. Dr Harrison's 1st Competency Evaluation - Appendix Q-30A, Pg 138 states as of 12/2015 "that Mr Weter is lingering incompetence is highly suspected, but that he is also in the midst of a psychotic episode is also supported. This proves I was still in Automatism/Psychosis trying Not to think as explained in Statement of the Case on Pg —.
- #4. Dr Harrison's Competency Evaluation, Appendix Q-33 page 139 states. "although he appears to be able to impart autobiographical facts, events, states of mind to mental health and as recently, as August 3rd 2015, it is also likely that he sustained a severe psychotic episode around the time he was discharged from employment and sent home 8/6/2015", the day of the murder.  
- This proves the defendant was under Psychosis the day of the murder all the way to 12/2015, 4 months



1 MR. JAMES: May I proceed, Your Honor?

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

4 **BY MR. JAMES:**

5 Q. Ms. O'Neil, I'm Andrew. We've spoken on the  
6 phone a few times, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And just to kind of follow-up with a few things  
9 that Ms. Brown asked, have I ever threatened you?

10 A. No.

11 Q. And has one of our investigators come out to  
12 your house before? We need needed to subpoena you for  
13 prior court dates and that kind of stuff?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. And he never threatened you or anything like  
16 that?

17 A. No, he was very nice.

18 Q. In fact, you have told me and you told me  
19 several of the things that you just testified to here  
20 today and I let his prior attorney know that because it  
21 was a while ago when we spoke, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Now, I want to go back over a few things that  
24 you talked about here. Just to kind of -- instead of  
25 talking about your son, your daughter, let's get some

#5. Appendix Q-32 page 173. Dr. Kit Harrison's Psych eval states on 12/2015, paragraph 3, 4, 5, 6 that Dr. Harrison Sgt Ainsy of the Montgomery County Sheriff's office and my Attorney Joseph Kipple all viewed me with my eyes closed, refusing to communicate with anyone. The reason was because everyone, everywhere was blaming the defendant and the US DEA, his employer, for murder. "In Psychosis. Not "Thinking" the defendant refused to speak to anyone. (P221)

#1-5 prove that the US Governmental Program Caused the defendant to go into psychosis and refuse to even open his eyes for his lawyer or doctor because he couldn't trust anyone, because everyone blamed the defendant and the US DEA for Murder and the entire world knew the defendant's thoughts.

#6. As explained in the Statement of the Case on P3 12 the sleep deprivation and harrowing by the Sheriff forced the defendant to start "Thinking" in January 2016 and the defendant slowly realized the conspiracy to kill the defendant's wife and blame the Republican / as DEA.

#7. US Government Records will show the Artificial Intelligence program revealed itself to the defendant and the world by communicating to the defendant through books April 2016.

#8. By 9/3/2016 - Appendix Q-4, 5, 6 proves the defendant was questioning Attorney Kipple, Judge Hamilton and Dr. Harrison of the validity of documents such as the picture of blood on a Car P 171, Appx #14. This proves the defendant did Not know what evidence was real or not.

#9. Ground #16 and 17 proves no attorney ever provided documentation of the Validity of Appx #14 as requested on 9/3/2016.

#10. At Trial, the defendant, representing himself discovered that the picture was authentic because District Attorney Andrew James after 33 months with Counsel, provided the Creation date of each picture appx #100. This proves defense Counsel never provided the discovery to resolve the validity of issues until in the presence of the Jury and made the defendant look uncredible.

#11. When the defendant tried to show the judge and Jury proof that the newspaper could be altered the Judge denied and forced the defendant to take medication that impaired the defendant even though the Judge knew the document would end still does alter itself from the US Government. This preserved the issue on Record see ground #5 for proof.

#12. District Attorney James objected at Trial to me communicating with the Jury because I taped on altered picture of the earth on my folder to remind the Jury that the F.I.S.A Program was probably intended to take over the earth and I was not lying. Appx #101

#1-5 prove this program induced the defendant into Psychosis.

#8-9 prove the defendant questioned the validity of documents to Counsel, Judge and doctor 9/3/16

#10 prove All Attorneys kept Brady Discovery from the defendant

#11-12 Prove I preserved the record and was drugged by the judge and proves the record is wrong as I claimed in Ground because people were communicating by reference and speaking in Code during Trial 11.

1 many, many proofs of the conspiracy such as DNA errors,  
2 and factual problems where cots were cleaned. There's  
3 two bloody receipts in a car that they never found which  
4 they had to correct on the record. The CSI had to redact  
5 his statement on record on March 24 saying that he had  
6 mistakenly put his report twice on page 225 and 228 that  
7 Officer Hall were at 259 Highway 59 in New Caney, Texas,  
8 at 11:40 p.m. when he showed up on August 6 and his  
9 report clearly shows on the 911 log on page 61 at 9:47  
10 that he --

11 MR. JAMES: Judge, I'm going to object to  
12 nonresponsive.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 Q. (By Mr. Yates) Did you ever go the Tri-County on  
15 your own before maybe a day before this incident that you  
16 are now here in court on?

17 A. I did not go to Tri-County a day before this  
18 incident. If you are referring to the August 3rd entry  
19 that is in Dr. Harrison's report, I did go possibly a  
20 week prior. I did go possibly to a week -- a week prior,  
21 yes.

22 Q. And did you tell them you needed some help?

23 A. I told them I needed medication.

24 Q. And how long had you been off the medication  
25 when you went there?

## Issue Presented - Ground #4

2 state judges, 3 lawyers, 5 psychiatric doctors, 2 Montgomery County Sheriffs, 4 State Agencies All denied the Existence of the Federal F.I.S.A. Program, Torture and Rights Violations. See Section II(B)(ii) Statement of Case Pg 22

## Summary of Argument

The entire Trial Court, Every witness and doctor blatantly lied at Trial denying the existence of the F.I.S.A. Program after the defendant provided proof from the U.S. Attorney (appendix #H1-H5) and proof from the U.S. Department of Justice Inspector General's office that the defendant is under surveillance (appendix #92). The evidence of procedural default is abundantly evident in grounds #1-4. Appendix H1-H5 and appendix #92 are documents from the Federal Government, proving the trial Court knew, yet lied to conceal evidence.

The question now becomes what is the legal scope of the F.I.S.A. Program?

- A) Was the murder of Michelle Becker and division of the Country an Authorized Action OR
- B) Was the F.I.S.A. program designed to legally do some other Classified program and the Murder of Michelle Becker and influence the 2016 presidential election, unauthorized and now being illegally concealed under cloak of a National Security Intelligence operations.

## Argument and Authority

US v Grier 967 F.2d 1018 (5th 1992) when Counsel places himself or simultaneously having to defend himself as well as his client for their potential Criminal Activity. A Conflict of interest exists. Counsel by having unethical meetings with Co-defendant opened himself up for an indictment for obstruction of Justice and disciplinary matters. The Court's failure to conduct a Garcia hearing was a reversible error.

US v Garcia 517 F.2d 272 (5th Cir 1975) waiver of Conflict of interest must be on record by clear and unequivocal language.

- #1. Judge Kathleen Hamilton denied F.I.S.A. program on Record 5/7/2018 - 5/15/2018 at Trial (but did try to help me)
- #2. Judge Claudia Laird denied F.I.S.A. program on Record 4/9/2018 - 4/11/2018 at Competency Trial.
- #3. Dr. Kit Harrison, Pg 30 of 31 at Sanity Evaluation states F.I.S.A. Program as "There is no significant doubt, however that his perceptions of Mrs. Becker were rooted in terms of dangerous delusions, including delusions of persecution and obsessive jealousy which would tend to support an insanity defense to the degree that the delusions were due to mental illness and not drug and alcohol. (Appendix H1 #29, 2nd paragraph)
- #4. Dr. Wendy Elliott states Pg 7 of her Competency Evaluation, "Mr. Weter presented for this evaluation as extremely Paranoid with a number of conspiratorial beliefs directly linked to his legal case. Such an intention is clearly indicative of impaired decisional incompetency, in that his mental illness is interfering with effective legal decisions about his case.
- #5. Dr. Maureen Burrows referenced in Dr. Elliott's Competency evaluation states Pg 3 of 4, "In 2014 Dr. Burrows evaluated Mr. Weter and found him not competent to stand trial due to the presence of significant delusional beliefs related to Naval Monitoring and his Girlfriend.
- #6. Dr. Ren Massey states on Pg 8 of her Competency Evaluation concerning his therapy that the FBI is involved

1 handwriting on this? This is your handwriting, isn't it?

2 A. Yes; this is my handwriting.

3 Q. And this is another motion that you filed in  
4 this case. This is a motion to dismiss counsel. This  
5 was regarding your prior attorney. Your first attorney  
6 in the case, Mr. Joseph Krippel?

7 A. Right.

8 Q. And this was filed September 22, 2017?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Would you read the highlighted portion to me on  
11 Page 2 here..

12 A. This is when I denied the State --

13 Q. Mr. Weter, please read the highlighted portion.

14 A. "Attorney, Joseph Krippel, brought the defendant  
15 a copy of the sanity evaluation and after the defendant  
16 asked what the State's current offer was, Mr. Krippel,  
17 stated 60 years, but 40 is possible and 30 would be a  
18 miracle. Mr. Krippel asked if the defendant had a  
19 counter-offer and the defendant stated 14 years."

20 Q. Thank you.

21 Kind of contrary to what you said earlier,  
22 you did try to plea bargain?

23 A. No, I denied a plea bargain. I told you I was  
24 not interested in one at the current time. And you're  
25 looking for minute contradictions in paperwork.

in Michelle Beckers Murder, I view this not as psychosis but as a desperate ploy on his part.

- #7. Officer Tyler Hall of the Montgomery County Sheriffs office denied the F.I.S.A on record 5/7/2018 - 5/15/2018
- #8. Officer Wilkerson of the Montgomery County Sheriffs office denied the F.I.S.A program on record on 5/7/18 - 5/15/2018
- #9. Witness Carol O'neal (my mother) denied FISA program on record 5/7/2015 - 5/15/2018
- #10. Witness Cascade O'neal (my sister) denied F.I.S.A program on record 5/7/2015 - 5/15/2018
- #11. Witness James Freeman denied the F.I.S.A Program on record 5/7/2015 - 5/15/2018
- #12. Exhibit H1-H5 and appendix — prove from the US Attorney General and US Attorneys office that F.I.S.A. does exist and the Entire Court were knowledgeable as of 4/2018 (appendix —) yet had the Trial Anyway and allowed #1-11 to blatantly lie on record during the Trial that Greatly Influenced the Jury.
- #13. Ground #2 proves 5 state and government Agencies investigated and did not deny F.I.S.A but did not find misconduct.

### Conclusion for Grounds #1-4

Ground #1-4 prove the entire Trial Court and 5 state Agencies knew of F.I.S.A. Program and its part in the death of a woman that was blamed on a political party using F.I.S.A yet the Trial Court and every agency in Texas overlooked the entire Court lying on the stand concerning Material Fact that would have changed the outcome at the Trial. The effects of F.I.S.A on my state of mind at the time of the offense.

This Proves External Factors that Caused a Procedural Default and interference by Government officials

1 Dr. Harrison only did one competency evaluation on me.

2 Q. I am not talking about the competency one; I am  
3 talking about the sanity report in 2016.

4 A. They tied together. And I disputed the fact  
5 that they did two evaluations that was altered  
6 afterwards.

7 Q. What was altered afterwards?

8 A. The fact there were two evaluations done. I  
9 only did one evaluation. It was separated in six  
10 separated settings.

11 Q. I am just talking about when this was filed.  
12 The last time you came to interview was sometime in  
13 September 2016, and then he filed his report with his  
14 report with the court in December of 2016?

15 A. They coincide. If that's the way it was done,  
16 it is not explained to me that way. I was under the  
17 impression it was one solid competency evaluation.

18 MR. JAMES: Judge, may we approach on one  
19 thing?

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not trying to be  
22 difficult or anything. I have disputed his evaluation.

23 MR. JAMES: It has nothing to do with that.

24 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

25 (Bench conference begins.)

## Ineffective Trial Caused by Trial Court and the Government Grounds 5-9

The totality of the evidence presented in Grounds #5-9 prove 3 specific things

- #1. A Conflict of Interest exists between the Trial Court and providing an authentic defense, Requiring a New Trial under Holloway v Arkansas, 433 US 475, 489 - 490 L.Ed2d 426 98 S.Ct 1173 (1978)
- #2. The Cumulative effects of errors by the Trial Court caused a fundamentally unfair Trial and a Miscarriage of Justice requiring a New Trial. US v Torg 52 F.3d 207 (9th Cir 1995) p. 24
- #3. As proven in Ground #1-4, A procedural default due to Government officials made Compliance with the law impracticable, negating the misconduct of Trial Court, Murray v Carrier 477 US 478, 91 L.Ed2d 397, 106 S.Ct 2639 (1992)
- #4. "Due to the nature of the Claim" Trial Court can not be faulted and the abuse of writ did not apply under this type of Circumstance, which would have been Concealed by the State, Hamilton v McAllister 712 F.2d 171 (5th Cir 1983)

### Issue Stated - Ground #5

The defendant was force to take New Medication, 50 mg of Zoloff, by the Judge during the trial while representing himself, because the defendant asked the judge to show proof of the Government Communicating with defendant by wirelessly altering newspapers and books to Correspond to the defendants thoughts. This Medication prohibited the defendant from being mentally Competent to represent himself. The defendant objected that he did not want to take the medication but ~~a nurse~~ the judge ordered a nurse to come to Court and force the defendant to take medication.

### Summary of Argument

If the judge had not, knowingly drugged the defendant, for presenting the truth to the Jury the defendant would have had a sharper mental acuity to represent himself at Trial and the outcome of the trial would have been different. The defendant should receive a New Trial without being forced to take medication that impairs his ability to mentally function.

### Argument and Authority

Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 46B.0023 - A person is NOT Competent to stand Trial if he does NOT have

- ① Sufficient Present Ability to Consult with the persons lawyer with a reasonable degree of rational understanding
- ② A rational as well as factual understanding of the proceeding against him.

#1. On 8/6/2015 when defendant was arrested he weighed 145 lbs - Montgomery County Records Prove this

#2. On 4/9/2017 Attorney Brown states how thin the defendant is (Appendix "G")

#3. Trial ended on 5/15/2018 and the defendant was transferred to TDCJ 6 days later on 5/21/2018

#4. TDCJ Records will prove on 5/21/2018 the defendant weighed 117 lbs

#5. On 5/22/18 defendant was transferred immediately to Skyview Psychiatric hospital and was diagnosed again as delusional and taken off Zoloff. 8 days after Trial.

#1-5 Prove that the defendant weighed 117 pounds at Trial on 5/7/2018, which is 20-30 lbs below his body mass index. Ground #11 proves the defendant was sleep deprived and Harrowed, absolutely everysecond for 33 months by 5/7/2015.



1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Early on you filed a motion hoping that it would  
3 be transferred to Travis County or Harris County, right?

4 A. Almost any other county.

5 Q. Can you speak up, please, sir.

6 A. Almost any other county. But that's correct.

7 MR. JAMES: Judge, may I approach the  
8 witness?

9 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

10 Q. (By Mr. James) Mr. Weter, I want to show you  
11 what I have marked State's Exhibit No. 1 here. Do you  
12 recognize your handwriting?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. This is one of the motions for discovery that  
15 you filed in this case. A copy of it was filed with the  
16 court back on October 20, 2016, right?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And this timeframe was after the last time  
19 Dr. Harrison had interviewed you for sanity, right?

20 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question.

21 Q. You filed this motion October 28, 2016, correct?

22 A. I don't remember the exact date.

23 Q. If I was to show you and that's the date that  
24 it's stamped by the clerk's office, you wouldn't dispute  
25 that, right?

- #6. Dr Kit Harrison found the defendant incompetent to proceed to Trial on 12/30/2015 (appointed D-34 ps 174)
- #7. Dr Maureen Burras on 10/8/2014 found the defendant incompetent to proceed to trial (app #23)
- #8. At 12/30/2015 and 10/8/2014 the defendant TRUETT was in psychosis and unable to Communicate effectively
- #9. On 11/17/2018 Dr Wendy Elliot found the defendant incompetent although the defendant was Not, he was asserting the truth, Trial Court was attempting to force me into an incompetence finding - Proven in Ground #6. (PS 25)
- #10. After the defendant, without Counsel as proven in ground #14, proved his competence on 4/11/2018, the defendant spoke with Dr Nance at the Jail ~~and~~ asking for something to sedate him and keep him calm because of the abuse by the Sheriff's office. Jail and Tri County Records prove this.
- #11. The defendant had been speaking with Counselor Kimberly Peoples for months about abuse by the Sheriff but that he would wait until he proved himself Competent to ask for medicine.
- #12. From 4/15/2018 - 5/1/2018 Records will show the defendant Consistently took 50 mg of Zoloft (Appendix #102)
- #13. Starting 5/1/2018, the defendant quit taking the zoloft because it incapacitated him and took his motivation to research his case. It made the defendant ~~consistently~~ passive so he refused it during trial.
- #14. Records at Trial prove Judge Hamilton forced the defendant to take medication, implying to the jury that the defendant was crazy, and the defendant protested, as proven in #1-4.
- #15. Dr Cropp at Skyview mental Hospital on 6/2018 tried various medications on defendant, forced, and the defendant, forced by doctor Cropp and that medication makes him unresponsive and passive. Dr Cropp forced the defendant to take 100 mg of zoloft against his wishes and the defendant protested that the medication made him a zombie. Records prove this (Appendix #57)
- #16. Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation, Pg. 7, 4th Paragraph states, "Mr. Weter was transferred to outpatient follow up at the same ~~same~~ facility, 7/7/2014. He was seen again on 7/15/2014 in an improved condition, He reported to be waking up to be waking up "drowsy", sleeps through the night, more stable, depression, denies psychosis... only concern is being drowsy in the morning."
- #1-5 prove the defendant was down to 117 lbs at trial for some reason
- Ground 11 proves the defendant was being physically sleepdeprived and psychologically tortured for 33 months prior to trial
- #6-7 proves the defendant known to be induced into psychosis
- #9-10 and ground #6 prove the defendant forced into a finding of incompetence so he refused medical help for abuse. <sup>for 2 weeks, to ease the suffering by the Sheriff</sup> ~~the medication~~
- #11-13 prove after Competency Victory, defendant tried medication ~~for~~ 2 weeks, the week of the Trial.
- #14. proves defendant voluntarily quit medication medication after 2 weeks, the week of the Trial.
- #15. Proves 8 weeks later, defendant told treatment team that zoloft inhibits him and incapacitates him at SkyView Hospital
- #16. Proves as far back as 2014 the defendant always complained medication made him drowsy and he couldn't work
- The aforementioned reasons #1-17 prove psychotropic medication, for years, has caused the defendant drowsiness so that he couldn't work. Weighing 117 pounds, tortured, terrorized, sleep deprived (even the Texas Rangers ~~invest~~ investigated the defendant was forced to take medication. The totality of the evidence rendered the defendant incompetent and unable to adequately present an effective defense to the jury ~~and~~ requiring a New Trial.

1 Judge, I asked if I can ask him about the  
2 records.

3 THE COURT: Yes, he can go into that.

4 MR. YATES: Can I use the restroom real  
5 quick, Judge?

6 THE COURT: How long do you have?

7 MR. JAMES: If we can take a quick break.  
8 I may just have a couple more questions. I want to read  
9 one thing too.

10 THE COURT: So do you want to just break  
11 for lunch.

12 MR. YATES: Judge, I got to go.

13 (Bench conference ends.)

14 THE COURT: All right. So at this time we  
15 have a couple of things that is we need to take care of,  
16 so we are going to break for lunch. We also have some  
17 things that we need to take care of during lunch, so  
18 you're going to have a little longer lunch today.

19 During the break, you are again instructed  
20 not to discuss the case with anyone, not to remain within  
21 hearing of anyone discussing the case.

22 We will see you back at 1:30. Thank.  
23 you-all so much.

24 All rise for the jury.

25 (Jury exits the courtroom.)

### Issue stated Ground # 6

This issue is Complex and Multi faceted. As the Statement of the Case / memorandum explains Section III(c)(A) explains in detail. (Pg. 20)

- #1. All 5 psychiatric doctors were knowledgeable of F.I.S.A Program and its effects on my state of mind,
- #2. All 5 psychiatric doctors intentionally denied F.I.S.A Calling me delusional with ideas of reference
- #3. All 5 doctors intentionally lied blaming me for drug abuse and mental illness instead of acknowledging the F.I.S.A programs Calculated intent to apply substances and procedures to induce psychosis to Cause the Murder of Michelle Becker, as a Government Operation.
- #4. All 5 doctors intentionally Caused me to be found incompetent with a mental illness as a defense to the investigation by Texas Bar Investigation and Texas Rangers, Active at the time, investigations.

### Summary of Argument

The Trial Court Created a Conflict of interest by dismissing Joseph Kipple due to an investigation by the Texas Bar. The Trial Court used Psychiatric reports by 5 doctors denying Material Fact, that I am under F.I.S.A Program and instead portrayed me in the most unfavorable light by misconstruing the effects of F.I.S.A. Program as drug induced delusions. The Trial Court also used these 5 psychiatric evils to illegally deny the abuse the defendant endured at the hands of the Sheriff office by Claiming I have a mental illness. The Trial Court then altered evidence as proven in ground # 7 and 8 to portray on record that an investigation had been done but no evidence surfaced. Yet again more evidence against the defendant at Trial - Documents Prove this much - ground # 7, 8, 9 Period.

This Proof of Doctors lying to Conceal evidence proves a conflict of interest and an effective trial requiring a New Trial in a fair Venue.

Equally as important, this is a "prima facie" showing of evidence to prove a Murder Conspiracy is being Conceded by a Court, Sheriff and overlooked by 5 state Agencies. All Agencies are being forced Not to investigate by the U.S Department of Justice. The defendant has a civil suit pending in the U.S District Court, Southern District of Texas Case # 4:18-cv-04855 to prove this Conspiracy.

### Argument and Authority

#### Section A - I am on F.I.S.A Programs Proof

- #1. Exhibit H1-H5 is a motion to quash requests for F.I.S.A material on grounds of a Classified ongoing investigations. Absolute Proof from the U.S. Attorney's office.
- #2. Appendix # — document from U.S Inspector General that my Complaints of F.I.S.A and Torture from the Montgomery County Sheriff's office, is an authorized Justice Department Program. Both Documents are admitted on Record at Trial proving that the Court knew before the Trial.

Proof of F.I.S.A and Torture under Federal Jurisdiction ESTABLISHED

Section B - Proof from Doctors, for 4 years, FISA Program Caused me to go into Psychosis

- #2. Appendix 65 proves over 51 times I asked Police, US DEA, US FBI, 2 Psych Hospitals, Travis County Courts, Tri County mental health for literal help from F.I.S.A. Never did I Lie.

1 Q. And then in April of 2013, you told the police  
2 that you had been using meth?

3 A. That was a one-time use that you are attempting  
4 to portray as constant meth abuse. Yes, April 2013, I  
5 was also just off of probation where I had been tested by  
6 the Williamson County Probation Department for the prior  
7 18 months and was sober the entire time.

8 Q. So as soon as you were off of being monitored,  
9 you started using meth?

10 A. No, if you really want the truth --

11 Q. No, Mr. Weter --

12 A. -- no --

13 Q. -- no. Just answer my question then. At some  
14 point --

15 A. I admitted to using meth one time.

16 Q. Did you tell Tri-County that you've been using  
17 it for a week prior to being arrested back in May 2013?

18 A. No, I didn't. Those are edited documents that I  
19 have been trying to dispute.

20 Q. So everything in the Tri-County records that is  
21 bad for you is false?

22 A. No, everything that they document to corroborate  
23 the statement that I gave to Dr. Kit Harrison and defense  
24 is covering the Sheriff's Office illegal activities is  
25 false.

- #3. Appendix 8 proves in Summer of 2014 I was transferred by ambulance to Brackenridge Psychiatric Emergency Center in Psychosis for Punishing myself in a hot car by sodomizing myself with a bottle on F.I.S.A for the world to see, as instructed. (P 27)
- #4. Appendix #65 proves in July 2014 I went to speak with Agent Steve Morrison of the Counterintelligence division of the Houston FBI where I ~~explained~~ Complained of F.I.S.A on Camera.
- #5. Appendix C proves on 7/14/2014 I was checked into the Conroe Psych. Emergency Treatment Center and to quote "he is quite paranoid, believing some country or powerfull "entity" has attached a brain scanner to him that allows the whole world and especially 310,000,000 people in America to hear and understand all his thoughts and motives and plans, that it has obliterated his life and although not Suicidal or Homicidal, he is overwhelmed and has given up. He is up all night pacing and Auditory Hallucinations tell him not to eat or drink. Significant weight loss in the past 2 weeks.
- #6. Dr. Kit Harrison's Sanity Evaluation Pg 14 of 31, I quoted to Dr Harrison, "I was insane without a ~~date~~ doubt. He refused to offer me an explanation, "I am not taking responsibility for the death."
- #7. Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation Pg 8 of 31 (appendix #22). Dr Harrison quotes Dr Burrows report on 10/2014 It was later reported that Mr Water believed the Co-worker had sexually assaulted his fiance during a paranoid period of delusions and hallucinations.
- #8. Appendix E, Tri County Record printed on 2/6/2018 at 10:09, Case # 1068629 Pg 3 of 6, 12/4/2016 proves I reported F.I.S.A. "He reported of Triage over a month ago an elaborate scheme by FBI, CIA, and other agencies to monitor him with various devices. He also had been perseverating about fiance being raped. After pressure from these beliefs became too much, he attacked a coworker to layoff.
- #9. Appendix D, Tri County Medical Record, Case 1068629 Pg 3 of 5, 6/25/15 at 7:10 pm states at 8/4/15 2 days before the murder. "Client contacted RN LIZ of Psychiatric Emergency Treatment Center at 8:21 Am reported being off of his meds and Complained his mood was unstable" "He reported that he did walk into P.E.T.C (Hospital) this morning and request prescriptions for himself. Client reported he can't accept inpatient at this time because he can't miss work.
- #10. Appendix D, Tri County Record, Case 1068629 Pg 3 of 5, 6/25/15 at 7:10 states "Amhp" on 8/6/2015 follow up Call made to dispatch at MCSO (Montgomery County Sheriff) for a welfare check on client. Dispatch indicated officer went to residence. No answer at door, no response to phone. No vehicle parked. Amhp Provided details of how he had left work due to vomiting and acting erratic.
- Pg 4 of 5 when calling Client answered and Confirmed he was sent home from work today. While Trying to engage Client in Conversation he responded with Comments like, "it doesn't matter" and "I don't know". Client reported his mood was low and marriage was rocky. Due to Client Stressors, him feeling hopeless, and him not having family support, Clinician asked if the Client would be open to inpatient P.E.T.C if he could be discharged before he had to go to work. Client was Agreeable.

1 with him and they continued to try -- they walked me --  
2 they forced me down there.

3 If my eyes were closed continually, how  
4 would I use the phone to call my family? There is a  
5 direct conflict there. My eyes were open every time. I  
6 refused to speak to him because I was being threatened.

7 Q. So the answer to my question then, yes, you  
8 refused to open my eyes?

9 A. I refused to speak to Dr. Harrison, yes.

10 Q. And your eyes were closed during this time,  
11 correct?

12 A. I refused to walk. I refused to go to that  
13 room. And they were dragging me out of that room.

14 Q. Mr. Weter, it is not a difficult question, sir.

15 A. I understand. I'm getting on the record my  
16 side. But, yes, I refused.

17 Q. And we talked about earlier. You had that  
18 opportunity earlier. And you will probably have that  
19 opportunity again.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Okay. Again, you refused to open your eyes the  
22 two initial times he came to meet with you, correct?

23 A. The first time, not the second.

24 Q. And, in fact, the first several court dates when  
25 you came to court you also refused to open your eyes,

Next note states Tri County Called the defendant back and the defendant said he would go pick up his meds. The document (my only copy) is in the appendix, please review it. (Pg 28)

11. Dr Harrison Competency evaluation Pg 9, appendix Q-33 states "although he appears to have been able to impart autobiographical facts, events and states of mind to mental health professionals, during his course of inpatient and outpatient treatment as recently as 8/3/2015. It is also likely he sustained a severe episode around the time he was discharged from his employment and sent home on 8/6/2015.
- #12. 5 hours later, Michelle Becker was executed, nearly decapitated, in front of her children, live on F.I.S.A as proven by #1 and #2. This means the police knew my address in #10 but went to the wrong address on purpose when Tri ~~County~~ County Called for a welfare check. This proves 5 sheriffs who responded within 5 minutes could have intervened "on accident" because they knew of the premeditated murder. The Machete was purchased at 7pm. The murder occurred at 9pm. 2 hours of planning the Sheriff knew and watched.
- #1 and 2 Prove existence of F.I.S.A and that the defendant is Nuerally Linked to every person on earth by the U.S.
- #2 proves 51 times over 4 years the defendant looked for help but the government refused.
- #3, 4, 5 prove F.I.S.A Caused Psychosis by Causing the defendant "Not to think" so the defendant went to FBI and 2 hospitals for emergency treatment.
- #5 - Proves physical actual psychosis ~~by~~ due to F.I.S.A by Dr Sneed - Not dellusions for claiming F.I.S.A. True Psychosis
- #6 - Defendant tried to explain to Dr Harrison without admitting guilt.
- #8, 9, 10, 11 - Prove 3 days before murder, defendant reached out for emergency help because of F.I.S.A. Tri County disbotched Montgomery County but they went to the wrong address.
- #12. Proves 5 Sheriffs knowingly watched the murder.
- All doctors and Police, Judges, Lawyers and Prosecutors were knowledgeable of the sheriffs involvement because of F.I.S.A and the defendant Claiming Conspiracy as of 9/3/2016. (appendix O-4,5,6.) Instead all doctors, lawyers, Judges, and Sheriffs denied F.I.S.A as proven in ground #6 and Fabricated video evidence and blood evidence as proven in Grand #7 and 8.
- Conflict of Interest Attaches because the Sheriff and Trial Court have to Conceal evidence to keep from exposing there participation in the Crime - Murder
- #2-12 prove multiple doctors state I became enduced into "extreme psychotic episode" as Dr Harrison put it in #11 or Auditory Hallucination telling him not to eat or drink, there had been significant weight loss proving True Psychosis but the people telling me not to eat were NOT dellusions, #5. This is explained in factual innocence, Grand #15. However these Records establish that
- All DOCTORS were Aware that F.I.S.A Caused me to go into Psychosis and I was not Dellusional.



1 correctly portray the evidence against the State as I am  
2 now again attempting to do.

3 Q. So just so the jury understand, your initial  
4 attorney was a man named Joseph Krippel, right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. He was appointed when you were first booked in  
7 the jail back on August 6, August 7, 2015, right?

8 A. Probably.

9 Q. And he represented you up through 2016 through  
10 some hearings we had in early 2017, right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And after that point he requested -- I'm sorry.

13 In 2016, Dr. Harrison evaluated you for  
14 competency, correct?

15 Let me back up.

16 In 2015, he first came twice to evaluate  
17 you for competency, correct?

18 A. He first came 2015.

19 Q. And you refused to open your eyes?

20 A. I was being threatened by inmates to not have  
21 anything to do with the department. I was attempting to  
22 reach out to my family to make sure they were safe. So I  
23 did not open my eyes because I refused to speak with  
24 them. They tried to pull me out of my cell. So I closed  
25 my eyes so that they would not try and force me to speak

Section C - All doctors from Montgomery County reviewed medical records in Section B, so they knew of F.I.S.A and that it caused me to go into psychosis.

P329

- #1. Dr Harrison knew - Proof - Page 7 of Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation he quotes Dr Sneed's from Tri County Psychiatric Emergency Center - Concerning Brain Scan device and delusions and Not eating - Appendix HH #7
- #2. Dr Wendy Elliot knew - Proof - Pg 2 of her evaluation Dr Elliot states she reviewed (A) Competency Eval by Dr Burrows (B) Competency Eval by Dr Harrison (C) Tri County Mental Health Records.
- (A) Dr Burrows stated, as referenced in Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation P8, 3rd paragraph, "it was later reported that Mr Dieter believed that the Coworker sexually Assaulted his fiance during a period of delusions and hallucinations. Appx HH #8
- (B) Pg 3 of Dr Harrison's Competency Evaluation - Appendix G-28. Dr Harrison states Concerning Dr Sneed's evaluation of Tri County Psych Emergency Treatment Center. "That he apparently went through Court ordered psychiatric Treatment and was started on Rembran but the medicine produced Clinical worsenings and there were prominent paranoid delusions, including ideas of reference that some Country Attached a brain scan device to his head which allows everyone in America to hear his thoughts.
- #3. Dr Massey knew of F.I.S.A - Proof - Pg 8 of Dr Massey's Competency Evaluation he states on #4 Concerning his theory that the FBI is involved in Michelle Beckers murder. I drew this not as psychosis but as a desperate ploy on his part.

Section C - Proof Dr Burrows, Dr Elliot, Dr Harrison and Dr Massey all reviewed and knew of F.I.S.A Conspiracy. Proof Established

Section D - Instead of Authentically acknowledge F.I.S.A and it's effects on my state of mind at the time of the offense. All doctors defamed me blaming my Accusations of F.I.S.A Conspiracy on Drug Induced Hallucinations and simultaneously using the sheriffs to threaten my witnesses, jury and family to coincide the states agenda - to conceal the Conspiracy - as proven in ground #10 and ~~investigating~~ instigating a Corrupt investigation by admitting altered video, altered blood specimens and Fictional psychiatric evaluations as proven in ground #6, 7, 8.

#1. Dr Burrows denied meth abuse in 10/2014 and was trying to genuinely help me. Proof of this is in Dr Harrison's Sanity Eval on P.8 3rd and 4th Paragraph, "Another Competence evaluation occurred on 10/8/2014 by Dr Burrows." There was no mention of methamphetamine contributing to the diagnosis. I was not on meth when the assault occurred and Dr Burrows wrote it correctly that my Claims of F.I.S.A were delusions with ideas of reference.

Dr Harrison in his Sanity Eval on Pg 8, paragraph 3 misconstrues the original eval by stating, "On May 1st 2013 Mr Dieter was reportedly admitted to taking methamphetamine and was hallucinating. It was later reported that Mr Dieter believed that a Coworker had sexually assaulted his fiancee during a period of delusions and hallucinations. - Next Paragraph, Dr Harrison states Dr Burrows did not mention meth Contributing to the Diagnosis.

1 right?

2 A. And was granted hybrid representation by Judge  
3 Hamilton less than 180 days ago, which proves my  
4 competence, was granted and presented all of my own  
5 motions to which defense attorney Yates has turned in the  
6 last 90 days. I write all of my own motions.

7 Q. And what is hybrid representation?

8 A. I have -- I am essentially co-counsel with my  
9 defense counsel. I preserve -- I'm allowed to file  
10 motions on my behalf and speak on my own behalf.

11 Q. And you ask for hybrid representation because  
12 your prior attorney, Mr. Krippel, he was asking you to be  
13 evaluated for competency, right?

14 A. No, I asked for hybrid representation because  
15 after reviewing the thousand pages of discovery  
16 Mr. Krippel gave me, as I've written the letter I gave to  
17 Dr. Harrison and Dr. Elliott and the Department of  
18 Justice, after reviewing the thousand pages of discovery  
19 and finding numerous, numerous flaws, such as the DNA  
20 errors, such as the 911 logs, such as the police being in  
21 two places at once documented, such as...

22 Q. So you have gone through all of the evidence  
23 then?

24 A. I have gone through some of the evidence, not  
25 all of it.

Dr Harrison completely slanders the defendant with the most hateful lies he can conceive by going through my memory using F.I.S.A Surveillance and Creating a Narrative where he claims my godfather, Terry O'Neal, claimed to have said all of the misconstrued facts that Dr Harrison compiled in his 10 page fictional narrative in his sanity evaluation. Under Confidentiality my god father Terry O'Neal will tell you that he was threatened not to speak at our F.I.S.A by the Sheriffs of Montgomery County in ground #10. (Pg 6)

Dr Harrison's Narrative in the Sanity Evaluation set the agenda of the states Psychological Evaluations. Each doctor Complained and Compounded Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation which Completely Contradicts previous, "Authentic Evaluations" that were designed to help me by Dr Coons 5/2013, Dr Burrows 10/2014 and Dr Sneed 7/2014.

All doctors prior to Dr Harrison Claimed my literal accusations of F.I.S.A Program were delusions but portrayed me in a favorable light even denying methamphetamine abuse in Dr Burrows Evaluation in #1 of Section D of Ground #6 (Previous Page) proves this. Dr Harrison writes 3 reports. I summarize accurately

#1) Competency Evaluation on 12/30/2015 - Dr Burrows and Tri County Records are reviewed and Dr Harrison states that I am still in psychosis with a mental illness because I would not open my eyes. The evaluation was accurate and I was declared Not Competent to proceed. Appendix JJ #1-5.  
I was still not thinking because F.I.S.A Allowed the world to know my thoughts. I snapped out of Psychosis because of sleep deprivation and Torture by the Sheriff in December 2015.

#2) Competency Eval #2 on 1/29/2016, Appendix KK #1-11. It is clear that I have realized that the Conspiracy and the Abuse were by the Sheriff was worsening. Dr Harrison spends 3 pages explaining that I was Competent. On Pg 6 appendix KK #6, Dr Harrison discusses 3 incidents at the jail where I was fighting back because of the harassment, like people throwing urine or stealing my wrist band so that I couldn't make Commissary, because I was the "DEA" and no one was allowed to be the "DEA".  
Pg 9 Dr Harrison describes F.I.S.A Complaints to Dr Burrows and Tri County's Dr Sneed. Evidence from Medical and psychiatric Records, does suggest a number of previous delusional facets to this mans thinking (eg transient ideas of reference, mixed jealousy and persecutory beliefs) interweave with other features to constitute transient Paranoid episodes during acute or subacute phases of the underlying Condition.

This Proves Dr Harrison knew of F.I.S.A but declared it delusions - This eval declares me Competent.

#3. Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation, Appendix HH #1-30 - 12/29/2016  
By 12/2016 I had Completely figured out that the Sheriff intentionally overlooked the murder of my wife by a federal official and was slandering the republicans using F.I.S.A. I had exposed this to the world and the officials were angry because they were trying to influence the election. The officials ordered Judge Hamilton and the Sheriff to do all they could to diminish my Credibility to the world on F.I.S.A. This was why I refused Dr Elliotts eval unless it was recorded. The evaluation was recorded - Appendix #27.

1 you're saying?

2 A. I don't think that you are going to allow me to  
3 present the evidence to prove that I am competent.

4 Q. Mr. Weter, it's a "yes" or "no" question. Do  
5 you think I want you to be found incompetent, "yes" or  
6 "no"?

7 A. I can't judge your thought processes. I know  
8 you are not going to allow me to present evidence to  
9 prove my competence.

10 Q. Well, let's talk about your competency. Do you  
11 know what you are charged with? I am not asking you what  
12 you are charged with. But do you know what you are  
13 charged with?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. You understand that range of punishment, right?

16 A. Five to ninety-nine.

17 Q. The potential consequences of being convicted,  
18 right?

19 A. Yes..

20 Q. Are you aware of the pertinent facts of the  
21 case?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. In fact, you have written many letters to the  
24 Court and motions rather meticulously, going through the  
25 evidence against you and trying to point out flaws in it,

#3(A) Appendix O-<sup>4</sup> 4,5,6 is a letter on 9/8/2016 to Dr Harrison, Judge Hamilton and the FBI that proves I was claiming a Conspiracy by the Government and Trial Court / Sheriff. (P 31)

(B) Pg 2 of Sanity States I claimed to be insane but was not pursuing an N.G.P.I. defense because it was not my fault and I wouldn't Confess to murder. Paragraph 2,3,4,5 prove this.

(C) Pg 5, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph "Although he was not seemingly diagnosed with a fetal drug and alcohol syndrome, such certainly seemed likely... his mother was a continuous polydrug addict during pregnancy.

(No documents say this, Dr Harrison goes through my memory on F.I.S.A.)

(D) Paragraph 2 - "John reportedly met an exotic dancer at a strip club and fell in love, although he dated other women simultaneously, according to the O'neals."

(Dr Harrison is calling my wife a whore and me a cheater. This is untrue. My wife was Not a whore!)  
The O'neals did not say this but were threatened to not speak out.

(E) Paragraph 3 "John reportedly, spontaneously and without rational cause suddenly attacked a male co-worker with a screwdriver during a psychotic episode."

Section C<sup>4</sup> 2A - Dr Harrison states Pg 8 of Competency eval, 3<sup>rd</sup> Paragraph, in Dr Burrows Report "it was later reported that Mr Wehr believed that a Coworker Sexually Assaulted his fiancée during a period of delusions and hallucinations. - 12 months later... Dr Harrison states it was for No reason!

Because of F.I.S.A. we all know what happened. The US Government told me James Freeman was raping my wife to get me to assault him on F.I.S.A. to blame the Republicans.

Dr Burrows stated it correctly and in a light favorable in 10/2014

Dr Harrison first found me incompetent, then competent but with notations of problems at the Jail by 2/2016.  
Dr Harrison Completely misconstrues the Sanity Evaluation to portray me as a delusional drug addict because I was blaming the Sheriff and Government for murder and could prove it - Appendix O-<sup>4</sup> 4,5,6

THIS PROVES A CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

F) Page 6, states "Mr O'neal did not know what caused a more catatonic-looking, detached and vacant young man who was more permanently paranoid and suspicious. He was this way even when not on drugs stated Mr O'neal."  
This is a Lie and Mr O'neal will tell you so

G) Pg 7, "His mother was a drug addict prostitute who shot his father..."

H) Pg 11, "An officer at the jail indicated he has a tattoo of a machete on his chest but due to the circumstances that tattoo was not investigated. The relevance of the tattoo remains unknown.

I do not have a tattoo of a machete on my chest, or a tattoo of a Machete at all. "Tattoo" was a figurative way of saying torture, on the F.I.S.A program. Dr Harrison was alluding that I was being tortured without investigation because I was a bad person. This justified the actions of the Sheriff as I read the document to the Public using the F.I.S.A Program. - This proves Conflict of interest -

Allusions are discernable in the Court of Law with Circumstantial Evidence on Discretionary Basis...

Lyons v McCotter, 770 F.2d 589 (5th Circuit 1997)

1 e-mail that I printed off with Joe Krippel saying that he  
2 has countered with 20. He was competent in saying the 20  
3 and he said he has not pursued a plea bargain, Judge.  
4 One, that is not true.

5 Two, a lot of his conduct on this stuff  
6 started after that plea bargaining in process. He had  
7 never been sending any letters to the court alleging a  
8 conspiracy. He started getting rather meticulous in his  
9 case in his allegations about mistakes made by the  
10 Sheriff's Department after we told him, no, we are not  
11 willing to take 20.

12 Additionally, it goes back to similar  
13 things that we see in the Tri-County records. In those  
14 records, he is offered five years in prison. He said  
15 he's offered five years in prison by Travis County and  
16 that's why he wants to do a competency restoration. He  
17 was offered five years in prison and he is hoping to get  
18 probation.

19 THE COURT: I think when he says he's not  
20 pursuing a plea bargain, he means like now, at this time.  
21 Presently he is not pursuing a plea bargain.

22 MR. YATES: I have never heard of a plea  
23 bargain.

24 MR. JAMES: Since you have been on the case  
25 is after he made the accusations about everybody.

I) Pg 23 "Importantly, although John seems to have had a history of ADHD and Hyperactivity, he never had a history of overt psychiatric problems until he started using Copious amounts of illicit drugs." Pg 22

Appendix H#21A - Transcript from Competency Trial, line 21 - "when did he start talking about a Brain Scanner?"  
21(B), line 7 - "in his late 20's .. Line 14 "and would it surprise you that there is no mention of any sort of brain scanner in any prior arrests, that wouldn't surprise you would it?" Line 24 - Are you aware he has a substance Abuse History Correct? Including Methamphetamine. Line 24 "And he was using meth heavily around the time he started talking about brain scanners."

"And in his conversation with the officers 5/1/2015 he admitted using meth Correct?"

"And in his conversation with officers, no mention of brain scanner Correct?"

Appx H#D Line 3-8 - "And you are aware that the first time brain scanners comes up is when you took John to Tri County on July 3 2014"

Prosecutor James proves on the stand I DID NOT Claim brain scanners or hallucinations, until 7/4/2014 because I was trying to avoid prosecution in Austin and that I was a meth addict.

Dr Harrison Claims meth Caused hallucinations that Caused me to assault a Co worker for No reason.

Section D- 3E Ground 6.

Dr Burrows and Tri County State F.I.S.A was "delusions" but there was no history of meth abuse but the pressure was to much so I assaulted a Co worker ~~for the reason~~ to lay off. ground 6, section D-1

This proves Dr Harrison and the District Attorney blamed every F.I.S.A related delusions or action on meth abuse when it Contradicts each other.

J) Pg 31 of Sonity eval "in closing, the letter from Mr Water submitted to this examiner seems to reflect his thoughts on his outright innocence, not his insanity, but also may reflect evidence of his ongoing mental illness, on the surface the letters seem to reflect a delusional thought process."

#1. Section D#1 Dr Kit Harrison knew of F.I.S.A - A#1, 2, B#7, C#1, #2A, 2B - Prove this FACT

#2. Section B#2, 3, 6, 7, 11 Dr Harrison knew F.I.S.A Caused true Psychosis - Proof

#3. Section C#1, 2A, 2B - Dr Harrison knew F.I.S.A was being caused delusions without drugs - Proof

#4. Section D#1 - Dr Harrison knew I had No History of Meth Causing Delusions - Proof

#5. Section D#1 - D#3(A)(B) - Dr Harrison knew that I was blaming the Government and Sheriff for murder - Proof

#6. Section D#1, D#2, D#3(C)(D)(E)(F)(G) Dr Harrison misconstrues Dr Burrows and paints me as a delusional  
Carrie meth addict - despite #4 - proof of No meth History - Proof

Dr Harrison knew of F.I.S.A being Called Delusions without Meth abuse, Then to protect the Government and Sheriff after 9/3/2016, painted me a delusional meth addict to protect from my Claims of Conspiracy

#7. Section D#3(I) proves DA Andrew James Conflicted Dr Harrison's attempt to portray me as a meth addict in court and my mother, Carol O'neal Denied it. Proof



1 have got left in life, it's a noble cause. Only you-all  
2 can give me that. Thanks.

3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Yates, do you  
4 have any --

5 MR. YATES: I just have a couple of  
6 follow-up questions, Judge.

7 THE COURT: Go ahead.

8 Q. (By Mr. Yates) Mr. Weter, you stated that you  
9 lived at CC. Are you talking about Corpus Christi? That  
10 was right at the first, when you said where you lived at  
11 and you said CC?

12 A. I never lived in Corpus Christi.

13 Q. Maybe I misunderstood you. I thought you said  
14 CC.

15 A. I lived at -- no, I'm sorry. I lived -- I  
16 worked for -- I drew a blank now.

17 For nine months -- from September of 2012,  
18 no, excuse me -- September of 2012 until May of 2013, I  
19 worked for CEC. I'm sorry. CEC Electric in Austin,  
20 Texas, called CEC Electrical.

21 Q. So you were saying where you were working at?

22 A. That is where I built the data center in  
23 Houston, CyrusOne, when they started FISA 702  
24 surveillance -- I believe it is FISA -- I know it was  
25 surveillance. I believe it was FISA 702. The only

A) Dr Elliot Read Dr Burrows and Dr Harrisons report and Could see the difference

#1. Pg 2 of Dr Elliotts evaluation states Dr Elliot received both reports - Proof

B) Dr Elliot knew J was claiming a F.I.S.A Conspiracy involving the U.S. Government, Sheriff and Trial Court

#1. Pg 5 of Dr Elliotts Evaluation - "He reportedly referenced a conspiracy involving the DEA, FBI, Montgomery and Travis County related to his legal case" - Proof

C) Dr Elliot knew that F.I.S.A was being called "Delusions" and knew of No meth abuse History by Dr Burrow.

#1. Pg 3 and 4 of Dr Elliotts Evaluation, last and first paragraph "Dr Burrows evaluated Mr Weter and found him Not Competent to stand trial due to the presence of significant delusional beliefs related to Nuzel Monitoring and his girlfriend - Proof

D) Dr Elliot knew F.I.S.A was real

#1. Ground 6, section A#1 and 2 - Proof

E) Dr Elliot, knowing about F.I.S.A (B)(D) diagnoses defendant with a mental illness he does not have and declares him incompetent to proceed on 11/17/2018 because he claimed FBI Conspiracy.

#1. Pg 5 and 6 of Dr Elliotts evaluation, "His statement during this evaluation regarding a Government Conspiracy connected to his legal case, the possibility of being monitored by the CIA and his fears of being significantly harmed or killed by jail staff are consistent with a paranoid delusional belief structure he reported in the past while psychotic. Although Previous Clinicians have considered his psychotic symptoms to be connected to a mood disorder his presentation during this meeting was consistent with a stand alone mental illness. At this time a diagnosis of schizophrenia is prudent. - Proof

F) Defendant preserved on Record in 8/2017 and 9/2017, that the Competency Evaluation was corrupt to force the defendant to refuse the evaluation unless it was recorded. It was

#1. Appendix #28 - Copy of record stating defendants objections - proof

#2. Appendix #27 - record of evaluation proving I Claimed on 11/17/18 that the Court was forcing me to be incompetent with a mental illness to quash the Texas Bar investigation - before the evaluation was done. - Proof

#3. Page 6 of Dr Elliotts Evaluation states, "He repeatedly stated the alleged victim was an informant for the F.B.I who was being monitored by government Agencies. Through his reading and written discussions about his case. Mr Weter highlighted his belief that the evidence against him was being Fabricated including DNA and Photographic evidence. He went on to state Montgomery County would ultimately take away his ability to defend himself by excluding every form of proof he come up with. Mr Weter went on to state his preferred path to handle this case would be in a Federal Courtroom.

G) Montgomery County, Altered Video, Blood and Documents to Cover the Conspiracy.

#1. Ground #6 proves 4 doctors wrote fake opinions knowingly - Proof

#2. Ground #7 proves Montgomery County tampered with Blood evidence - Proof

#3. Ground #8 proves the District Attorney edited Video Evidence - Proof

1 (Requested excerpt begins.)

2 THE COURT: Okay. So this witness -- this  
3 is the lady from yesterday afternoon?

4 MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Okay. I put her under oath  
6 after you-all were in the jury room, like at the end, so  
7 she has been sworn in.

8 Good morning. Welcome back. Okay. So  
9 just -- I need you to do me a favor before you get  
10 started. Deep breaths. Don't be nervous.

11 THE WITNESS: Too late for that.

12 THE COURT: Do you see on that little  
13 monitor right there?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 THE COURT: Can you hit the button where it  
16 says "power on." Just touch it. Thank you.

17 And so there is your microphone over here.  
18 You are still under oath from yesterday, so make sure you  
19 tell the truth.

20 THE WITNESS: I plan on it.

21 THE COURT: And if for some reason you get  
22 excited and go to push that chair back, there's a ledge  
23 behind you, and you're going to take a tumble.

24 THE WITNESS: I don't want to take a  
25 tumble.

Section D#3 - Dr Ronald Massey Evaluation 1/8/18

(A) On 10/21/2018 The defendant objected to Dr Massey's Evaluation because the Trial Court was using Medical Opinion to force the defendant into an incompetence...with a mental illness under False pretenses of F.I.S.A being a drug induced Delusion by Compounding medical opinions. (P334)

#1. Appendix #27 and #28 - Reporters record from 10/21/2018 prove I preserved the record - Proof

(B) All Jurors, witnesses and doctors were threatened to find me incompetent at my Competency Trial

#1. Appendix # Exhibit X an transcript from my Competency Trial stating, "There is no way they can harass or attack all 12 of your family's like they threatened to do last night on Camera Cell 241D, if you would like to call the FBI and have them record that - I preserved the issue 11/9/2018. The Jury found me Competent.

#2. Appendix II is a Copy of the record from Trial where I state to the Jury, "Pg 80 of Cross exam by Mr. Jones line 17-23. -" on the surface you do but I'm not being allowed to present evidence that proves in a Conspiratorial environment that evidence is being hidden, manufactured, on the surface you are presenting that. The agenda of the Court is to find me incompetent to protect the interests of the Montgomery County Sheriff's office.

- Proof I preserved -

#3. Appendix JJ, Pg 20 of record of Trial at Competency Trial line 15-22, "I am trying to get an investigation through the Department of Justice now. Mounds of evidence has been fabricated. I have been raising concerns the entire time. They are trying to get me on insanity or incompetency defense while they have been torturing me, sleep depriving me - Proof - I was forced into incompetence was preserved on Record Proof

#4. Appendix #19 - witnesses called state and Federal Agencies - Proof

(C) Dr Massey knew of F.I.S.A Conspiracy and its being called Delusions.

#1. Pg 1 of Dr Massey's Report "Reviewed Tri County MHMR Records - Proof

#2. Pg 8 of Dr Massey's Report "Concerning his theory of the FBI being involved in Michelle Beckers Murder, I view this not as psychosis but as a desperate play on his part." - Proof

(D) Dr Massey Claims not to have read Dr Harrison's report, but blames F.I.S.A on drug induced delusions.

#1. Page 1 of Dr Massey's Eval, Dr Massey states "I learned from Mrs Brown that the State and defense were interested in a fresh look at Mr Waters Competency because different opinioners reached different conclusions. I am aware of the results of the previous conclusions but have neither seen nor read them. Proof

#2. Pg 2 of Dr Massey's Evaluation - "interview with defendant for 13 minutes" - Proof I refused.

#3. Pg 4 of Dr Massey's Evaluation "Throughout his life the Bipolar diagnosis from Tri County is Mr Waters only serious diagnosis of mental illness" - Proof I am not with a mental illness.

#4. Pg 4 of Dr Massey's eval, "If he had been using meth this could explain psychotic features explained by Tri County Mental Health. Proof Dr Massey blamed F.I.S.A ~~on~~ on Drug Abuse.

Pg. 264

137

3 / Bode # E04	Two DNA swabs (ONE SAMPLE) from suspected bloodstain on hood of Kia Rio (Texas GBY-7821), operated by Suspect.	Bode Cellmark  Blood indicated  DNA consistent w/ female contributor (FEMALE 1) (DNA matches Victim / 1 in 10 sextillion U.S. Caucasians)  Suspect (Weter Jr.) is excluded.
4 / Bode # E05	Two DNA swabs (ONE SAMPLE) from suspected bloodstain on the face of John Dee Weter Jr. (W/M, DOB-02/11/1984) - (Taken at scene / SUSPECT).	Bode Cellmark  Blood indicated  DNA consistent w/ mixture of 2 individuals including major female contributor (FEMALE 1) & <u>alleles consistent w/ the suspect</u> (Major component matches Victim / 1 in 10 sextillion U.S. Caucasians)  Suspect (Weter Jr.) is excluded from major component.
5A /	Two DNA swabs (TWO SAMPLES / ONE FROM RIGHT	Bode Cellmark

p 520

Grounds 5-9 are documented to prove an ineffective trial by Court and Government. The defendant needs to establish

#1. Holloway v Arkansas - A Conflict of interest between the Trial Court and providing an effective defense requiring a new Trial. Holloway v Arkansas, 435 US 475, 489-490, 55 L.Ed2d 420, 78 S.Ct 1173 (1978)

#2. A Cumulative of the effect of the errors caused by Trial Court caused a fundamentally unfair trial and a Miscarriage of Justice, requiring a New Trial. US v Tony 50 F.3d 207 (9th Cir 1995)

Ground #5 proves the judge knowingly drugged the defendant while representing himself pro se.

Ground #6 proves all 4 psychiatric doctors lied and wrote false statements changing the defendants diagnosis and lied concerning a F.I.S.A. Conspiracy to protect against the Courts involvement. This proves Conflict of interest

ground #7 - proves Blood evidence was mishandled to cover the Conspiracy.

ground #8 - proves video of threats and Confessions was edited to delete sound to cover the Conspiracy.

ground #9 - proves the official record is wrong because people at Trial were speaking in Code.

Ground #5-9 prove #2 - A Cumulative of the errors caused the defendant an unfair trial

Ground #6 proves a Conflict of interest to Conceal evidence.

#1. Section A #1 and 2 proves the existence of F.I.S.A. and that the entire Court before Trial including Dr Harrison Dr Elliot and Dr Massey

#2. Section B #3-12 proves Conflict of interest by Trial Court that caused 3 psychiatric doctors to participate in Concealing the Conspiracy by declaring me incompetent with a mental illness and a drug addict based on True Accusations of F.I.S.A. program and Abuse by the Sheriff.

#3. Section C proves all 3 doctors, Harrison, Elliot and Massey knew of F.I.S.A. program

#4. Section D #1 proves Dr Harrison knew of F.I.S.A. but declared me a drug addict to cover the Trial Courts illegality

#5. Section D #2 proves Dr Elliot declared me incompetent with a mental illness for claiming F.I.S.A. Conspiracy.

#6. Section D #3 proves Dr Massey added Ambiguity to the record knowing Dr Elliots report requiring a Competency Trial that the witnesses would be threatened at.

After I started Complaining of Government Conspiracy and Torture and President Trump won the election. The Texas Bar, Texas Rangers, Texas Commission on Jail Standards picked up investigations into the abuse. The Trial Court backed by the US Government forced me to see 3 doctors to declare me incompetent with a mental illness to confine me to a mental hospital, indefinitely. When I complained that that was what was happening the Trial Court threatened the public using F.I.S.A. to find me incompetent at Trial. Then the Trial Court used by Dr Massey to add ambiguity to the record and say that I was Competent but a meth addict, thinking that the Jury would find me incompetent no matter what.

The mighty 12 jurors Prevailed and found me Competent so I could write you this appeal.

They asked there Freedom so you could grant justice in this appeal -

Pg. 263

136

		<b>Caucasians)</b>  <b>Suspect (Weter Jr.) is excluded from major component.</b>  <b>No conclusions can be made on minor alleles.</b>
--	--	---

p519

### Issue Stated Ground #7

The defendant was denied a full toxicology test of his blood on the day of the murder because the Trial Court "Forgot" to freeze the blood, conveniently after the defendant proved fabrication of medical records by the Sheriff and involuntary intoxication at the hands of the US Government.

P3 (20)

### Summary of Argument

- #1 California v Trombetta, 467 US 479 (1984) states the Supreme Court held that the Constitution requires the government to preserve evidence that might be expected to play a significant role in the Suspect's defense. The test is now, was the toxicological content expected to play a significant role in the defense of a man who claims the murder is a Conspiracy and DNA Evidence is being Fabricated? The defendant believes so.
- #2. Further the Toxicology was denied for 20 months, until the defendant was forced to represent himself and received some ~~Competency~~ for discovery for Competency Trial, and the defendant proved via F.I.S.A. that the Sheriff received had altered Records and the defendant could prove he was not given an image shot.
- #3. Lastly the defendant proved via F.I.S.A. that he believed he was drugged the week prior to the murder, of Michelle Becker, organized by the US Government. Once the defendant proved this mentally using F.I.S.A. the Prosecutor on 4/9/2018 argued that he did not freeze the blood and it could no longer be tested because the half-life of the image was only 7 months, unfrozen. The motion that the defendant had to write and argue on his own, while represented by Counsel was denied because the defendant's first Attorney refused to write or file a motion on time despite the defendant's timely, documented requests.

### Argument and Authority

- #1. Appendix Q - #4,5,6 proves on 9/3/16 I requested a Full Toxicology test of my blood on the day of the murder 8/6/2015 and Claimed the Sheriff was altering evidence in a Federal Conspiracy. This document was filed with the Court - Proof.
- #2. Appendix P-2 is another motion for a full toxicology I had to write and file on 11/8/17 because my first Attorney refused to write the motion from 9/2016 - 11/2017 - proving ineffective assistance of Counsel.
- #3. Appendix #90 - Copy of Record at Competency pretrial hearing on 2/9/18 of my hand written motion for a full toxicology because from 11/2017 - 2/2018 my attorney refused to file motions proving ineffectiveness.
- #4. Appendix #67 Reporters Record from 4/9/2018 proves the defendant had to argue his own handwritten motion for toxicology because from both of his attorneys were pursuing incompetence with "Fictional Psych Exams" as proven in ground #6. The motion was denied because Andrew James researched the motion presented in 2/2018 and determined that the blood was Not frozen "accidentally" and the 1/2 life of Image Sustains PO only 7 months in unfrozen blood. - Proof on Record that Blood was not preserved.



methamphetamine pipe was located.<sup>[4]</sup> Tilley testified that he had sold the truck but that the title had not yet been transferred to the purchaser. The truck had broken down and the son of the purchaser had brought it back to Tilley for repairs. At the time of the events in question, the truck was not operating. Further, the truck was located on the cartilage of Tilley's residence.<sup>[5]</sup> Under the facts of this case, we conclude Tilley had standing to challenge a search of an inoperable truck in his possession and parked on the cartilage of his property. Such an expectation of privacy is the kind of privacy expectation that society recognizes as reasonable. If the jury had chosen not to believe Officer Burton's version of events,<sup>[6]</sup> Tilley established a reasonable expectation of privacy.

### **The Trial Court Erred in Refusing the Requested Instruction**

Tilley contends the trial court erred in denying the requested instruction because there was a fact issue concerning 1) whether Officer Burton had reasonable suspicion to extend the encounter<sup>[7]</sup> and 2) whether Officer Burton could see the drug paraphernalia through the tinted windows of the truck. Tilley claims the drug paraphernalia was not in plain view because the tint of the windows on the truck prevented observation of the interior.

The defendant alleging a Fourth Amendment violation bears the burden of producing some evidence that rebuts the presumption of proper police conduct. *Amado v. State*, 221 S.W.3d 666, 672 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007). "A defendant meets his initial burden of proof by establishing that a search or seizure occurred without a warrant."<sup>[8]</sup> *Id.* The burden then shifts to the State to prove that the search or seizure was nonetheless reasonable under the totality of the circumstances. *Id.* at 672-73.

Because the drug paraphernalia was in "plain view," the State argues there was no Fourth Amendment violation. "What a person knowingly exposes to the public, even in his own home, is not a search subject to Fourth Amendment protection." *Thigh v. State*, 171 S.W.3d 631, 636 (Tex. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 2005, pet. ref'd). If the property is in "plain view," it may be seized without a warrant provided the officer had a legitimate reason to be where he was and the officer had probable cause to believe that the object was contraband or evidence of a crime. *Coolidge*, 403 U.S. at 468-72; see *Colorado v. Bannister*, 449 U.S. 1, 3 (1980). If an article is already in plain view, neither its observation nor its seizure would involve any invasion of privacy. *Walter v. State*, 28 S.W.3d 538, 541 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000); see *Rawlings v. Kentucky*, 448 U.S. 98, 106 (1980).

The fact that the officer intentionally looked into the truck or was forced to use a flashlight as a visual aid to see through the tinted glass does not affect the application of the plain view doctrine. The Fourth Amendment does not require the discovery of evidence to be inadvertent. *Horton*, 496 U.S. at 140. A vision enhancement device such as a flashlight also does not affect the application of the plain view doctrine. *Texas v. Brown*, 460 U.S. 730, 740 (1983) ("the use of artificial means to illuminate a darkened area simply does not constitute a search"); *Thigh*, 171 S.W.3d at 637.

The Texas Code of Criminal Procedure requires a jury instruction if the evidence raises an issue concerning whether evidence is the result of an unlawful search. Article 38.23(a) provides:

No evidence obtained by an officer or other person in violation of any provisions of the Constitution or laws of the State of Texas, or of the Constitution or laws of the United States of

- #1-4 prove the defendant tried to get Counsel to request a motion for a full toxicology test starting 9/3/2016, 11/29/17, 2/9/18 and 4/9/18 and at all times the lawyers forced the defendant to write, research and argue his own defense motion. (Pg 31) (2)
- #5. Appendix Q-16, a copy of Attorney Joseph Kripple's statement to the Texas Bar Association investigation into why attorney Kripple never filed any motions to retest my DNA because it had errors. Mr Kripple states, "It should be noted that prior to Mr Weter's arrest, the video shows the man arrested getting out of the car, laying on the car with his hands on the ground, his hands placed in handcuffs and the arrested person being placed in the back of the patrol car where his hands were in contact with the seat. Contamination of the Sample from Multiple Sources is obvious. I explained all this to Mr Weter on several occasions."
- #6. Appendix P-3 is a motion the defendant had to write himself on 11/28/17 while represented by Counsel to retest the DNA because of errors. None of the other 3 attorneys ever wrote this motion -
- #7. Appendix #77, Reporters Record will prove I was denied to retest DNA or a Toxicology on 4/9/2018 when I argued my own motions at Competency Trial because my attorneys claimed I could not communicate with them. I explained All this to All 3 Attorneys on several occasions.
- #1-4 the defendant requested a DNA and Toxicology test from 9/3/16 - 4/9/18 19 months but the attorneys refused even though the DNA had errors and the ~~defendant~~ defendant claimed he was a victim of a Conspiracy and drugged.
- #5. proves that Attorney Kripple knew the victims blood on the Suspects hands next to the machete covered in blood was Contaminated. 1 in 6 sextillion chance of error (appendix Q-144 - DNA Results proving error) Despite the investigation by the Texas Bar No lawyer or Judge ever felt it prudent to retest the DNA or Toxicology of the blood that the defendant claimed he was drugged by the Government.
- California v Trombetta, 467 US 479 (1984) The Supreme Court held that the Constitution requires the government to preserve evidence that might be suspected to play a significant role in the defense of the Suspect.
- Attorney Kripple told the State Bar that blood on the hands of the Suspect was Contaminated. The defendant told Attorney Kripple the blood was altered and he was drugged in a Conspiracy. This Proves Significance.
- This violates the defendant's Constitutional Rights to due process of law and effective assistance of Counsel by not preserving the blood and refusing to file a motion to retest DNA, then denying the defendant's motion to retest Blood and DNA. This proves #1 and #2 of Summary Argument.
- #8. Appendix D proves on 8/6/2015 my boss Neil Bond told Tri County I was, "Throwing up and acting erratic at work" This is Witness #1 to my state of mind on 8/6/15 the day of the murder.
- #9. Appendix HH#10, Pg 10 of Dr Harrison's Sanity Evaluation, "Mr Weter had been acting as if he were under the influence of drugs or alcohol, described as being 'high' by the so called, Godparents. The Onsets on the evening of the Murder, 8/6/2015. This is Witness #2 as to my state of mind at the time of the offense.
- #10. Appendix Q-31, Pg 6 of Dr Harrison's Competency Eval states, "By 8/3/2015 Mr Weter had stopped all of his medication once again. He was experiencing worsening symptoms of irritability and racing thoughts according to Nurse Clinician Adanna Oladajo. He remained in Unstable Condition This is Witness #3, a nurse, Mrs Oladajo as to my state of mind at the time of the offense 8/6/15

weaponry, Tilley discovered a plastic bag containing methamphetamine located in Tilley's shirt pocket.

Tilley testified that he had opened the door of the truck to retrieve a pack of cigarettes he had left on the back seat earlier in the day and that he had not placed anything in the truck while Burton was observing him. Further in his testimony, he maintained that the windows of the truck were covered with a type of tinting referred to as "limo" tint which is a "higher grade than any 10 percent" and that it was not possible to see through the window. Tilley denied that the drugs, the methamphetamine pipe, or the canister belonged to him. According to Tilley, the methamphetamine found in his shirt pocket had been planted there by Burton.

Upon Tilley's request, a suppression hearing was conducted, during which Tilley maintained that the initial search of the truck was unreasonable; no mention was made at this suppression hearing regarding the tinting on the windows. The trial court denied the motion to suppress.

During the charge conference, Tilley requested that the charge "include a 38.23 instruction." Tilley argued that there was a factual dispute concerning whether the methamphetamine pipe was in plain view of Burton. Given the "color of the glass, the color of the interior, . . ." and the dispute over whether the officer used his flashlight, Tilley claimed there was a factual dispute over whether the officer could have seen the pipe through the window. Tilley argued that the pat-down search was "fruit of the poisonous tree" (i.e., the pat-down search would not have been possible unless Burton had been arresting him for possession of the drug paraphernalia). The trial court refused to provide an instruction based on Article 38.23: See Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 38.23 (Vernon 2005). The charge submitted to the jury did not contain an instruction on the legality of the search.

#### **Tilley had Standing to Contest the Search of the Truck**

The State cites *Kleasen v. State*, 560 S.W.2d 938 (Tex. Crim. App. 1977), for the proposition that Tilley lacked standing to challenge the search of the truck because he denied ownership of the seized items.<sup>[2]</sup> In *Kleasen*, the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, citing *Jones v. United States*, 362 U.S. 257 (1960), held a "defendant can show that he has the requisite standing to contest the search in three ways; he may show that he was lawfully on the premises at the time of the search; he may show that his possession of the seized objects is itself an essential element of the offense with which he is charged; he may show a proprietary or possessor interest in the premises searched or the items seized." *Id.* at 941.

However, since *Kleasen* was decided, the United States Supreme Court has dispensed with the "rubric of standing used in *Jones*." See *Ragas v. Illinois*, 439 U.S. 128, 140 (1978). The current standard for whether a defendant has standing to contest a search under the Fourth Amendment is whether the defendant had a reasonable expectation of privacy. Although "more properly placed within the purview of substantive Fourth Amendment law than within that of standing," a defendant has "standing" to assert a claim challenging the admission of evidence obtained by a governmental intrusion only if he had a legitimate expectation of privacy in the place invaded. *Ragas*, 439 U.S. at 140-43; see *Richardson v. State*, 865 S.W.2d 944, 948-49 (Tex. Crim. App. 1993); *Granados v. State*, 85 S.W.3d 217, 223 (Tex. Crim. App. 2002). The defendant in a possessor offense no longer has "automatic" standing and must prove he had as reasonable an expectation of privacy as any other defendant. See *United States v. Vespucci*, 448 U.S. 83, 92

gives me  
reason to  
contest

- #11. Appendix # 103, will prove upon verification, that during June and July of 2015 the defendant DED go get his medication from Tri County at the (WEH) William E Hall medication center. For the first time in the year of Treatment the medication was individually packaged - directly from the manufacturer. Ordinarily (P338) Tri County mixes its own medication at a private pharmacy because it is cheaper. In June or July 2015 the last time I picked up my meds I was given factory medication and denied an Invega shot of medication.
- Only after I realized the U.S Government used my employer to broadcast on F.T.S.A that I would kill my wife did I realize Tri County didn't want to be blamed for the murder, so they ordered factory medication at a cost of \$600 and denied me medication, Invega Sustana PO.
- #12. On 1/9/2018, Appendix #37, I spoke on record at Court that the Competency Trial was corrupt and I needed evidence to present to the Jury, including medical records from Tri County because Dr Harrison's report was a lie so I requested Tri County Records.
- #13. Appendix # 104 on 1/30/2019, investigator Tommy Jenkins gives me 93 pages of Tri County Records with a Cover sheet stating the preparer had been authorized to edit and compile them. The records were incomplete so I requested all medical records.
- #14. Appendix #10, on 2/11/2018 at Court on Record, Attorney Brown provided 250 pages of Tri County Records. These records proved No Trazadone was administered and that Dr Harrison's report was lying. These documents also stated I received an Invega Sustana Shot in June 2015 (appendix #10) mentally over F.T.S.A I proved this to the world that Dr Harrison's Eval was all Lies. mentally over F.T.S.A I proved Tri County edited records after the fact to Corroborate Dr Harrison's Report. mentally over F.T.S.A I proved Tri County acted because the Government Planned to kill my wife.
- on 2/9/18 I argued my own motion for Toxicology, although represented by 2 Attorneys. Judge Laird said that she would postpone the motion until later.
- #15. On 4/9/18, knowing that my blood would Not have Invega Sustana, the prosecutor on record (appendix #10 77) states the blood was never frozen and the half life of Invega was only 7 months, so the Judge denied my motion for a toxicology despite knowing I could prove misconduct by medical officials.
- #16. Appendix # 21, on Record 2/9/18 I claimed the Sheriff had 3 Clips from these guns in the Cup holder and were Counting Bullets for any witnesses who spoke out or found me incompetent - This is Preserved.
- #17. After 2/9/18, Attorney Brown refused to visit me or provide any more discovery. She came 1 time on 5/27/18 and tried to get me to plead insanity (Cruilly) and ignored that I could prove misconduct.
- #18. Attorney Yates Came on 2/11/18, 2/21/2018, 2/27/18, 2/28/18, to document visits and deny me discovery by telling me Attorney Brown was handling that. Mrs Brown would not Come. Attorney Yates tormented me telling me I Carved up my wife, and he refused to help, Cursing me.
- #19. On 4/4/2019 Attorney Yates interrupted my last visit with Attorney Brown to Curse me out and tell me he could do anything he fucking wanted to and I Couldn't do anything about it as he got close to the Case Yelling and screaming and spitting. I spit in his face and told him he could not do anything he wanted to me and that I was his Client and had rights. 30 minutes prior I had warned Mrs Brown I had been tortured all week and was unstable. Ask Mrs Brown.

ownership of the vehicle and informed there were no outstanding warrants. Error was preserved for appellate review.

-----

## Issue stated - Ground #8

The trial Court violated the defendants Constitutional right to due process of law under the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the US Constitution by knowingly Admitting Evidence, video, that had been edited for sound onto the record that denied the fact that the Sheriff was bragging about killing Michelle Becker for the FBI and threatening to arrest with absolute impunity anyone who helped in my defense.

Pg. 39

## Summary of Argument

The defendant should receive a New Trial in a Court of Competent Jurisdiction because the Trial Court altering evidence proves a Conflict of interest as claimed in grounds #5-9. This is also Circumstantial evidence that the video did contain Confessions to murder and threats against the Jury and Witnesses.

## Argument and Authority

Hamilton v M<sup>o</sup> Collier, 772 F.2d 171 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir 1985) - The Court held in pertinent part that the petitioner's claim that the indictment was forged and thus, that the defendant was never properly before the Court should not have been dismissed. Hamilton Argued that the Dallas District Attorneys office was "manufacturing" fraudulent indictments during this time. Due to the Nature of the Claim, Counsel could not be faulted for not raising this Claim and abuse of the habeas Corpus writ did not Apply under this type of Circumstance which would have been Concealed by the State.

Tejada v Dubus, 142 F.3d 18 (1<sup>st</sup> 1998) Trial Counsel's Failure to present a Coherent argument to the judge and jury based on defense of police fabrication rendered the defendant's Trial Fundamentally unfair, unreliable and Constitutionally ineffective assistance of Counsel.

#1. Appendix X, a record from Trial proves the defendant told the jury at Competency trial, "There is no way they can harass or attack all 12 of your families like they did on Camera, Cell 341D, if you would like to Call the FBI" - Proof I preserved the error

#2. Appendix KK - record from trial where the defendant told the Jury, "All night on recording, The Texas Rangers should have pulled the video. She has pulled the video after I made these allegations. The video came back with No Sound. I have turned over a multitude of evidence showing that there is a Conspiratorial nature against me in which case people are intimidated. Part of it is through implied or asserted body language. Part of it is in my Cell literally. They are literally threatening my family and Jury. P33, line 15-25.

After my opening Statements the Court Voluntarily gave me these statements transcripts to read to the world on F.I.S.A during my Trial. The entire Transcript was worded to threaten the Jury and Witnesses.

"She pulled the video" is a reference to the U.S. Government

"I have turned over a multitude of evidence in which case people are intimidated" is a direct threat

This transcript, Appx X and KK states the Court edited my video for the Court Government and people should be intimidated. I read these altered documents to the Jury at Trial over F.I.S.A

#3. Appendix #105, Court record on 1/24/2018 proves District Attorney Andrew James "Copying" 64 gigs of edited video that DA Jones admitted into evidence with No Sound.

#4. Appendix #32 - The video from 12/2017 - 1/2018 will not be originally dated because it was edited to delete sound off the video after the fact.

2017 WL 2806781

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

SEE TX R RAP RULE 47.2 FOR DESIGNATION  
AND SIGNING OF OPINIONS.

**DO NOT PUBLISH Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)**  
Court of Appeals of Texas,  
Fort Worth.

David R. HART, Appellant  
v.  
The STATE of Texas, State

NO. 02-16-00213-CR

DELIVERED: June 29, 2017

FROM COUNTY CRIMINAL COURT NO. 1 OF  
DENTON COUNTY, TRIAL COURT NO.  
CR-2014-01176-A. JIM E. CROUCH, JUDGE

**Attorneys and Law Firms**

Anthony Green, Dallas, TX, for Appellant.

Paul Johnson, Criminal District Attorney; Catherine Luft,  
Chief of Appellate Division, Assistant Criminal District  
Attorney; Brendan Hyde & Michael Dance, Assistant  
Criminal District Attorneys Denton County District  
Attorney's Office, Denton, TX, for Appellee.

PANEL: LIVINGSTON, C.J.; SUDDERTH and KERR,  
JJ.

**MEMORANDUM OPINION<sup>1</sup>**

TERRIE LIVINGSTON, CHIEF JUSTICE

\*1 Appellant David R. Hart pled guilty to assault against a member of his family or household, and the trial court deferred adjudication of his guilt and placed him on community supervision. He violated terms of the community supervision, so the State filed a motion for the court to revoke the community supervision and to find him guilty, and the court did so. In one issue on appeal, appellant contends that his involuntary intoxication caused by taking medication after having back surgery excused his failure to comply with conditions of community supervision and therefore precluded the trial court's ability to adjudicate his guilt. We disagree and affirm the trial court's judgment.

**Background Facts**

The State charged appellant with assault against a member of his family or household.<sup>2</sup> He retained counsel and pled nolo contendere as part of a plea-bargain agreement. In accordance with the plea bargain, the trial court deferred adjudication of appellant's guilt and placed him on community supervision for sixteen months. The court imposed several conditions upon the community supervision.

Within the sixteen-month term, the State filed a motion for the trial court to find appellant guilty. The State alleged that he had violated conditions of his community supervision by failing to report to his community supervision officer, by failing to pay a fee, by failing to complete community service at a required number of hours per week, by failing to complete a drug/alcohol evaluation, by failing to begin a batterer's intervention program, and by failing to complete a domestic violence victim-impact panel.

At a hearing on the State's motion, appellant pled true to each alleged violation of his community supervision conditions. A probation department supervisor testified that appellant did not complete any condition of his community supervision. Appellant's wife—the victim of his assault—denied that the assault had occurred and said that she and appellant had been “playing around” that night. She also testified that while appellant was on community supervision, he had back surgery and took medication that rendered him delusional, “nearly comatose,” and unable to care for himself. She explained that as of the date of the hearing, appellant was weaning off the medication but that he was planning to have another surgery. The trial court admitted medical records relating to the treatment of appellant's back, including medication that he took.

Appellant's wife testified that he had been in good enough condition to “take care of business” since October 2015, which was seven months before the revocation hearing. She stated that since that time, he had completed eighty hours of community service, had made “all the payments that he needed to make,” and was working to comply with other conditions. The probation department supervisor testified that he had not received any proof that appellant had completed any condition of community supervision.

## Issue Stated - Ground #9

The Trial Court violated the defendants 5th Amendment right of the U.S. Constitution to due process of law by not recording an accurate copy of the proceedings on record. This was done by (P1) speaking in Code during the entire proceeding and (P2) by altering transcripts to threaten the Jury and figuratively explain a situation. See Statement of Case (P3 410)

## Summary of Argument

The defendant should receive a New Trial because the record is wrong and there is no effective way to accurately recreate a record that truly showed the Corruption of the Trial Court Proceedings.

## Argument and Authority

US v Medina, 90 F.3d 459, 463 (11th Circuit 1996) when defendant is represented by a New Attorney on Appeal the defendant need only show that, "there is a substantial and significant omission from the Trial Transcripts for a New Trial."

- #1. Appendix KK-A proves P33 lines 16-25, Carefully worded, this document alludes that, (P1) the evidence and record is being altered by the US Government and (P2) The jury and witnesses are being intimidated. "She has pulled the Video after I made these allegations. (The Government [she]) The video comes back with no sound. 'I have turned over a multitude of evidence that there is a Conspiracy against me and people are intimidated' Not 'are being intimidated' God! Bless the English Language. - Proof Established -
- #2. Appendix #106, Appellant Brief by Attorney Darin Ray shows on P3 24 and 25. "Did anybody make you drink the beer you were drinking that day? Some people that enclosed as to - the same people that gave me the hallucinogenic is responsible for my actions from that point forward. Okay. And how come for 2 years you have been saying you were framed and the Sheriff started fabricating evidence? And then as of Monday when you look at my slides for jury selection for powerpoint, I talked about involuntary conduct/intoxication Not being a defense. I would be happy to discuss that. I was framed. And evidence has been fabricated, MR Hall has blank receipts in evidence. So lets stop there. One, you were framed. And two you were under hallucinogenics and hypnosis is that right? I was set up for this Murder Frame. Yes. So, my terminology may not be textbook to your legal - This proves I preserved the record and proves the Character of Judge Katherine Hamilton"
- #3. Appendix #19 proves witnesses Called the 9th Court of Appeals and the US District Court
- #4. Murray v Carrier, 477 US 478, 91 L. ed2d 397, 106 S.Ct 2639 (1986). The Supreme Court Stated "the existence of Cause for procedural defaults must ordinarily turn on whether the prisoner can show that some objective factor external to the defense impeded Counsel's efforts to comply with state procedural rule, such as factual or legal basis for a Claim was not reasonably available to Counsel or interference by officials made Compliance impracticable. This language Can provide a Successful Argument."



## REPORTER'S RECORD

VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUMES

TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 15-08-08092

STATE OF TEXAS ) IN THE DISTRICT COURT

VS. ) MONTGOMERY COUNTY,  
TEXAS

JOHN DEE WETER ) 359TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*JURY TRIAL\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 10th day, of, April,  
2018, the following proceedings came on to be heard in  
the above-entitled and numbered cause before the  
Honorable Claudia L. Laird, Judge presiding, held in  
Conroe, Montgomery County, Texas;

Proceedings reported by computerized stenotype  
machine; Reporter's Record produced by computer-assisted  
transcription.

Issues Stated Ground #10-12

Pg 41

Ground #10-12 - Trial Courts Miscarriage of Justice due to Government interference with witnesses and that the jury was improperly influenced. See Section V, Statement of Case.

Ground #10 - proves the Government used the Sheriff's office to threaten the Jury, Judge, Lawyers, doctors and all witnesses to lie on me and find me guilty and incompetent.

Ground #11 - proves I was sleepdeprived, horrified and tortured every second for 33 months that interfered with my ability to think or defend myself.

Ground #12 - proves the defendant was denied a jury instruction on involuntariness and that the jury was unlawfully influenced.

US v Martinez 151 F.3d 384 (5th Cir 1998) - when a Colorable showing of extrinsic influence on the jury appears, a court must investigate the asserted impropriety.

US v VAVAGES, 151 F.3d 1185 (9th Cir 1998) - Government interference with a defense witnesses choice of whether to testify constitutes a violation of due process and requires a reversal and Remand for New Trial.

Issue Stated Ground #10

From 8/6/2015 - 5/23/2018 the Montgomery County Sheriff's office ran a program under authority of the U.S. Government that refused to allow anyone me or the Republicans/DEA in anyway. Once I tried to get witnesses to help prosecute the Sheriff and Trial Court. Absolutely all peoples, Agencies, Judges, Lawyers, Psychiatric Doctors were threatened by the Sheriff to be arrested on Federal or State Charges if they interfered with the States Agenda - To force me to be incompetent and guilty and to blame the Republican party for the death of Michelle Becker.

Summary of Argument - Ground #10

The US Government turned on F.I.S.A and had a man assaulted in 2013. From 2013 - 2015 everybody on earth does everything they can to hurt the Republicans. President Obama is involved. In 2015 the US Government killed Michelle Becker to blame the Republicans and gets caught after 3 1/2 years, blaming the republicans for murder during the middle of the 2016 Presidential Election. The Sheriff is Torturing a man while the whole world watches. There were government jeeps parked outside and police lined up on the streets with lights on while Police Count bullets on the way to Court. An Artificial Intelligence Computer is threatening the US population via wirelessly altered newspaper transmitted Numerally by Satellite from Space to every one on earth that if they interfere the US Government will attack there Credit or arrest them.

Every person on earth, Judge, Lawyer, Doctor, Family, Jury was Required to do all they could to hurt the defendant and Republicans in every way possible. Very few People helped and even then they hurt me as well as helped me to "mix it up".

Judge Kathleen Hamilton helped but not until it was too late  
Kristen Brown helped me and was forced to recuse herself  
Counselor Kimberly Peoples helped.

Sgt Valle of Montgomery Sheriff helped  
Texas Bar and Lawyers helped  
12 Jurors at Competency Trial helped.

No one else helped.

All fake evidence and Coerced statements were used at Trial. Absolutely No One spoke the Truth. No One.

1 to delay this as much adds possible, isn't it?

2 A. No.

3 Q. You want to be found incompetent, right?

4 A. No, I want my defense to attack the witnesses  
5 the way that you are attacking me now rather than portray  
6 me as incompetent.

7 Q. Because you don't want to be convicted of what  
8 you are charged with, right?

9 A. No -- how do you determine that? Because I am  
10 saying that I am competent? I want to proceed to trial.  
11 I am denying any plea -- if you offer me five years  
12 right now, I will tell you "no." I am denying any plea  
13 bargain you give me. I want to take it to trial. I am  
14 not attempting to evade punishment.

15 Q. Mr. Weter, you know we would never offer that,  
16 right?

17 A. I don't know what you would offer.

18 Q. Well, we made you an offer before, didn't we?

19 A. I don't know. Did you? Have you given me a  
20 written offer?

21 MR. YATES: I think we talked about that,  
22 Judge. I didn't want to get involved in that  
23 conversation with my client.

24 THE COURT: It's overruled. He has just  
25 opened the door to it.

- US v Vawter 151 F.3d 1185 (1983) - Governmental interference with a defense witnesses choice of whether to testify constitutes a violation of Due Process and requires a Reversal and Remand for New Trial.
- #1. Appendix #48 will prove upon verification, that the US Government altered the Houston Chronicle Newspaper and books that the defendant read, to threaten the American People during the 2016 Presidential Election to blame me and the Republicans for the Murder of Michelle Becker starting 4/2016 Proof
- #2. Appendix #19 will prove witnesses Confidentially Called multiple Agencies to report threats from the Sheriff to Arrest any person on unrelated Charges if they helped me prosecute the Sheriff, Trial Court or Government for the death of Michelle Becker or the Torture of John Water. Proof
- #3. The District Attorney and Trial Court will point out that when asked, the Jury, Texas Bar and Texas Rangers all denied threats of retaliation. No one was willing to speak out literally in the Trial Court and the U.S Government forced State Agencies to deny witnesses.
- #4. More Witnesses have now Called the 9th Court of Appeals of Texas and the US District Court, Southern District of Texas, Case 09-18-00194 and 4:18-cv-04855 Appendix #19
- #5. Appendix G6 Pg 14 and 15 lines 12-25 and 4-8 of Competency Trial States "Your honor they are threatening my family, The Rangers are involved. Hold on Hold on Hold on. They are not addressing the issue. And for the record, Again there has been an interruption in the proceeding. If you need to tell your lawyer something write it or motion to him that you need to talk to him. I am. But they are not addressing my responses. I have been very patient. Mrs O'neal, has your family been threatened by law enforcement? - I preserved the issue
- #6. Appendix #80 Every person on earth witnessed the threats on Classified F.T.S.A Surveillance Proof
- #7. Appendix #19, Speaking figuratively, in code, all agencies upon verification will tell you my family, jury and witnesses were threatened - Proof
- #8. Appendix X, I preserved that the Jury is being threatened on Record, Pg 73 line 5-10. "There is no way they can harass or attack all 12 of your families, like they threatened to do last night on Camera, Cell 241D if you would like to Call the FBI, and have them record that."
- I preserved the issue that both my ~~attorney~~ defense witness and Jury were being threatened by the Sheriff's office on Record.

1 confirmation I can get is through the FBI which is why I  
2 want this recorded for future generations. This will all  
3 be available for appeal. I worked for CEC Electrical for  
4 nine months.

5 Q. Can you say that slower, what you were working  
6 on, the project?

7 A. CEC is a data center. In essence, it is a \$50  
8 million complex with chillers outside the houses, data  
9 equipment that records all Internet recorded data for the  
10 United States and federal government.

11 Q. What does CEC stand for?

12 A. I don't know. They are based out of Fort Worth.  
13 CEC, I don't know. It is the second largest electrical  
14 company in Texas. It hires 400 employees. It does on  
15 average \$16 million of work here in Texas alone. It is  
16 not privately owned.

17 Q. When I first became your attorney, there was  
18 somebody prior to me; is that correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And when I first became your attorney, we did  
21 well, didn't we?

22 A. We did.

23 Q. And then you found out that I worked for the  
24 United States Government for seven years and your  
25 attitude changed. Is that because you believe that

- #1. The Trial Court, Sheriff and U.S. Government violated my 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment right of the U.S. Constitution to Unlawful Seizure by seizing my mental Capacity to defend myself in that I was first trained Not to think so the world would not process my thoughts. 2<sup>nd</sup> I was harrowed and Sleepdeprived Everysecond literally to the point of exhaustion Panic and exasperation to the point of being incapable of doing anything but defending myself against never ending attacks verbally as ordered by the U.S. Government. See Section V (B)(F) of statement of Case.
- #2. The Sheriff, Trial Court and U.S. Government violated my 5<sup>th</sup> U.S. Constitutional Right to due process of law in that I was intentionally defamed everysecond for 33 months by Trustys going through my memory using F.I.S.A and using everybad act in my life to slander me, my family, friends and the DEA/Republicans to every person on earth to make the world hate me and the Republican Party.

Summary of Argument

The government endured sleep deprivation, humiliation and Adgitation, Calculated to prejudice the minds of the jury and world population via F.I.S.A to mitigate the wrong done on the part of the U.S. Government. This greatly prejudiced the Jury and No reasonable Trier of Fact would have found me guilty if the U.S. Government had not ~~and~~ introduced every single Prejudicial Event in my entire life to the entire world.

Argument and Authority

Dubria v Smith, 197 F.3d 390 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir 1999) - Trial Counsels Cumulative errors, by failing to object to inflammatory statements made by the prosecution that the defendant was a "piece of Garbage." and "the biggest liar you have ever seen" and Counsel Suggesting to the Jury that evidence Not presented Supported a Murder Charge deprived the defendant of due process, and a Fair Trial.

#1. Appendix H1-H5, motion to quash request for F.I.S.A records due to Classified ongoing investigation proves the defendant is under F.I.S.A program - Proof of F.I.S.A

#2. Appendix #107 documents from the US Inspector General, stating that there investigation into the torture and threats described in ground #11. is an Authorized Justice Department Program - Proof of Abuse and Libel

#3. Appendix #19 witnesses Called state and Federal Agencies to report the abuse and Slander. Proof. Under order from a Federal Court these agencies will admit this. The US Department of Justice is Concealing these Witnesses. The document in #2 from the US Inspector General proves this.

#4. Appendix #19, witnesses contacted the 9<sup>th</sup> Court of Appeal, Case 09-18-00194 and the US District Court Southern district of Texas, Case 4:18-cv-04855. Confidentially to document that I was Sleepdeprived Harrowed and defamed every second for 33 months.

#5. Page 5 of Dr Wendy Elliot Competency Evaluation, proves after 37 months of Abuse from 8/6/2015 - 11/2017 my Psychological testing revealed "Mr Weter endorsed items suggesting the presence of depressive symptoms including a lack of emotional positive experiences, significant anhedonia (lack of pleasure) and a lack of interest in previously enjoyed activities. He reported a below average level of stress and worry, yet he endorsed ideas Suggesting he is experiencing poor ~~hel~~ health and regularly feeling weak and tired with a below average level of energy.

#	70:21, 98:8, 103:1, 121:1 <b>15-08-08092</b> [2] - 1:3, 3:2 <b>150</b> [1] - 70:24 <b>16</b> [8] - 7:16, 8:15, 8:24, 18:12, 27:16, 27:18, 93:9, 93:10 <b>17/1</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>18</b> [6] - 9:14, 12:5, 18:19, 19:8, 101:7, 121:11 <b>18-month</b> [1] - 93:23 <b>180</b> [1] - 82:3 <b>1970</b> [1] - 118:3 <b>1970s</b> [1] - 56:10 <b>1998</b> [2] - 92:12, 92:13 <b>1:30</b> [1] - 108:22 <b>1D</b> [2] - 73:7, 73:9 <b>1st</b> [1] - 111:5	64:7, 74:18, 95:11, 95:14, 101:1, 101:4, 101:17 <b>2014</b> [14] - 21:5, 21:11, 52:24, 54:8, 58:15, 58:17, 60:15, 62:4, 62:9, 105:10, 106:25, 107:6, 119:20 <b>2015</b> [9] - 22:13, 22:16, 22:25, 62:20, 64:5, 64:24, 86:7, 86:16, 86:18 <b>2016</b> [8] - 62:18, 86:9, 86:13, 110:16, 110:21, 112:3, 112:13, 112:14 <b>2017</b> [4] - 77:25, 86:10, 113:3, 114:8 <b>2018</b> [4] - 1:19, 3:4, 68:21, 125:20 <b>207</b> [2] - 2:4, 2:8 <b>20s</b> [3] - 19:7, 19:8, 19:12 <b>21</b> [1] - 73:10 <b>210</b> [1] - 125:24 <b>22</b> [1] - 114:8 <b>22139800</b> [1] - 2:12 <b>225</b> [1] - 116:6 <b>228</b> [1] - 116:6 <b>23</b> [1] - 19:6 <b>24</b> [5] - 66:9, 73:7, 73:9, 85:19, 116:5 <b>24-hour</b> [1] - 69:10 <b>24060997</b> [1] - 2:3 <b>24th</b> [1] - 85:25 <b>25</b> [3] - 44:6, 103:1, 122:22 <b>259</b> [1] - 116:7 <b>26/1</b> [1] - 3:8 <b>27</b> [1] - 113:3 <b>28</b> [1] - 110:21 <b>285</b> [1] - 2:16 <b>29</b> [1] - 19:6 <b>29/1</b> [1] - 3:9 <b>2:00</b> [1] - 73:10	<b>39/1</b> [1] - 3:10 <b>3:00</b> [1] - 73:11 <b>3rd</b> [1] - 116:18	9 <b>9</b> [2] - 42:17, 46:17 <b>90</b> [2] - 38:9, 82:6 <b>911</b> [4] - 63:14, 64:16, 82:20, 116:9 <b>936-539-7800</b> [2] - 2:5, 2:9 <b>936-539-7832</b> [1] - 125:25 <b>98</b> [2] - 67:5, 67:6 <b>99</b> [3] - 83:15, 103:1, 103:2 <b>99-year</b> [1] - 102:19 <b>9:47</b> [1] - 116:9
\$			4 <b>4</b> [1] - 106:25 <b>40</b> [2] - 113:9, 114:17 <b>400</b> [1] - 75:14 <b>4th</b> [2] - 22:6, 62:3	
.			5 <b>5</b> [1] - 105:10 <b>5/1</b> [1] - 3:7 <b>50</b> [1] - 97:12 <b>50/50</b> [1] - 11:1 <b>512</b> [1] - 46:5 <b>59</b> [1] - 116:7	
'04	[1] - 121:2		6 <b>6</b> [2] - 86:7, 116:8 <b>60</b> [4] - 77:24, 100:11, 113:9, 114:17 <b>61</b> [1] - 116:9	A <b>abide</b> [1] - 70:10 <b>able</b> [9] - 9:17, 9:23, 23:10, 28:22, 69:9, 84:19, 85:17, 104:4, 111:11 <b>above-entitled</b> [1] - 1:20 <b>above-styled</b> [1] - 125:10 <b>absentia</b> [2] - 98:22, 99:6 <b>absolutely</b> [2] - 44:20, 106:18 <b>abuse</b> [10] - 19:25, 47:6, 66:23, 72:9, 72:11, 72:12, 77:10, 77:11, 77:16, 101:4 <b>abused</b> [2] - 41:14, 72:14 <b>accept</b> [4] - 71:13, 71:15, 91:5, 91:7 <b>acceptable</b> [1] - 61:4 <b>access</b> [3] - 94:8, 94:10, 107:4 <b>accidentally</b> [1] - 36:10 <b>accidently</b> [1] - 37:5 <b>according</b> [5] - 29:13, 34:14, 88:6, 88:10, 88:12 <b>account</b> [2] - 73:21, 97:21 <b>accurate</b> [8] - 103:18, 105:9, 105:16, 105:19, 107:9, 107:10, 107:14, 107:16 <b>accurately</b> [1] - 89:13 <b>accusation</b> [1] -
/			7 <b>7</b> [1] - 86:7 <b>700</b> [1] - 121:13 <b>702</b> [10] - 50:6, 52:3, 54:7, 63:1, 64:8, 66:2, 74:23, 74:25, 121:20 <b>713-777-1774</b> [1] - 2:17 <b>713-859-5477</b> [1] - 2:14 <b>75</b> [1] - 34:23 <b>77301</b> [2] - 2:14, 125:24 <b>77301-2820</b> [2] - 2:5, 2:8 <b>77304-3100</b> [1] - 2:17	
/s	[1] - 125:21		8 <b>80</b> [3] - 46:8, 46:25, 48:13 <b>80/1</b> [1] - 3:11 <b>802</b> [1] - 49:15 <b>848-4826</b> [1] - 46:5 <b>8th</b> [3] - 111:3, 111:4, 111:6	
0		2 <b>2</b> [3] - 113:25, 114:11, 125:23 <b>20</b> [9] - 22:16, 59:3, 59:9, 69:24, 70:21, 78:2, 78:11, 110:16 <b>20/20</b> [2] - 60:2, 122:8 <b>200</b> [2] - 2:4, 2:8 <b>2001</b> [1] - 48:15 <b>2003</b> [3] - 95:14, 95:15, 95:18 <b>2004</b> [12] - 55:17, 76:23, 92:7, 96:2, 98:4, 98:12, 98:14, 100:4, 100:6, 121:6 <b>2006</b> [2] - 98:23, 121:7 <b>2007</b> [4] - 98:23, 98:25, 99:5, 99:11 <b>2008</b> [7] - 55:17, 76:23, 92:7, 99:11, 99:14, 100:6, 121:7 <b>2009</b> [4] - 46:3, 100:9, 118:24, 121:8 <b>2010</b> [1] - 100:14 <b>2011</b> [1] - 100:18 <b>2012</b> [6] - 47:24, 59:7, 74:17, 74:18, 118:25 <b>2013</b> [24] - 20:11, 21:16, 46:21, 46:23, 47:10, 47:13, 47:14, 47:19, 47:20, 48:3, 50:5, 51:5, 51:10, 51:20, 52:9, 57:8	3 <b>3</b> [2] - 21:5, 21:11 <b>30</b> [8] - 20:11, 80:5, 98:13, 99:18, 103:16, 109:1, 113:10, 114:17 <b>30th</b> [1] - 125:19 <b>322</b> [1] - 106:8 <b>333</b> [1] - 2:16 <b>359TH</b> [1] - 1:10 <b>359th</b> [2] - 42:19, 125:5 <b>3822</b> [1] - 111:17	
00792831	[1] - 2:7			
1	<b>1</b> [11] - 1:2, 3:1, 3:17, 20:11, 110:11, 123:18, 123:19, 123:21, 124:7 <b>10</b> [3] - 3:4, 68:21, 69:24 <b>10:30</b> [2] - 51:1, 51:2 <b>10th</b> [1] - 1:18 <b>11</b> [3] - 98:12, 98:14, 107:6 <b>1103</b> [1] - 2:13 <b>115/1</b> [1] - 3:11 <b>11:40</b> [1] - 116:8 <b>12</b> [5] - 46:24, 73:6, 95:23, 97:10, 98:25 <b>12/31/2018</b> [1] - 125:22 <b>123/1</b> [1] - 3:17 <b>124/1</b> [1] - 3:17 <b>1244</b> [1] - 99:19 <b>1245</b> [3] - 97:16, 97:18, 97:19 <b>125/1</b> [1] - 3:13 <b>13</b> [1] - 50:1 <b>14</b> [6] - 54:19, 92:15, 92:20, 113:12, 114:19, 115:12 <b>14-year-old</b> [1] - 94:11 <b>15</b> [6] - 31:14, 57:13,			

#6. Appendix Cb Ps 14 lines 3-14 "You could communicate with him but he is delusional. He sees things and hears things that are not even there. But he is on medicine, I know I have seen my son and that Boy needs Help, desperately. And you have had, you have unfortunately had to see him in Custody, is that right? Yes. And you can see he appears to be very thin, would that be true? Extremely.

Ps 44

This is my Mother Carol O'Neal and my lawyer Kristen Brown at my Competency Trial stating that I am delusional for saying that F.I.S.A Program Exists and that I was on "Medication". Torture was my medication Both Women state I was extremely thin. This was 4/9/2019.

#7. On 5/23/2019, Appendix #108, records show 1 month after #6, I was admitting to TDCJ weighing 117 lbs This is 30 lbs under my body mass index weight.

#8. On 4/9/18, Appendix Cb states I was on medicine. Records will prove I had not been on medicine the entire 31 months of my incarceration from 8/2015 - 4/2018. This is a Reference to torture.

#9. Appendix #109 proves I wrote the Texas Commission on Jail Standards and they replied to my Complaint of Abuse saying I was under diagnosis from a doctor and required to take my medication. Records will show at the time of the investigation, 8/2018, that I had not been prescribed any medicine my entire stay at Montgomery County. This is another reference to Government Authorized Torture called "Medicine".

#10. Appendix JS, Ps 180 line #1-9 and 18-22 states, "Again, I spoke earlier that I went to the hospital figuratively as a way of medication. This again is the day that - a week prior to this trial - excuse me a week prior to the alleged incident I went there figuratively trying to comply with people so they would quit harassing me as a way to negotiate between sides. At any rate I tried to solve the issues figuratively and now all that information is being used against me. Mounts of evidence have been fabricated. I have been raising these concerns the entire time. They are trying to get me on insanity or incompetency defense, while they have been torturing, sleepdepriving and harassing me.

For years the Government destroyed my life and when I complained to officials I was diagnosed as delusional. See ground #6 for proof. People would call this harassment, "Medicine" and if I did what the Government wanted and lied on the Republicans the Harassment would be less.

#1-4 prove the Government program and Torture  
#5 is a psych eval stating Significant Psychological damage due to harassment / sleep deprivation.  
#6-8 proves I was down to 117 lbs from the Abuse / Torture and I didn't miss any meals.  
#9-10 proves for years I spoke out and all state agencies spoke in Code saying the Government authorized the torture and refused to allow people to speak literally.  
This torture was extreme. It Caused Permanent Psychological damage and prevented me from doing anything except Arguing, Screaming, Yelling, every second, literally for 33 months at Montgomery County Sheriff's Office.



1 record will show. I lived good for about 15 years. I  
2 went to college. I met the wrong group. In '04, I moved  
3 to Austin. When I got out of TYC, I moved to Austin with  
4 the O'Neils. I moved in next to a huge drug dealer, out  
5 with the wrong crowd, quit college a year and a half.  
6 Caught the felony that we spoke of in 2004. Went to jail  
7 to 2006. Got my life together. Had a small slip in 2008  
8 or caught a misdemeanor theft charge. In 2009, I joined  
9 the Drug Enforcement Agency. No problems. I did have  
10 one misdemeanor, DWI, which I took probation. Completed  
11 in 18 months, the most expensive probation you can have,  
12 drug test every month. Was working full time making  
13 roughly 700 to \$1,000 a week. Consistently working. My  
14 check stubs should document. I have government contacts  
15 through the Drug Enforcement Agency.

16 In essence, it was a conspiracy by the  
17 Democrats to overthrow the United States Government by  
18 blaming the Republicans. It involved the FBI under  
19 Director James Comey, who is no longer in office. They  
20 use the FISA 702 surveillance I believe. They used  
21 surveillance on me to feed into political parties and  
22 employers affiliated with both sides of the political  
23 agenda, which is why they wanted to include CEC on this  
24 for future reference. I have fought this every step of  
25 the way. When I got here -- once I started explaining

46. ~~Appendix C, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24~~ Torture. Sleep deprivation and Harrowing Proven, Government Authorized P. 45

41. F.I.S.A Allows people to tell the moment before I go to sleep because F.I.S.A cuts off when I go to sleep. Montgomery County used 3 trustys at a time, 24 hours a day to talk Non stop. 24 hours a day slandering me and the Republicans, as explained in 40. When I try to sleep the Trustys wait untill the moment before I fall asleep and then they make a noise to wake me up. Then they verbally attack me calling me a Rapist, Gay, Murderer, or Robber, over and over and over forcing me to think and speak, which would wake me up. For hours I would Argue untill Exhausted and Exasperated and then the Trustys would allow me to go to sleep. As soon as I was close to sleep the Trustys would wake me up and do it again. Over and over, Non stop. Every Second for 33 months. Sometimes I am forced to go 2 and 3 days with no sleep at all. Then I would be allowed 15 minutes of sleep, 10 times a day, but only allowed 2 or 3 hours of broken sleep a night for months and months straight. Eventually my Brain became so conditioned to the Smallest Sound that all the Trustys have to do is grunt and it Causes my heart and muscles to defibrilate because of Nerve damage. Every second, hour after hour 3600 times an hour, 72000 times a day the Trustys caused my body and heart to defibrilate because my nerves are damaged from sleep deprivation and Harrowing. This Continues to this day in TDCJ. Sometimes it happens everysecond for weeks, sometimes only a few hours a day. This has caused extreme Nerve and Emotional damage, permanently causing damage and Panic / Anxiety Attacks.


42. F.I.S.A. Allows people to look through my memory the same way that they look through there own memory. Trustys intentionally go through my memory, misconstruing intentionally every sexual act, bad offense Crime, thought or sexual experience. Every Second, literally over and over, since 8/6/2015. They call me a murderer, Rapist, Child Molester, thief, homo sexual, drug addict, Robber, burglar - Every Second of everyday, literally Brain washing the world to absolutely hate me. Many Accusations are untrue and misconstrued. Suppose your wife gave you oral sex for 6 years - 3 times a month. That would be ordinary.  $3 \times 12 \times 6 = 246$  times. The Trustys would say, "Did you get head here? here? how about here? gross she did that, here? here? here? 246 times over and over Non stop since 8/6/2015. Did you steal a Candy bar, drill, radio, fan, shoes... how about this store or this store... Non Stop Slander. The Government found many memories my mind had Suppressed SubConsciously. You would be amazed at the depth of the human mind. The trustys did absolutely everything on earth to degrade and humilliate me, my family and the Republicans everysecond since 8/6/2015. No defendant has ever been Subjected to more prejudicial Extreineous offenses to influence the minds of the Jury. Much like trying to explain the history of Israel, words cant accurately describe the horrors of sleep deprivation, Harrowing, Injustice and Degration with out writting a Complete Bible. Words just Can't describe Child ~~Support~~ Birth. My Son Changed his name because of problems at School. Every Friend, Family member and employee I ever had was Completely destroyed with non stop Character Assanation.

100:5, 102:18, 105:17 <b>frankly</b> [1] - 76:20 <b>free</b> [1] - 73:4 <b>friends</b> [2] - 8:3, 8:22 <b>front</b> [7] - 34:4, 38:21, 40:22, 42:15, 53:10, 98:6, 103:17 <b>frustrated</b> [1] - 47:12 <b>full</b> [7] - 36:12, 41:20, 55:10, 62:8, 62:15, 63:12, 121:12 <b>fully</b> [6] - 48:8, 56:4, 57:4, 63:5, 72:15, 85:9 <b>functional</b> [1] - 83:23 <b>funny</b> [1] - 9:19 <b>furtherance</b> [1] - 64:6 <b>future</b> [3] - 73:25, 75:2, 121:24	20:21, 38:19, 38:23, 43:12, 48:3, 48:8, 48:16, 56:19, 57:11, 57:21, 66:12, 70:15, 75:10, 106:7, 121:14 <b>graduate</b> [1] - 59:9 <b>grams</b> [1] - 100:12 <b>grandma</b> [1] - 94:13 <b>grandparents</b> [3] - 18:16, 24:16, 27:20 <b>grant</b> [2] - 35:24, 37:9 <b>granted</b> [3] - 47:23, 82:2, 82:4 <b>gray</b> [1] - 63:17 <b>greatly</b> [1] - 34:10 <b>grew</b> [1] - 120:24 <b>group</b> [1] - 121:2 <b>guard</b> [1] - 67:20 <b>guards</b> [3] - 41:3, 93:5, 93:6 <b>guess</b> [8] - 10:16, 32:15, 43:10, 45:16, 48:5, 50:10, 50:12, 54:23 <b>guidance</b> [1] - 37:7 <b>guilt</b> [1] - 83:14 <b>guilty</b> [10] - 70:18, 70:19, 71:3, 71:10, 83:16, 84:16, 103:12, 103:13, 115:18 <b>gun</b> [1] - 96:12 <b>guy</b> [1] - 46:13 <b>guys</b> [2] - 9:2, 80:6	<b>harass</b> [1] - 73:6 <b>harassed</b> [4] - 53:11, 53:12, 65:17, 73:5 <b>harassing</b> [4] - 56:25, 119:15, 120:6, 120:20 <b>harassment</b> [1] - 52:5 <b>hard</b> [4] - 10:12, 10:13, 48:14, 71:7 <b>Harris</b> [2] - 95:16, 110:3 <b>Harrison</b> [18] - 24:2, 24:6, 64:22, 77:12, 79:19, 82:17, 86:13, 87:9, 88:21, 89:20, 101:23, 104:13, 106:5, 110:19, 111:21, 112:1, 122:5, 122:6 <b>harrison</b> [1] - 88:22 <b>Harrison's</b> [1] - 116:19 <b>harrowed</b> [2] - 41:2, 66:17 <b>Harry</b> [1] - 95:12 <b>head</b> [6] - 12:17, 56:13, 68:25, 106:8, 117:25, 118:2 <b>health</b> [1] - 107:3 <b>hear</b> [7] - 6:5, 25:24, 33:10, 33:15, 69:11, 106:9, 109:14 <b>heard</b> [6] - 1:19, 33:7, 72:2, 76:25, 78:22, 80:15 <b>hearing</b> [5] - 19:15, 19:21, 31:7, 45:21, 108:21 <b>hearings</b> [1] - 86:10 <b>hears</b> [3] - 14:6, 20:25 <b>hearsay</b> [4] - 29:23, 30:1, 123:24, 124:3 <b>heat</b> [1] - 46:14 <b>heavily</b> [1] - 20:4 <b>heinous</b> [1] - 89:23 <b>held</b> [4] - 1:21, 8:2, 27:15, 36:20 <b>help</b> [18] - 12:6, 12:7, 12:13, 13:4, 13:10, 13:11, 14:8, 21:7, 26:17, 29:5, 58:6, 58:8, 58:19, 60:9, 76:4, 94:13, 105:7, 116:22 <b>helping</b> [1] - 119:10 <b>helpless</b> [2] - 105:4, 105:6 <b>hereby</b> [1] - 125:6	<b>hesitant</b> [1] - 35:11 <b>hidden</b> [2] - 80:19, 80:20 <b>hide</b> [3] - 38:7, 38:10, 119:13 <b>high</b> [3] - 21:1, 50:13, 97:12 <b>high-speed</b> [1] - 97:12 <b>highlighted</b> [3] - 113:16, 114:10, 114:13 <b>Highway</b> [1] - 116:7 <b>hill</b> [1] - 49:23 <b>Hillary</b> [3] - 59:24, 65:1, 65:2 <b>himself</b> [3] - 13:15, 25:21, 65:22 <b>hindered</b> [1] - 67:4 <b>hindsight</b> [2] - 60:2, 122:8 <b>hire</b> [1] - 58:11 <b>hired</b> [1] - 55:2 <b>hires</b> [1] - 75:14 <b>history</b> [14] - 11:24, 19:25, 45:1, 55:15, 55:17, 76:22, 76:24, 77:15, 91:14, 91:15, 91:23, 92:7, 103:16, 104:1 <b>hit</b> [3] - 4:15, 28:6, 40:25 <b>Hof</b> [8] - 22:12, 22:15, 22:23, 23:4, 23:15, 23:20, 26:1, 88:15 <b>hold</b> [5] - 14:20, 36:23, 36:25 <b>hole</b> [1] - 76:11 <b>home</b> [9] - 11:3, 12:20, 12:22, 24:13, 46:20, 59:4, 97:13, 119:18, 119:23 <b>honest</b> [1] - 53:10 <b>honestly</b> [2] - 22:3, 62:5 <b>Honor</b> [14] - 4:4, 14:18, 16:24, 17:1, 23:23, 26:9, 26:11, 28:2, 29:7, 29:8, 30:13, 30:16, 44:25, 124:9 <b>Honorable</b> [1] - 1:21 <b>hope</b> [1] - 73:20 <b>hopes</b> [1] - 70:21 <b>hoping</b> [5] - 34:19, 72:23, 78:17, 107:7, 110:2 <b>hospital</b> [1] - 120:2 <b>hour</b> [1] - 97:12	<b>hours</b> [7] - 41:15, 46:8, 46:25, 48:13, 60:25, 66:9, 66:18 <b>House</b> [1] - 123:7 <b>house</b> [8] - 8:25, 9:9, 15:9, 17:12, 22:16, 24:10, 28:9, 96:8 <b>houses</b> [1] - 75:8 <b>Houston</b> [5] - 47:11, 51:10, 52:11, 53:2, 74:23 <b>huge</b> [2] - 12:23, 121:4 <b>human</b> [9] - 49:3, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 102:7, 102:9, 102:13, 102:15, 122:9 <b>hurt</b> [1] - 73:20 <b>husband</b> [7] - 7:15, 12:8, 13:16, 15:21, 16:3, 18:6, 22:10 <b>hybrid</b> [4] - 82:2, 82:7, 82:11, 82:14
<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>garage</b> [1] - 92:13 <b>gate</b> [1] - 40:24 <b>gathered</b> [1] - 54:11 <b>General</b> [2] - 65:23, 65:24 <b>generations</b> [2] - 73:25, 75:2 <b>gentleman</b> [2] - 7:1, 7:5 <b>Gentlemen</b> [1] - 38:16 <b>girl</b> [1] - 10:24 <b>girlfriend</b> [1] - 22:7 <b>girls</b> [1] - 51:18 <b>given</b> [14] - 65:20, 66:19, 72:5, 90:19, 91:1, 94:8, 94:10, 95:22, 96:13, 103:18, 103:20, 115:20, 122:22, 124:1 <b>glad</b> [1] - 25:24 <b>gladly</b> [1] - 41:8 <b>goal</b> [8] - 37:13, 72:17, 72:18, 72:19, 73:19, 73:23, 73:24, 89:13 <b>god</b> [1] - 10:22 <b>godmother</b> [1] - 8:10 <b>godparents</b> [1] - 18:8 <b>godson</b> [1] - 5:22 <b>Government</b> [8] - 38:9, 48:2, 70:5, 75:24, 76:4, 76:9, 121:17, 122:13 <b>government</b> [15] -	<b>habit</b> [1] - 25:21 <b>hair</b> [1] - 6:20 <b>half</b> [2] - 100:21, 121:5 <b>Hall</b> [1] - 116:7 <b>hallucinates</b> [1] - 77:13 <b>Hamilton</b> [3] - 42:19, 82:3, 85:24 <b>HAND</b> [1] - 125:19 <b>hand</b> [4] - 28:5, 39:9, 64:13, 64:14 <b>handed</b> [1] - 96:12 <b>handle</b> [1] - 23:19 <b>handled</b> [1] - 109:24 <b>hands</b> [3] - 58:3, 64:11, 99:25 <b>handwriting</b> [4] - 110:12, 114:1, 114:2 <b>hang</b> [5] - 30:14, 40:13, 43:23 <b>happy</b> [1] - 34:8	<b>idea</b> [3] - 35:4, 38:18, 48:8 <b>identified</b> [2] - 6:16, 7:9 <b>identify</b> [2] - 5:25, 6:6 <b>identifying</b> [3] - 6:17, 6:25, 7:4 <b>illegal</b> [2] - 46:16, 101:24 <b>imagine</b> [2] - 56:23, 66:16 <b>immediately</b> [3] - 53:23, 54:3, 55:1 <b>implicated</b> [1] - 34:2 <b>implied</b> [4] - 33:23, 67:18, 117:4 <b>imply</b> [1] - 115:24 <b>important</b> [3] - 31:25, 55:21, 72:21 <b>impression</b> [1] - 112:17 <b>impulses</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>IN</b> [1] - 1:6 <b>inaccurate</b> [1] - 105:15 <b>incarcerated</b> [2] - 98:14, 104:11 <b>incident</b> [3] - 116:15, 116:18, 120:4 <b>incite</b> [1] - 62:24 <b>include</b> [2] - 64:22,	<b>idea</b> [3] - 35:4, 38:18, 48:8 <b>identified</b> [2] - 6:16, 7:9 <b>identify</b> [2] - 5:25, 6:6 <b>identifying</b> [3] - 6:17, 6:25, 7:4 <b>illegal</b> [2] - 46:16, 101:24 <b>imagine</b> [2] - 56:23, 66:16 <b>immediately</b> [3] - 53:23, 54:3, 55:1 <b>implicated</b> [1] - 34:2 <b>implied</b> [4] - 33:23, 67:18, 117:4 <b>imply</b> [1] - 115:24 <b>important</b> [3] - 31:25, 55:21, 72:21 <b>impression</b> [1] - 112:17 <b>impulses</b> [1] - 56:15 <b>IN</b> [1] - 1:6 <b>inaccurate</b> [1] - 105:15 <b>incarcerated</b> [2] - 98:14, 104:11 <b>incident</b> [3] - 116:15, 116:18, 120:4 <b>incite</b> [1] - 62:24 <b>include</b> [2] - 64:22,	

## CONCLUSION

*Habeas Corpus*  
The petition for a writ of ~~certiorari~~ should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 1/8/2019

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**