

MAY 29 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Amy M. Hicks

Petitioner

CASE No. 18-8553

v.

Dallas County Community
College District

Respondent(s)

On Petition for Rehearing of an order
denying a petition for a writ of certiorari on May 20, 2019,
in a respectful manner, and denied by the lower courts,
In the US District Court for the Northern District of
Texas and the US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit,

On pursuant to the Supreme Court of the United States and Honorable Judges of the Supreme Court of the United States

Petitioner pro se is bringing forth a Petition for Rehearing of an order denying a Petition for a writ of certiorari on May 20, 2019, in a respectful manner, and denied by the lower courts, In the US District Court for the Northern District of Texas and the US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.

Petitioner pro se would like this Court to review and address with a final decision with honorable respect of the following issues within this matter from first filed March 22, 2017 to now.

a) Initial Complaint, formal complaint and petitioner's expenses in this matter in its entirety.

b) Petitioner's Constitutional Right and unanswered

Federal Question or Questions and Race Discrimination

c) Motion for Summary Judgment filed August 25, 2017

d) In this Judicial Matter a voluminous non-disputed material, substantial information filed under Supplement supportive documents on July 21, 2017 and August 09, 2017.

e) Petitioner pro se's answered Magistrate Judge

Irma Carrillo Ramirez's Questionnaire filed

on April 06, 2017 within this document demanded

a jury trial. This document mentioned, petitioner pro se

answers constitute an amendment to the complaint ^{filed} on 12-2017

and second amended complaint filed January 25, 2018 in

Case 3:17-cv-00809-D.

f) Petitioner's Hearing from Bankruptcy Court filed on

February 01, 2018 and had it transcribed and filed

August 22, 2018 US District Court for the Northern

District of Texas as it pertains and relates to this matter at hand. Bankruptcy Court Case No. 17-34764-HBH-B.

g) All the Issues within Petitioner's complaint that the Respondent had responded to was filed on September 18, 2017 as Document 38, within this document material that was misrepresentation, no material, no substantial, no evidence of any kind, throughout this judicial process and matter in its entirety, because of the Respondent and the law Firm meaning Attorney Gavin Samuel Martinson and the law Firm who allowed this Attorney Gavin Samuel Martinson to practice law in the state of Texas and respond to Petitioner's pro se documents in a timely manner without any credentials or license for the state of Texas throughout this Judicial process. Filed on 01/25/2018,

The law Firm's name is Oaktree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Steward, P.C. Attorneys at Law. The Waiver Form by Respondent, filed into the US Supreme Court on April 17, 2019.

h) Error of decisions in the lower courts; US District Court for the Northern District of Texas and the US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, that affected their due process rights.

The opinions of the lower courts mentioned are dated and filed as follow: US District Court - Case - 3:17cv00809 December 28, 2017, July 11, 2017, May 17, 2018, June 12, 2018 and July 12, 2018. In the US Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit - Case - 18-11044 a) August 28, 2018 and

January 09, 2019. All of these orders or opinions mentioned are included in the Supreme Court of the United States Petition For Writ of Certiorari that was filed on March 06, 2019, and then later denied on May 20, 2019, by the United States Supreme Court.

Petitioner respectfully prays that on Petition for rehearing of an order denying a petition for a writ of certiorari on May 20, 2019 and denied by the lower courts that issue to review all matter above and that

justice will prevail, to the full extent of the law,
Constitution of the United States, (Common law) Amendment VII.

This matter has harmed I, Amy M. Hicks, petitioner pro se
in her reputation, career and health.

I, Amy M. Hicks, petitioner pro se believe and declare under
penalty of perjury that the above statement for the grounds to be
limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or
controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously
presented, and that this Petition for Rehearing of an order
denying a petition for a writ of certiorari on May 20, 2019, in a
respectful manner, and denied by the lower courts, In the US
District Court for the Northern District of Texas and the US
Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit are all in good faith and not
for delay is true in its entirety.

Respectfully Submitted,

Amy M. Hicks, petitioner pro se

Amy M. Hicks, petitioner pro se