

18-8543 ORIGINAL

No. TO BE ASSIGNED

#1) 1ST DISTRICT 3:17-CV-00190-LC-CJK  
#2) U.S.C.A. 11<sup>th</sup> CIR 18-13573-D

Supreme Court of the United States

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FIRST STREET, N.E.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543-0001

FEB 27 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ANTHONY P. PEOPLES - Petitioner  
(Your Name)

VS.

SEC. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS - Respondent(s)

on

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

to  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT (1) PIAFOX ST.  
PENSACOLA, FLA. 32502

(Name of Court that last ruled on merits of your case)

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Legal Mail

Provided to

Blackwater River Correctional  
and Rehabilitation Facility  
on 2/27/19 for mailing. *Initials*

ANTHONY P. PEOPLES  
(Your Name)

F.D.O.C. # 215707

BLACKWATER RIVER CORRECTIONAL FACILITY  
5914 JEFF ATES ROAD  
MILTON, FLORIDA 32583

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

3<sup>rd</sup>  
WAS PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO EFFECTIVE  
ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL UNDER THE 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT AND  
DUE PROCESS 5<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT, VIOLATED BY,  
PLEADING TO A FRAUDULENT INFORMATION FILED MARCH 26<sup>TH</sup> 2013?

14

**LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.) COVER PAGE PAGE (1)
- 2.) QUESTIONS PRESENTED PAGE (2)
- 3.) LIST OF PARTIES PAGE (3)
- 4.) TABLE OF CONTENTS PAGE # (4)
- 5.) INDEX TO APPENDICES PAGE # (5)
- 6.) TABLE OF AUTHORITIES PAGE # (6)
- 7.) OPINIONS PAGE # (7)
- 8.) JURISDICTION PAGE # (8)
- 9.) CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION - PAGE # (9)
- 10.) STATEMENT OF CASE AND FACTS PAGE # (10) - # (12)
- 11.) REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION PAGES 13 - 15
- 12.) CONCLUSION PAGE # (16)
- 13.) MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS PAGES # (17)
- 14.) PRISONER CONSENT FORM AND FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE PAGE # (18)
- 15.) AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT TO MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS PAGE # (19 - 32)
- 16.) CONCLUSION PAGE # (33)
- 17.) PROOF OF SERVICE PAGE # (34)
- 18.) APPENDIX PAGES # (35 - 96) (EXHIBITS A - F)
- 19.) PROOF OF SERVICE FOR APPENDIX PAGE # (97)

#6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX - A 1/23/19 - ORDER DENYING C.O.A.

APPENDIX - B 8/3/18 - ORDER DENYING 2254 HABEAS CORPUS

APPENDIX - C D.C.A. MANDATES FOR CASES - 1D13-3473 / 1D16-5632

APPENDIX - D 6/19/2013 SENTENCING TRANSCRIPTS. PAGES - 85-119

APPENDIX - E OFFENSE REPORT INFORMATION PROPERTY APPRAISES LETTERS  
PROBABLE CAUSE [SUPPORTING EXHIBITS FOR ARGUMENT REASON.]

APPENDIX - F PETITIONERS NOTARIZED AFFIDAVIT AND MOTION TO WAIVE FEES

APPENDIX - G

APPENDIX - H

APPENDIX - I

APPENDIX - J

APPENDIX - K

APPENDIX - L

APPENDIX - M

APPENDIX - N

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

<u>Cases:</u>	<u>Page(s):</u>
1). <u>HOTAL VS. U.S. 524-U.S. 236, 247, 118 S. CT. 1969 1975, 141 L. ED. 2D, 242, 256, (1998)</u>	
2). <u>IN VOLUNTARY PLEA DUE TO MISADVISE OF COUNSEL, U.S. VS- LOUGHRY 908, F.2. 1014 (DC. CIR. 1990.)</u>	
3). <u>DUSKY VS. UNITED STATES- 80 S. CT. 788</u>	
4). <u>U.S. VS. QUINTANA 300. F3D. 1227 (11TH CIR. 2002.)</u>	

### Statutes and Rules:

3.210-3.219

Other: 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT, 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT, 5<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT  
OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

8<sup>th</sup>

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **Federal Courts**:

The opinion of the United States Court of Appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is;

reported at JAN 23 2019; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States District Court appears at Appendix        to the petition and is;

reported at 3rd DAY OF AUGUST 2018; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

For cases from **State Courts**:

The opinion of the highest State Court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is;

reported at 15<sup>th</sup> DCA DIRECT APPEAL #1-D13-3473; or, #1  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ Court appears at  
Appendix        to this petition and is;

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

#1 12-30-2013 AND  
POST CONVICTION/ CASE # 1-D16-5632  
MARCH 28<sup>th</sup> 2017

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **Federal Courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1-23-, 2019.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1254(1).  
*HOHN VS U.S. 524 U.S. 236, 247, 118 S CT. 1969, 1975, 141 L ED, 2D 242, 256, (1998).*

For cases from **State Courts**:

The date on which the highest State Court decided my case was 1-27-2014.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §1257(a).

10

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 1). VIOLATIONS OF THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSES OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION 5<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENTS.
- 2). HORN VS. U.S. - 524 U.S. 236, 247, 118, S. CT. 1969, 1975, 141 L. ED, 20, 242, 256, (1998.)
- 3). VIOLATIONS OF PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT U.S. CONSTITUTION.
- 4). IN VOLUNTARY PLEA DUE TO MISADVICE OF COUNSEL  
U.S. - VS. LOUGHREY, 908 F. 20 (DC. CIR 1990).

# 11  
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

(1) NATURE OF THE CASE. THIS IS A DIRECT APPEAL FROM A FINAL JUDGMENT IMPOSED IN A CRIMINAL CASE. MR. PEOPLES ENTERED A PLEA AND WAS SENTENCED TO PRISON BASED ON THAT PLEA. HE THEN FILED A MOTION TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA. THE JUDGE'S DENIAL OF THIS MOTION IS REVIEWED UNDER RULE 9.140(b)(2)(A)(i)C, FLORIDA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.

(2) COURSE OF PROCEEDINGS. BY INFORMATION IN TWO CASES, MR. PEOPLES WAS CHARGED WITH A NUMBER OF CRIMES. (RI-1) (RI-178) THE MOST SERIOUS CRIME WAS A BURGLARY OF DWELLING, A SECOND DEGREE FELONY. (RI-1) HE THEN ENTERED A PLEA TO ALL CHARGES IN BOTH CASES. (RI-142) HE AGREED THAT UPON DISPOSITION WAS A TEN YEAR PRISON SENTENCE. (RI-142) HE AGREED THAT HE QUALIFIED TO BE SENTENCED AS A HABITUAL FELONY OFFENDER. (RI-142) PURSUANT TO THE PLEA, THE JUDGE ADJUDICATED MR. PEOPLES GUILTY OF THE CRIMES IN BOTH CASES. (RI-147) THE JUDGE THEN SENTENCED HIM TO THE AGREED UPON SENTENCE OF A TOTAL OF TEN YEARS IN PRISON. (RI-150) THE SENTENCE WAS IMPOSED ON JUNE 19, 2013. (RI-155) ON JULY 14, 2013, MR. PEOPLES FILED A PRO SE MOTION TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA. (RI-168) HE SAID THAT "I WOULD HEREBY WITHDRAW MY PLEA..." (RI-168)

(3) DISPOSITION IN THE LOWER TRIBUNAL. THE JUDGE DENIED THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PLEA. (RI-169) A NOTICE OF APPEAL WAS FILED AFTER THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE PLEA BUT BEFORE THE DENIAL OF THE MOTION. A TIMELY MOTION TO WITHDRAW A PLEA POSTPONES RENDITION OF THE FINAL JUDGEMENT UNTIL THE MOTION IS RULLED UPON. THIS INITIAL BRIEF FOR THIS FRIVOLOUS APPEAL FOLLOWS.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

PLEA/SENTENCING HEARING

THE PARTIES ANNOUNCED READY FOR TRIAL AND PROCEEDED TO SELECT A JURY. (RI-27, 80) MR. PEOPLES HAD REJECTED A NON-HFO SENTENCE.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

OFFER OF TEN YEARS. (R1-27) HE SAID HE WOULD RATHER "DIE IN PRISON" (R1-27) ON THE DAY OF THE TRIAL, DEFENSE COUNSEL SAID MR. PEOPLES WANTED TO TAKE THE TEN YEAR OFFER BUT THE STATE WAS NO LONGER OFFERING IT. (R1-96) MR. PEOPLES THEN DECIDED TO PLEA STRAIGHT UP TO THE JUDGE. (R1-95) AFTER FURTHER DISCUSSION, THE PARTIES AGREED TO A TEN YEAR HABITUAL FELONY OFFENDER SENTENCE. (R1-97) MR. PEOPLES WAS PLACED UNDER OATH. (R-91) THE JUDGE EXPLAINED THE PLEA AGREEMENT, INCLUDING HIS JAIL CREDIT ON THE PRISON SENTENCE. (R1-104) THE JUDGE TOLD HIM THE SENTENCE WOULD BE IMPOSED UNDER THE HABITUAL FELONY OFFENDER STATUTE. MR. PEOPLES SAID HE WOULD STIPULATE TO THIS FINDING. (R1-106) MR. PEOPLES SAID HE HAD NOT TAKEN ANY MEDICATION, ALCOHOL, OR ILLEGAL DRUGS. (R1-106) HE SAID HE UNDERSTOOD WHAT WAS GOING ON. (R1-106) MR. PEOPLES SAID HE UNDERSTOOD, THAT BY ENTERING HIS PLEA, HE WAS NOT GOING TO HAVE A JURY TRIAL. HE SAID NO ONE HAD FORCED OR THREATENED HIM TO ENTER THE PLEA. (R1-108) HE SAID NO ONE HAD PROMISED HIM ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE TEN YEAR SENTENCE. (R1-108) HE SAID HE WAS SATISFIED WITH THE SERVICE OF HIS LAWYER. (R1-108) HE SAID HE READ AND UNDERSTOOD, THE WRITTEN PLEA AGREEMENT. (R1-110).

THE STATE PROVIDED A FACTUAL BASIS FOR CRIMES. (R1-112-113) MR. PEOPLES SAID HE WANTED TO ENTER THE PLEA. (R1-114) THE JUDGE EXCEPTED THE PLEA, FINDING IT WAS FREELY AND VOLUNTARILY ENTERED WITH A SUFFICIENT FACTUAL BASIS. HE ALSO FOUND THAT MR. PEOPLES HAD STIPULATED TO FINDING THAT HE QUALIFIED TO BE SENTENCED AS A HABITUAL FELONY OFFENDER. (R1-115) THE JUDGE THEN SENTENCED HIM TO A TOTAL OF TEN YEARS IN PRISON. (R1-116)

MOTION TO WITHDRAW PLEA

AFTER SENTENCING, MR. PEOPLES FILED A PRO SE MOTION TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA. (R1-168) THE WRITING SAID THAT HE "WOULD HEREBY WITHDRAW MY PLEA...." MR. PEOPLES DID NOT EXPLAIN WHY HE WANTED TO DO SO. THE JUDGE DENIED THE MOTION, FINDING IT WAS "FACIALLY AND LEGALLY INSUFFICIENT." (R1-169).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS3.950POST CONVICTION

- 1.) AUGUST 19<sup>th</sup> 2016 PETITIONER FILED A 3.950 THE DEFENDANT'S CONVICTION BECAME FINAL ON JAN. 27<sup>th</sup> 2014. THE MOTION WAS FILED BEYOND THE 2 YR LIMITATION APPLICABLE TO RULE 3.950 IT WAS DISMISSED BY ORDER ON 11-1-2016.
- 2.) ON JAN. 25<sup>th</sup> 2016 DEFENDANT FILED A 2<sup>nd</sup> AND 3<sup>rd</sup> POST CONVICTION MOTION WITH MEMORANDUM OF LAW. IT WAS DENIED APRIL 13<sup>th</sup> 2016 ON 4-20-2016, A REHEARING WAS FILED AND ON 5-16-2016, IT WAS DENIED. A NOTICE OF APPEAL WAS FILED ON 5-17-2016. MANDATE(S) OF PER CURIUM WERE ISSUED ON 1-17-2017 AND 3-28-2017 (CASE #1-D16-233) AND #1-D16-5632.

2254 HABEAS CORPUS

- 3.) PETITION FILED A 2254 TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN PENSACOLA (CASE # 3:17-CV-190-LC-1 CJK ANTHONY PEOPLES -VS- SEC. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ON 3-22-2017 # D.O.C. 1. IT WAS DENIED. THE ORDER AND JUDGEMENT DISMISSING THE 2254 DOCKETS # 30 AND # 31 WERE DATED 8-3-2018.
- 4.) A NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE C.O.A. 11<sup>th</sup> CIRCUIT WAS FILED ON 8-27-2018 D.O.C. # 32.

5)11<sup>th</sup> CIRCUIT OF APPEALS

PETITIONER/APPELLANT ORDER DENYING HIS CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY ON CASE # 18-13573-D WAS ISSUED ON 1-23-2019, NO MOTION TO RECONSIDER WAS FILED.

- 6.) PETITIONER NOW FILES THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ON

REASONS FOR GRANTING  
THE PETITION

1.) THE REASON FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION IS, THE COURT, STATES ATTORNEY, AND DEFENSE ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER, ALLOWED, AND SANCTIONED, THE PETITIONER, A CHALLENGED DEFENDANT, TO PLEA TO A "FRAUDULENT INFORMATION", ON 19<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JUNE 2013, AND DEFENDANT SUBMITTED TO THEIR AQUINENCE AND MISADVICE, RESULTING IN A INVOLUNTARY PLEA AND MANIFEST INJUSTICE.

SENTENCING

A). THE SENTENCING HEARING DATE WAS THE 19<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JUNE, 2013 AT THE M. C. BLANCHARD JUDICIAL BUILDING, 190 GOV. CENTER PENSACOLA FL, 32502 SEE: TRANSCRIPTS; APPENDIX D (A CAREFULL READING IS NECESSARY.) FOR THE STATE WAS RIVEN REID ESQ. ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEY, REPRESENTING PETITIONER, DEFENSE ATTORNEY, NICHOLAS THOMAS. CASES REVIEWED 2013-CF-001637 & 2013-CF-001086. THE HONORABLE JAN SHARKELFORD, CIRCUIT JUDGE PRESIDING.

APPENDIX D

B). DEFENSE ATTORNEY EXPLAINS TO THE COURT ON PAGE 86 LINES 12-16 THAT (OUR) PETITIONER SUFFERS FROM "UNTREATED PARANOIA, SCHIZOPHRENIA AND THAT HE IS PRESENTLY NOT TAKING HIS MEDICATION, A REASONABLE JURIST AND A COMPETANT STATES ATTORNEY, AT THIS TIME, SHOULD HAVE OUT OF ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, PUT A STAY ON THE SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS, AND COURT ORDERED A PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION FOR COMPETENCY. SEE: FLA RULES OF COURT 3.210 - 3.219, ESTABLISHING BY THE SUPREME COURT SEE: DUSKY VS. UNITED STATES (BO. S.C. 788)

C) APPENDIX: EXHIBIT D, PAGE # 91 - LINES 10-25, PETITIONER, UNDER OATH, EXPLAINS IN DETAIL HIS PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND NUMEROUS CONCERNS IN FACT ON PAGE # 91 LINE # 25 HE STATES: "I DON'T HAVE NO CONTROL ON HOW MY MIND COME AND HOW MY MIND GO."

# 1b

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

ALARMING, THE COURT DIDN'T EXIGENTLY STOP THIS SENTENCING, AS IT IS APPARENT PETITIONER IS "CONFUSED".

PAGE # 104 LINES) 1-10

1) THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHY WE ARE HERE TODAY?

2) THE DEFENDANT: "YES MAMM"

3) THE COURT: WHY ARE WE HERE?

4) THE DEFENDANT: FOR CHARGES I COMMITTED

D) DEFENDANT CONFUSED, STATED:

1) "FOR THE CHARGES THAT I COMMITTED.

THIS SIGNED TO STATEMENT, WAS THE BEST WAY HOW PETITIONER WAS CONFUSED. SEE: APPENDIX (E), REVEALS PETITIONER'S CONCERN AND CONFUSION. PETITIONER IS CHARGED BY A CERTIFIED NOTARIZED OFFICIAL INFORMATION CONTAINING A SWORN STATEMENT BY THE STATE'S ATTORNEY REPRESENTATIVE THAT, PETITIONER IS:

2) "CHARGED" WITH BURGLARY TO 3429 ANDREW AVE.

SEE: INFORMATION DATED 3/22/2013

3429 ANDREW AVE. IS A NON-EXISTANT DWELLING SEE: APPENDIX "E" ESCAMBIA CO. PROPERTY APPRAISERS LETTER DATED 2/7/2017 AND THE 4/20/2016 LETTER. BOTH LETTERS CERTIFIED FACTUALLY THAT 3429 ANDREW AVE. IS NON-EXISTANT. REMEMBER PETITIONER, WHEN ASKED BY THE COURT "WHY HE WAS HERE," HE STATED UNDER OATH

3) "FOR THE CHARGES THAT I COMMITTED".

OBVIOUSLY CONFUSED WHY HE WAS BEING MISADVISED BY THE COURT, DEFENSE ATTORNEY AND THE STATE ATTORNEY TO PLEAD OUT TO BURGLARY CHARGES OF A "NON-EXISTANT" ADDRESS. IN FACT, THE PROBABLE CAUSE AFFIDAVIT IN APPENDIX E IS ALSO FRAUDULANT. THE AFFIDAVIT ALSO STATES UNDER OATH, PETITIONER BURGLARIZED A NON-EXISTANT 3429 ANDREW AVE.

II  
17  
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

E.) PETITIONER SWEARS AND AFFIRMS THAT THE FACTS HEREIN ARE UN-REFUTABLE IN FACT THEY ARE NOTARIZED UNDER SEAL AND SWEORN TO.

1.) THE QUESTION HEREIN FOR REVIEW IS CONCISE IN RELATION TO THE CASE AND FACTS ON THE RECORD.

2.) PETITIONER HAS PRESENTED HIS REASON IN BREVITY AND CLARITY, AND IN GOOD FAITH THAT THIS COURTS SUPERVISION POWERS ARE NECESSARY FOR THE EXTRA-ORDINARY WRIT.

3.) THIS WAS A INVOLUNTARY PLEA, AND A MANIFEST INJUSTICE U.S.-VS- QUINTANA 300 F.3D.1227 (11<sup>TH</sup> CIR. 2002)

F.) CONCLUSION:

PETITIONER HUMBLY PRAYS THIS HONORABLE COURT ACCEPT JURISDICTION OF THIS WRIT, AND FURTHER RESOLVE THE QUESTION HEREIN. PETITIONER PROBABLY EXHAUSTED THIS ISSUE IN 3.850 PROCEEDINGS AND IN THE 2254 HABEAS CORPUS FEDERAL COURT. THE TRIAL COURT SAID THIS "MANIFEST INJUSTICE" / INVOLUNTARY PLEA, WAS "SCRIVERS ERROR". THE FEDERAL 2254 COURT EXPLAINED IT AS A TYPO-ERROR, IN THE 2254 COURT EXPLAINED IT AS IN THEIR REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION.

1.) IN NO CIRCUMSTANCE WOULD THE PUBLIC OR A SEASONED JURIST, THAT IS REASONABLE, AGREE, THAT A "CHALLENGED CONFUSED PETITIONER", DEF, PLEAD TO A FRAUDULENT INFORMATION, THAT WAS SUPPORTED BY A FRAUDULENT PROBABLE CAUSE AFFIDAVIT, THAT WAS THE BASIS FOR ARREST. WHEREFORE: PETITIONER HUMBLY PRAYS THIS COURT EXERCISES IT SUPERVISORY POWERS, AND ACCEPTS JURISDICTION OF THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT. EMPHASIZING, THE FACTS HEREIN, ARE NOT DEBATABLE OR AT ISSUE AND GRANT THIS WRIT AND ADDRESS THE QUESTION HEREIN. RESOLVING THIS MANIFEST INJUSTICE INVOLUNTARY PLEA, WHERE A CHALLENGED CONFUSED DEFENDANT WAS PLACED BEFORE THE COURT AND THE PUBLIC TO PLEAD TO A FRAUDULENT INFORMATION.

## CONCLUSION

This Petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

• /s/ 

• Date: 2-27-19