

NO. 18-8452

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OCTOBER TERM, 2017

IN: RE HAROLD BERNARD MASON

HAROLD BERNARD MASON

Petitioner,

v.

Vickie Churchman
Flint RiverQuarium Inc., et. al.,


Defendant(s),

Petition for Recusal in the
Petition of Rehearing of
Writ of Mandamus to the
United States Supreme Court


Harold B. Mason, (Pro se)

102 S. Jefferson Ave. - Apt 16
Albany, Georgia 31701
229. 364. 8924 – Cell
haroldmason83@hotmail.com

5/29/2019
Date



STATEMENT

Comes now Plaintiff, Harold Mason, Petitions and Move that Judges:

Clarence Thomas
Brett Kavanaugh

Recuse themselves based upon perceived bias on presenting spoliation of evidence as it was a part of their controversial nominations.

Recuse themselves because of the political atmosphere what spoliation of evidence represents for future nominees based upon political views, influence and affluence versus legal value


Whereas both have faced accusers where the spoliation of evidence existed and in spite of such evidence were appointed, with the latter appointment being a political appointment more so than an actual qualified candidate/appointee.

It is deemed that they have a general bias towards such presentations and can/will NOT review such cases favorably in adjudication of wrong doing based upon such presentations.

Title 28, U.S.C., Section 455(a) states:


Any justice, judge, or magistrate of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

It is sincerely hoped this recusal transpires and the matter



Harold B. Mason, (Pro se)

102 S. Jefferson Ave. - Apt 16
Albany, Georgia 31701
229. 364. 8924 – Cell
haroldmason83@hotmail.com



Date