

18-8412 ORIGINAL
CASE NO. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

13 Court, U.S.
FILED

FEB 13 2019

NAME OF THE CLERK

COOSA NATION OF NORTH AMERICA (USA)
Ex Rel. LAMAR PERRYMAN,

Petitioners,

Et Al.,

V.

GEORGIA and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents,

Et Al

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE
OF GEORGIA

LAMAR PERRYMAN, PRO SE,
CNNA (USA), P. O. BOX 1841,
JACKSON, GA. 30233-9999

CASE NO. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COOSA NATION OF NORTH AMERICA (USA)
Ex Rel. LAMAR PERRYMAN,

Petitioners,

Et Al.,

v.

GEORGIA and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents,

Et Al.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. QUESTIONS PRESENTED	p. 2
2. LIST OF PARTIES	p. 2
3. <u>JOHNSON V. M'INTOSH</u> , 21 U. S. 543 (1823)	p. 2
4. <u>CHEROKEE NATION V. GEORGIA</u> , 30 U. S. 1 (1831)	p. 2
5. <u>WORCESTOR V. GEORGIA</u> , 31 U. S. 515 (1832)	p. 2
6. OPINIONS BELOW	p. 3
7. JURISDICTION	p. 3
8. CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	p. 3
9. STATEMENT OF THE CASE	p. 3
10. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	p. 4
11. CONCLUSION	p. 4
12. PROOF OF SERVICE (SUBMITTED WITH PETITION)	
13. MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (SUBMITTED WITH PETITION)	

CASE NO. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**COOSA NATION OF NORTH AMERICA (USA) ex rel.
LAMAR PERRYMAN (Officially known as CATE HONVNWV
or Principal Chief RED MAN), individually and in my official
capacity, and on behalf of all members, officers and citizens of
the Coosa Nation of North America (USA) and all others whom
are similarly situated in the State Of Georgia and throughout the
United States of America,**

Petitioners, Et Al.,

V.

**GEORGIA, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA,
SECRETARY OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DEPT. OF
NATURAL RESOURCES AND COMMISSIONER OF GA.
D. N. R., DEPT. OF EDUCATION AND SUPERINTENDENT
OF GA. D. O. E., BUTTS COUNTY AND COUNTIES OF THE
STATE OF GEORGIA, GEORGIA COUNCIL ON AMERICAN
INDIAN CONCERNS, GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE
OF GEORGIA, *individually and in their political and official
capacities,***

AND

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA (HON. DONALD J. TRUMP), U. S. DEPT. OF THE INTERIOR
AND SECRETARY OF THE U. S. DEPT. OF THE INTERIOR, U. S. DEPT. OF
EDUCATION AND THE SECRETARY OF THE U. S. DEPT. OF EDUCATION,
U. S. DEPT. OF STATE AND THE SECRETARY OF THE U. S. DEPT. OF STATE,
U. S. CONGRESS (as in the 116th UNITED STATES CONGRESS AS U. S. CONGRESS
ASSEMBLED), *individually and in their political and official capacities,***

Respondents, Et Al.

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF
GEORGIA**

**LAMAR PERRYMAN, CNNA (USA),
P. O. BOX 1841,
JACKSON, GA. 30233
(678) 752-8455**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Does Title 25 U. S. C. Section 177 (Non-Intercourse Act) and this Court's decisions in Johnson v. MIntosh, Cherokee Nation v. Georgia and Worcester V. Georgia abrogate Georgia's Sovereign Immunity and Eleventh Amendment Immunity when Georgia's actions against the petitioners were taken in violation of the laws and treaties of the United States of America?
2. Does the actions of Georgia violate both the Constitution of the State of Georgia and the U. S. Constitution in regards to the petitioners claims?
3. Can Georgia extend it's Sovereign Immunity and Eleventh Amendment Immunity to it's Counties where the Counties do not normally possess such immunities against the petitioners claims?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties to this action appear in the caption of the case on the cover sheet.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

OPINIONS BELOW

1. Perryman v. Georgia, No. 16-EV-005558 (Superior Court Of Fulton County, State Court Division, August 17, 2017). Attached herewith as Appendix One "1".
2. Perryman v. Georgia, No. A18A0598 (Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia, April 18, 2018) (A petition for rehearing was timely filed in this case and subsequently denied by the Court on May 3, 2018). Attached herewith as Appendix Two "2".
3. Perryman v. The State, No. S18C1237 (Supreme Court of the State of Georgia, Nov. 15, 2018). Attached herewith as Appendix Three "3".
4. (All opinions are unpublished).

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Title 28 U. S. C. Section 1257 (a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Title 25 U. S. C. Section 177 (Indian Non-Intercourse Act)
2. United States Constitution Fifth Amendment
3. United States Constitution Eighth Amendment
4. United States Constitution Fourteenth Amendment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case involves the human, civil, political, ethnic, economic, social, cultural and property rights of the Native American Aborigines whom are also known as the American Indians of Color and designated as such by state laws enacted to that effect. In other words we are the American Indians known as persons of color by racial codes or race-based codes.

This resulted in an intentional pogrom for such American Indians which pogroms have been enacted and maintained by the state itself.

We are identified and recognized as a suspect class and suspect group of peoples for the purposes of maintaining our dispossessions and disenfranchisement but we are not recognized in any other terms or in any other ways besides the pogrom and discriminations complained of.

The Native American Aborigines as American Indians of Color are an ethnic group of peoples in the State of Georgia and the United States of America whose rights to identify through parental lineages, genealogy, clan, band, tribal, ethnic and national association has been suppressed, curtailed and outright denied by acts of the state itself.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The reasons for granting the petition is to restore the rights of the Native American Aborigines, (American Indians of Color) to exist and co-exist peaceably here in our ethnic homeland among all other communities of peoples in this country and to partake in all of the lawful bounties, rights, privileges and immunities of such existence without any state prohibitions or state interferences.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons the petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/ 
LAMAR PERRYMAN, UNNA (USA)

Date: February 12, 2019