

LAW OFFICES
HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
(206) 623-1700

TIMOTHY G. LEYH

E-MAIL: TIML@HARRIGANLEYH.COM
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-8717

January 18, 2019

Scott S. Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: No. 18-838, Request for Extension of Time
Kaseburg, et al. v. Port of Seattle, et al. and Hornish, et al. v. King County

Dear Mr. Harris:

Each of the undersigned represents one of the four respondents in the above-referenced petition for certiorari. A response is currently due February 4, 2019. We respectfully request that the time to file a response be extended by 30 days, to and including March 6, 2019.

Although each respondent filed its own brief in the Court of Appeals, the respondents intend to file one joint brief in opposition to the petition. The extension is warranted because the coordination necessary among four separately-represented parties will mean that drafting the opposition will take longer than the allowed 30 days. In addition, the attorneys responsible for preparing the response have upcoming filings and trial in several other matters that will require their attention over the next several weeks.¹

An extension will permit counsel to file a joint response that fully analyzes and responds to the arguments raised in the petition for certiorari.

¹ Among other matters, counsel for the Port of Seattle is scheduled to file a merits opposition brief on February 19 in *Spokeo, Inc. v. Whitepages, Inc.*, No. 77897-3-I (Wash. Ct. App.), and is filing and arguing a summary judgment motion in early February in *Stier v. PEMCO*, No. 18-2-08153-5 (Pierce Cty. Sup. Ct.); counsel for King County is preparing a Petition for Writ of Certiorari for this Court in *Moore v. Johanknecht*, No. 18-675 (due Feb. 7, 2019), two separate briefs for the Washington Supreme Court (*King County v. King County Water Districts*, No. 96360-6, and *Schwartz v. King County*, No. 96263-4), and preparing for a February 11, trial date in *Neighbors, et al. v. King County*, No. 15-2-20483-1 SEA (King Cty. Sup. Ct.); counsel for Sound Transit has an oral argument in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on February 4, 2019 (*Willis v. City of Seattle*, No. 18-35053), is counsel of record in another case being argued that same day (*Edge v. City of Everett*, No. 17-36038), and has multiple pending appellate briefs due within the next month's time.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
January 18, 2019
Page 2

Very truly yours,

HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER &
THOMSEN LLP



Timothy G. Leyh
Counsel of Record for the Port of Seattle

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP



James E. Breitenbucher
*Counsel of Record for Puget Sound Energy,
Inc.*

KING COUNTY PROSECUTING
ATTORNEY



David J. Hackett, Senior Deputy Prosecuting
Attorney
Counsel of Record for King County

PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP



Matthew J. Segal
*Counsel of Record for Central Puget Sound
Regional Transit Authority*

TGL:fcf

cc: Steven W. Wald, Thomas S. Stewart, Elizabeth G. McCulley
Stewart Wald & McCulley LLC
Counsel for Petitioners