

No. \_\_\_\_\_

18-8278

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

DEC 19 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT U.S.

Timothy Schieve

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.



State of Indiana — RESPONDENT(S)

Supreme Court

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Indiana Supreme Court

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Timothy Schieve

(Your Name)

255 Brucke Strasse

(Address)

Jasper, In. 47546

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why was testimony from an inmate who is a known person who provides false testimonies in return for reduction in his sentence?

Why was a judicial instruction to return with a concisis verdict permissible?

Why was the DA permitted to script the testimonies for the state witnesses?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of ~~State~~ Court of Appeals

APPENDIX B Indiana Supreme Court Order

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

Timothy M. Schieve  
v  
State of Indiana

### PAGE NUMBER

### STATUTES AND RULES

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[✓] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[✓] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 10/25/18. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The state had produced witnesses whose testimony they had scripted with false accusations. The primary witness had her testimony filled with inaccurate facts. The States investigator did not set up a true account of his actual findings and fell short of his duties. I feel that I was not given a fair trial and was actually not provided with counsel that was interested in my concernings,

#### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I would ~~actually~~ like to have counsel that will work for my rights.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy M. Schieve

Date: 12/26/18