

No. _____

18-8278

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

DEC 19 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Timothy Schieve

(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

vs.

State of Indiana

Supreme Court

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Indiana Supreme Court

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Timothy Schieve

(Your Name)

255 Brucke Strasse

(Address)

Jasper, In. 47546

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why was testimony from an inmate who is a known person who provides false testimonies in return for a reduction in his sentence?

Why was a judicial instruction to return with a consensus verdict permissible?

Why was the DA permitted to script the testimonies for the state witnesses?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *Decision of State Court of Appeals*

APPENDIX B *Indiana Supreme Court Order*

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Timothy M. Schieve
v
State of Indiana

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 10/25/18.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The state had produced witnesses whose testimony they had scripted with false acquisitions. The primary witness had her testimony filled with inaccurate facts. The States investigator did not set up a true account of his actual findings and fell short of his duties. I feel that I was not given a fair trial and was actually not provided with counsel that was interested in my concerns,

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I would actually like to have counsel that will work for my rights.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy M. Schieve

Date: 12/26/18