

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 18-8196

LARRY R. TART

v.

ERIC A. HOOKS

Petition for Rehearing : Placed Before Justice Clarence Thomas
Supreme Court Rule 34 Supreme Court Rule 22, and Rule 12.(2)

Petition for Rehearing Before Individual Justice

It is the duty of the Judicial Department to say what the law is, and that under this doctrine the United States Supreme Court has assumed the power, and responsibility to decide the constitutionality of unconstitutional act's, or violation's on the face of U.S. Constitutional Statutes.


The Clerk of this U.S. Supreme Courts 4-29-19 denial for Petitioners good faith request for certiorari meet "Void for Vague ness doctrines", and in accordance with "U.S.C. 552(a) and (b) of the Freedom Of Information / Privacy Act". "WE" deserve the legal right to know what the 4-29-19 denial for certiorari was based upon? Was the denial on 4-29-19 by the Court Clerk conjunctive? disjunctive, general, or specific? [Please respond to this legal question]

Petitioner also brings into question the Supreme Court Clerks
1-of-2

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 18-8196

[continued]



RE: TART V. HODKS

"bad faith intentional motives" sending Petitioners mails to address's I do not reside in. On 4-25-19 I sent this Court a Charge of Address notification, with Certificate of Service enclosed, yet my mail from this Court has gone to two "2" wrong address's. Petitioner has not resided at 545 Amity Park Road, Spruce Pine, N.C., since 2013, but the last time the Clerk sent me mail its gone there. Why? Is it ment to delay my response time? I'm not asking this question to vex, or be difficult. I just want to know, "what's really going on?"

Petitioner further requests that his request for Rehearing, before an Individual Justice, be treated as a Bill of Review, to secure an explanation surrounding the 4-29-19 Court Clerks denial, on the errors of law found in his original 2-21-19 Petition for Writ of Certiorari, conflicting with provision's of the United States Constitution, and imperative to public opinion.

As a United States Army Military Veteran I ^{with} specifically request any determinations in this case be handed down to me by a United States Justice, Justice Clarence Thomas, preferably.

Respectfully :

Larry R. Tart ^{JR} 6-2-19

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

No. 18-8196

I Larry R. Tart, declares under penalty of perjury that a true and correct copy of the Petition for Rehearing:
Placed Before Justice Clarence Thomas, has this 2nd day of June 2019, been placed in U.S. postal service mail to the following:

Supreme Court of the U.S.
Office of the Clerk
Washington, D.C. 20543-0001

N.C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, N.C. 27699

Mr. Larry R. Tart # 0989071
Rutherford C.I.
Spindale, N.C. 28160

Larry R. Tart 6-2-19