

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
WASHINGTON, DC

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2019

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Courts for the District of Columbia

RECEIVED

FEB 21 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Daryl Sharp

Ambassador and Representative of the

Living God Jehovah

2020a n 14th street

Arlington, Virginia 22201

Case No

Vs

Timothy Dolan, Daniel DiNardo

Christophe Pierre and the U.S.

Conference of Catholic Bishops

3339 Mass. Ave

Washington DC 20016

RECEIVED
COURT U.S.
CLERK'S OFFICE

2019 FEB - 6 P 1:51

CS1457

WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the Honorable Judge Presiding

RECEIVED

JAN 28 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

A Motion for Admission by Party Opponent was to be filed on

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. _____

18-8184

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

FILED

JAN 28 2019

FILED

JAN 28 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Daryl Sharp

(Your Name)

2020A N 14TH Street

(Address)

Arlington, Va 22201

(City, State, Zip Code)

703-705-9002

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

WHETHER THE CASE AGAINST THE VATICAN WITH
EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT ALTERATION OF TEACHING BOOKS
EVIDENCE SHOWING INTERFERENCE WITH FEDERAL
INVESTIGATION INCLUDING DATED JUDGEMENT IN PLAINTIFF'S
BEHALF CAN'T GET JUSTICE AND CONVICTION FROM
DUE PROCESS AND GUILTY VERDICT, WHEN LIVES ARE
INVOLVED.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE V. OSBORNE 129 S.Ct
2308, 2320

STATUTES AND RULES

"FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS IN [THE] OPERATION"
OF TEXAS SCHEME

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was November 26, 2018

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

TITLE 18 § 1091 GENOCIDE

TITLE 18 2384 SEDITION CONSPIRACY

TITLE 18 2332 TERROR ATTACKS in MULTIPLE VENUES
(A-G)

TITLE 18 2241-43 CHILD ABUSE

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ALTERED TEACHING MANUAL (THE BIBLE) HAS
RESULTED IN MASSIVE THEFT OF GOVERNMENT FUNDS,
SHUTDOWN OF THE GOVERNMENT ITSELF, TERROR ATTACKS
BY BISHOPS BLACKMAILING THEIR PARISHIONERS INTO
HEINOUS SCHOOL SHOOTING ACTS ALL OF WHICH CAN BE
PROVEN IN A COURT OF LAW BY DEFENDING THE
TEACHING MANUAL; THIS WITH SUBPOENA'D RECORDS
FROM STATE AUDITORS AND TITLE 18 § 1091 AND IT'S
DEFINITION ALONG WITH TITLE 18 § 2384 serious
CONSPIRACY AND THE TRANSCRIPTS SUPPLIED SHOULD MOVE
THE SUPREME COURT JUSTICES TO THE OBVIOUS GUILTY
VERDICT ESPECIALLY WITH CONFESSIONS FROM POPE
FRANCIS AND REINHOLD MARK ARCHBISHOP OF GERMANY
ON INTERNATIONAL TV.

*** ARGUMENT ***

October 5, 2018 at 9 a.m. By Direction of Federal Authorities when When I was arrested by the Defendant at 6 a.m., 3 hours Earlier that same day. The successful defense of the bogus charges designed to slow this case up happened on January 4, 2019, 3month's later, interfering with any time to reply to any time constraints the judicial system placed on this case.

As Pope Francis admitted on international TV August 21, 2018, plus or minus a week, the 3rd paragraph on page 16 of the docket header shows the conviction that would have been attained had an Admission by Party Opponent Motion had been filed.

2nd A document (acquittal) by elders inside Jehovah's Organization was removed from this Case Number 18-7128, and when it was discovered was re-inserted on October 4, 2018. I was arrested as mentioned earlier at 6 a.m. the following day. The preponderance of evidence from the original case docket pages 14-16 would clearly show interference with this federal investigation, title 18 section 1512 and Seditious Conspiracy title 18 section 2384 with defendants and those Imposters inside Jehovah's Organization pursuant to the original 7 Page complaint.


3rd as the government has been shut down almost the same time as this case was denied on November 26, 2018 plus any time allowed for plaintiff to reply to said denial, a shutdown now in its 26 day, again the conclusion of collusion between Donald Trump and the Catholic leaders

*** RELIEF SOUGHT ***

can be clearly seen.

For these Reasons and the dated evidence placed in Case Number 1:19cv00048, plaintiff motions to reopen Case Number 18-7128 and further move for a Default Judgement of all request made pursuant to the original 3page case attached including 500 trillion dollars per state, island, province and country on the planet and the conviction of all bishops including Christophe Pierre, Timothy Dolan, and others of the Catholic Hierarchy,514 in the united states alone, stealing, abusing and murdering including terrorist attacks on public schools and other places of family gathering, in the name of God no less pursuant to the original request from the 7page complaint Case number 18-7128.

Respectfully Submitted



Daryl Sharp

Servant of the living god Jehovah and

Pro se Attorney


2020a N 14th

Arlington, Virginia 22201

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug O'Day". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the "Day" portion.

Date: Feb 6 2019

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE PROBABILITY OF EVIDENCE SHOWING COURT VICTORIES AGAINST THE LEADERS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH AND THEIR DELIBERATE ATTEMPT TO INTERFERE WITH DUE PROCESS BY FABRICATING BOGUS CHARGES REPEATEDLY WITH ADJUDICATED JUDGEMENTS IN BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, MOST RECENT JAN 4, 2019 WITH TRANSCRIPTS OF CONDUCT LEGALLY DOCUMENTED SHOULD MOVE THE HONORABLE SUPREME COURT TO GRANT PETITION AND AS THE COLLUSION TO SHUT THE GOVERNMENT DOWN HAS AFFECTED THE LIVES OF MILLIONS AGAIN SAID DOCUMENTED CONDUCT SHOULD MOVE FOR GRANTING OF PETITION.