

NUMBER 18-1420

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

WESSLEY J GUNCHICK PESPONDENT

VERSUS

BANK FO AMERICA RESPONDENT

NOW COMES PETITIONER WESSLEY J GUNCHICK WITH THIS MOTION FOR A REHEARING

NUMBER 18-1420

This petition/motion for a rehearing to the most honorable Supreme Court Of The United States Of America is hereby presented in a timely manner .

With this timely filing of this petition/motion for a rehearing, Petitioner Wessley J Gunchick shall herein state its grounds briefly and distinctly.

By and By , to wit , Petitioner Wessley J gunchick states will all distinction that there are indeed substantial grounds not previously presented that will allow for due process and justice to be fulfilled in triumph with this pleading for a rehearing.

Let it be known to most honorable high court of The United States Of America , that Respondent Bank Of America had "inherited" The LaSalle Bank Legacy Pension Planwith its merger/purchase of LaSalle Bank N A some 10 years ago.

The LaSalle Bank Legacy Pension Plan was formulated with a bias and discriminatory means when a compensation component was calculated on behalf of 100% commisioned wage earners of which Petitioner was employed as a 100% commisioned Bank Officer of same said employer LaSalle Bank . ERISA requires formulation of a given employers pension plan to be fair and impartial to all pension plan participants .

Further , within the pension plan of The LaSalle Bank Legacy Pension Plan , a blatant biased and discriminatory means developed whereby some 100% commisioned loan officers were forgiven any/all draw pay out shortages while others were required to "pay back" such accumulated shortages with future antipated commission earnings, and some 100% commisioned officers

were terminated by same said Respondent .

An accurate compensation component as required by ERISA was impossible to be attained in a fair and impartial manner .


5/20/2019

NUMBER 18-1420

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

I Wessley J Gunchick Petitioner cerify that this petition/motion for a rehearing that the grounds are limited to intervening cicumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented are in good faith and not for delay .



Wessley J Gunchick Petitioner

20th of May 2019

Pro se

Forma Pauperis

