

ORIGINAL

18-8119

FILED

FEB 21 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WALTER D. JENKINS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

DAVID ZOKE — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

✓ UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WALTER D. JENKINS
(Your Name)

24414 MUSSELWHITE DRIVE
(Address)

WAVERLY, VA 23891
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1) WAS THE PETITIONER DENIED BY THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS A PRIMA FACIE OF SIXTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
ON NO COUNSEL IN HABEAS CORPUS OF INEFFECTIVE COUNSELING OF SPEEDY TRIAL WAIVER

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the
Fourth Circuit, entered November 30, 2018*

APPENDIX B *Order of filed successive Petition of the United States
District Court, entered August 30, 2018*

APPENDIX C *Order of Affidavit to the United States District Court,
entered August 2, 2018*

APPENDIX D *Order of the United States District Court, entered
July 2, 2018*

APPENDIX E *Habeas Corpus Order of the United States District Court,
entered August 28, 2018*

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

	PAGE NUMBER
JOHNSON V. ZERBIST, 304 U.S. 459, 466 (1984)	5
LEWIS V. CASEY, 518 U.S. 343, 351 (1996)	5
MARTINEZ V. RYAN, U.S. 132, 1309-1312 (2012)	5
STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON, 466 U.S. 688, 687-691 (1984)	5
UNITED STATES V. MARION, 404 U.S. 307, 313 (1973)	5
<u>UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS</u>	
IN RE WILLIAMS, 330 F.3d 277, 282 (4th Cir. 2003)	5

STATUTES AND RULES

FEDERAL CODE

18 U.S.C. § 3161-74	5
28 U.S.C. § 2244	5
28 U.S.C. § 2254	5

FEDERAL RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 48(b)

U.S. CONSTITUTION

SIXTH AMEND., U.S. CONST.	345
FOURTEENTH AMEND., U.S. CONST.	345

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at N/A; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at N/A; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

reported at N/A; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

reported at N/A; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was NOVEMBER 30, 2018.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case. N/A

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A N/A

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix N/A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A N/A

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

2,

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SIXTH AMENDMENT

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial... and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT

Section 1. All persons born in the United States, of jurisdiction thereof, are citizens...
No State shall deprive any person of liberty without due process of Law,

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner accused of Murder and Display of Fire arm on September 19, 2006, speedy trial time
expired as waiver was In effective of Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment violation on
April 29, 2007, (P16,12,Exh. 1,2,Record 66292-93) Sentenced to 33 years at Sept. 11, 2008.
Petition was denied a appeal by the United States Court of Appeals, see "App. A."

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

(1) THE PETITIONER WAS DENIED BY THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS A PRIMA FACIE OF SIXTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
ON NO COUNSEL IN HABEAS CORPUS OF INEFFECTIVE COUNSELING OF SPEEDY TRIAL WAIVER.

The Petitioner was accused of 1st Degree Murder, and Display of Fire arm on September 19, 2006. United States v. Martinez
404 U.S. 307, 313 (1973). Speedy trial time lapsed 18 U.S.C. 8836(f-74). Lawyer Barry "Zweig" ineffective ly
waived May 1, 2007 (trial Fed. R. Crim. P. 48(b)) of Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment violation on April 19, 2008. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 688, 687-88 (1984). On Silent record, Johnson v. Zerbst, 304 U.S. 459, 464 (1938)
(P 11, 12, Exh. 1, 2, Record 66292-93) Sentenced to 33 years (1266293-93) of September 11, 2008.
Fd. Strickland at 687-691. Argued (P 2, Exh. 3, Record 66293-93), (P 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, Record 66293-93)
but Denied (P 14, Exh. 8, Record 66293-93).

The United States Court of Appeals denied Petitioner a Prima Facie 28 U.S.C. (2244(b)(3)(C), In re Williams
330 F.3d 277, 282 (4th Cir. 2003) On November 30, 2018, An no counsel in previously 28 U.S.C. 2254
Habeas Corpus denied on August 28, 2013. Martinez v. Ryan, 115, 132, 1309-1312 (2012) see "APPENDIX"
Received Martinez's Case in February 2017 of Sussex (State Prison) In adequate Law Library
Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 351 (1996) see "APPENDIX 3".

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew J. Hess

Date: Feb. 13, 2019