

No. 18-8084

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

FEB 19 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

WARRIOR Scott Taylor — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF V.A. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WARRIOR Scott Taylor
(Your Name)

212 WEST MAIN STREET APT 2-3
(Address)

LURIA VA 22835
(City, State, Zip Code)

1-540-743-1133
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

① WHY DID THE PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT DENY THE ORDER OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S FOR THE CHARGE OF UNREASONABLY REFUSING TO PERMIT A SAMPLE OF BLOOD OR BREATH THAT WAS A NO-NIE PROSECUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995. THIS CONTINUED EXPLANATION OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE IS ~~CAUSED BY THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S FOR THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I. CONVICTION~~ REFLECTING THE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD AND DUE-IMB RECORD BY CREATING CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH IS CONSTITUTING A MANIFEST INJUSTICE AND THAT CIRCUMSTANCE IS A VOID WITHOUT MERIT FNUCENCE PROVEN NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I CONVICTION. IF THE RECORD'S OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I CONVICTION BECAUSE THE RECORD'S OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE AND D.U.I CHARGE ARE RELATED RECORD'S. THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 CONVICTION IS A SECOND OFFENSE D.U.I AND IF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S IN THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 MATTER WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S FOR THE 3RD OFFENSE D.U.I FROM JUNE 26, 2001 AND EXPUNGE THE ELECTRONIC CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CONVICTION ~~FROM~~ FROM JUNE 26, 2001 WHICH EXIST ON THE VIRGINIA STATE POLICE CRIMINAL HISTORY WHICH IS ON PAGE TWENTI TWO OF PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RECORD NUMBER CL-18-80

② WHY DID THE VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT REFUSE THE PETITION FOR APPEAL THE PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT ERRORED BY DENYING A ORDER OF EXPUNGE-
MENT FOR ~~REFUSAL~~ POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WAS RULED A NO-NIE PROSECUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995 IF A ORDER OF EXPUNGE-
MENT WERE ISSUED TO EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S FOR THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WAS RULED A NO-NIE PROSECUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995 THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I CONVICTION BECAUSE THE RECORD'S OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE AND NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I CONVICTION ARE RELATED RECORD'S AND IF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 MATTER WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORD'S FOR THE JUNE 26, 2001 FELONY D.U.I CONVICTION AND EXPUNGE THE JUNE 26, 2001 ELECTRONIC CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD RECORD FROM THE VIRGINIA STATE POLICE RECORD ON PAGE TWENTI TWO OF PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RECORD NUMBER CL-18-80 BECAUSE THE RECORD'S OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 AND JUNE 26, 2001 RECORD'S ARE RELATED RECORD'S

9

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT REFUSED PETITION FOR APPEAL ON
NOVEMBER 28, 2018

APPENDIX B PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT DENIED PETITION FOR EXPUNGEMENT
ON FEBRUARY 27, 2018

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES VIRGINIA CODE 18.2-268.3 REFUSAL OF TEST
PLAINTIFFS PROCEDURES

VIRGINIA CODE 19.2-392.2 EXPUNGING OF POLICE AND
COURT RECORDS

PAGE 14

OTHER

12

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the PAGE CIRCUIT COURT court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

13

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was November 28, 2018.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

• ~~• VIRGINIA CODE 19.2-392.2 EXPUNGEMENT OF POLICE AND COURT RECORDS STATES~~ **(A)**

• IF A PERSON IS CHARGED WITH THE COMMISSION OF A CRIME OR ANY OFFENSE DEFINED IN TITLE 18.2 AND **(1)** IS ACQUITTED OR **(2)** A NOLE PROSEQUITUR IS TAKEN OR THE CHARGE IS OTHERWISE DISMISSED INCLUDING DISMISSAL BY ALLEGED AND SATISFACTION PURSUANT TO 19.2-151 HE MAY FILE A PETITION SETTING FORTH THE RELEVANT FACTS AND REQUESTING EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE RECORDS AND THE COURT RECORDS RELATING TO THE CHARGE. SECTION **(E)** OF VA CODE 19.2-392.2 STATES AFTER RECEIVING THE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION FROM THE CENTRAL CRIMINAL RECORDS EXCHANGE THE COURT SHALL CONDUCT A HEARING ON THE PETITION IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THE CONTINUED EXISTENCE AND POSSIBLE DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION RELATING TO THE ARREST OF THE PETITIONER CAUSES OR MAY CAUSE CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH CONSTITUTE A MANIFEST INJUSTICE TO THE PETITIONER IT SHALL ENTER A ORDER REQUIRING THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS INCLUDING ELECTRONIC RECORDS RELATING TO THE CHARGE AND SHALL AS IN MEETING IF THERE IS A MANIFEST INJUSTICE THE COURT HAS TO ENTER A ORDER OF EXPUNGEMENT. VA CODE 18.2-268.3 REFUSAL OF TEST PENALTIES PROCEDURES STATES **(A)** IT SHALL BE UNLAWFUL FOR A PERSON WHO IS ARRESTED FOR A VIOLATION OF 18.2-266 18.2-266.1 OR SUBSECTION 18.2-272 OR OF A SIMILAR ORDINANCE UNREASONABLY REFUSE TO HAVE SAMPLES OF HIS BLOOD OR BREATH OR BOTH BLOOD AND BREATH TAKEN FOR CHEMICAL TEST TO DETERMINE THE ALCOHOL OR DRUG CONTENT OF HIS BLOOD AS REQUIRED BY 18.2-268.2 AND ANY PERSON WHO SO UNREASONABLY REFUSES IS GUILTY OF A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION. SECTION **(B)** OF VIRGINIA CODE 18.2-268.3 STATES WHEN A PERSON IS ARRESTED FOR A VIOLATION OF 18.2-51.4 18.2-266 18.2-266.1 OR SUBSECTION **(B)** OF 18.2-272 OR OF A SIMILAR ORDINANCE AND SUCH PERSON REFUSES TO PERMIT BLOOD OR BREATH OR BOTH BLOOD AND BREATH SAMPLES TO BE TAKEN FOR TESTING AS REQUIRED BY 18.2-268.2 THE ARRESTING OFFICER SHALL ADVISE THE PERSON FROM A FORM PROVIDED PROVIDED BY THE OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF VA THAT FORM MENTIONED IS CALLED THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL TO PERMIT SAMPLES OF BLOOD OR BREATH THAT A PERSON WHO OPERATES A MOTOR VEHICLE UPON A HIGHWAY IN THE COMMONWEALTH IS DEEMED THE SUSPECT AS A CONDITION OF SUCH ORDINATION TO HAVE CONSENTED TO HAVE SAMPLES OF HIS BLOOD AND BREATH TAKEN FOR CHEMICAL TEST TO DETERMINE THE ALCOHOL OR DRUG CONTENT OF HIS BLOOD A FINDING OF UNREASONABLE REFUSAL TO CONSENT MAY BE ADMITTED AS EVIDENCE AT A CRIMINAL TRIAL.

• SECTION **(C)** OF VA CODE 18.2-268.3 STATES THE ARRESTING OFFICER SHALL UNEAR OATH BEFORE THE MAGISTRATE, EXECUTE THE FORM AND CERTIFY THAT FORM READ THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS REFUSED TO PERMIT BLOOD OR BREATH OR BOTH BLOOD AND BREATH SAMPLES TO BE TAKEN FOR TESTING THAT THE OFFICER HAS READ THE PORTION OF THE FORM DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION **(B)** TO THE ARRESTED PERSON THAT THE ARRESTED PERSON AFTER HAVING HAD THE PORTION OF THE FORM DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION **(B)** READ TO HIM HAS REFUSED TO PERMIT SUCH SAMPLE OR SAMPLES TO BE TAKEN.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2018 PETITIONER APPEARED BEFORE THE PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT WITH A EXPUNGEMENT PETITION UNDER VA CODE 18.2-392.1 EXPUNGEMENT OF POLICE AND COURT RECORDS. REQUESTING THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS FOR THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WAS RULED A NOLO PROSECCUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995 BE EXPUNGED ON THE GROUNDS THAT A NOT GUILTY PLEA WAS MADE ON THE REFUSAL CHARGE A NOLO PROSECCUTION WAS ORDERED AND THERE WAS NO PLEA AGREEMENT AND THAT'S WOULD BE NO REASON FOR ONE BEING ANCE THE RECORD OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE IS WITHOUT MERIT NO D.U.I AFFIDAVIT SCORE SHEET SIGNED BY A MAGISTRATE AND THE REFUSAL CHARGE IS A IN-VALID REFUSAL CHARGE THAT CAN NOT BE USED TO OBTAIN A CONVICTION FOR D.U.I FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS THE ARRESTING OFFICER DID NOT AS REQUIRED BY SECTION (B) OF VA CODE 18.2-268.3 READ THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL TO PETITIONER. AND THE ARRESTING OFFICER DID NOT AS REQUIRED IN SECTION (C) OF VA CODE 18.2-268.3 CERTIFY SIGN THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL. AND THAT IT IS THE LAW IN THE STATE OF VIRGINIA IF THE ARRESTING OFFICER DOES NOT CERTIFY SIGN THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL THE COURT SHALL AND SHALL AS IN MEANING THE COURT HAS TO DISMISS THE REFUSAL CHARGE. AND THAT IT IS THE LAW IN THE STATE OF VIRGINIA IF THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL IS NOT CERTIFIED SIGN BY THE ARRESTING OFFICER THE EVIDENCE INSIDE THE RECORD OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE CANNOT BE USED TO OBTAIN A CONVICTION FOR D.U.I AND THE COURT SHALL DISMISS THE REFUSAL CHARGE AS WELL AND THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WAS RULED A NOLO PROSECCUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995 IS WITHOUT MERIT NO D.U.I ~~RE~~ AFFIDAVIT SCORE SHEET SIGNED BY A MAGISTRATE AND THAT PETITIONER'S INNOCENCE OF D.U.I IS PROVED BY PETITIONER'S COURT PROVED INNOCENCE OF UNREASONABLY REFUSING TO PERMIT A SAMPLE OF BLOOD OR BREATH AND THE OUTCOME OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE IS SUPPOSE TO BE THE OUTCOME OF THE D.U.I CHARGE AND THAT IT IS A LEGAL IMPOSSIBILITY FOR PETITIONER TO BE CONVICTED OF D.U.I WHEN HIS INNOCENCE OF UNREASONABLY REFUSING TO PERMIT A SAMPLE OF BLOOD OR BREATH IS PROVED AND THE ARRESTING OFFICER DID NOT CERTIFY SIGN THE CERTIFICATE OF THE DECLARATION OF REFUSAL AND THAT BEING OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I CONVICTION CAN BE GRANTED BY THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE AND IF A ORDER OF EXPUNGEMENT WERE ISSUED TO EXPUNGE THE RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WOULD AUTOMATICALLY EXPUNGE THE RECORDS OF THE D.U.I CONVICTION. AND ARGUED THAT THE CONTINUED EXISTENCE OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE IS CAUSING CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH CONSTITUTE A MANIFEST INJUSTICE AND THAT CIRCUMSTANCE IS THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I CONVICTION. THE PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT THEN DISMISSED THE EXPUNGEMENT PETITION ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE REFUSAL CHARGE DOES NOT REFLECT ON THE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD A ~~RE~~ PETITION FOR APPEAL WAS FILED WITH THE VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT ARGUING THAT REGARDLESS WHETHER OR NOT THE REFUSAL CHARGE EXISTED ON PETITIONER'S CRIMINAL HISTORY AND DRIVING RECORD OR NOT A ORDER OF EXPUNGEMENT CAN STILL BE ISSUED REQUIRING THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL

CONTINUED STATEMENT OF CASE

CHARBLE ~~1~~ UNDER SECTION (A) OF VIRGINIA CODE 19.2-392.2 EXPUNGEMENT OF POLICE AND COURT RECORDS BECAUSE THE REFUSAL CHARBLE IS DEFINED IN TIME 18.2 AND A NOICE PROSIGATION WAS TAKEN ON THE CHARBLE AND THAT UNDER VIRGINIA CODE 19.2-392.2 IF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARBLE WERE EXPUNGED THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS FOR THE ~~NOICE~~ D.V.C CONVICTION FROM NOVEMBER 2, 1995 WOULD BE EXPUNGED AT THE SAME TIME BECAUSE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARBLE THAT WAS RULED A NOICE PROSIGATION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995 AND THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.V.C CONVICTION ARE RELATED RECORDS AND THAT PETITIONER COULD OBTAIN RELIEF OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.V.C CONVICTION BY THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARBLE THAT WAS RULED A NOICE PROSIGATION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995.

ON OCTOBER 16, 2018 ORAL ARGUMENT WAS MADE BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE A PANEL OF THE VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT AND PETITIONER ARGUED THAT THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PAGE COUNTY DID ERROR BY DENYING THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS FOR THE REFUSAL CHARBLE THAT WAS RULED A NOICE PROSIGATION THE PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RULED STATING THE REFUSAL CHARBLE DOES NOT RELIEF THE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD OR DRIVING RECORD PETITIONER ARGUED THE CONTINUED EXISTENCE OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARBLE

CONTINUED STATEMENT OF CASE

NOT BEING EXPUNGED IS CAUSING CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH CONSTITUTE A MANIFEST INJUSTICE TO THE PETITIONER AND THAT CIRCUMSTANCE IS THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I. CONVICTION. AND THAT IF THE ~~RECORDS OF~~ ~~THE~~ POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WAS RULED A NOVIE PROSECUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995. ~~THE JUDGE AT THE SAME TIME~~ WAS EXPUNGED THAT WOULD AT THE SAME TIME EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS FOR THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I. CONVICTION UNDER VA. CODE 19.2-392.2 EXPUNGEMENT OF POLICE AND COURT RECORDS. BECAUSE THE RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE AND D.U.I. CONVICTION FROM NOVEMBER 2, 1995 ARE RELATED RECORDS AND THAT PETITIONER COULD OBTAIN RELIEF OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I. CONVICTION BY THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS FOR THE REFUSAL CHARGE THAT WAS RULED A NOVIE PROSECUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995. AND ARGUED THAT THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.I. CONVICTION WAS A SECOND OFFENSE D.U.I. CONVICTION. AND ARGUED THAT IF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARGE AND D.U.I. ~~CONVICTION~~ CONVICTION FROM THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 MATTER WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE THIRD D.U.I. CONVICTION FROM JUNE 26, 2001 WHICH IS A FELONY. AND IT WOULD EXPUNGE THE JUNE 26, 2001 FELONY D.U.I. CONVICTION SHOWING ON THE ELECTRONIC CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD SHOWING ON PAGE 22 OF PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RECORD NUMBER ~~22~~ CL 18-80

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT LABORDED ON FEBRUARY 27, 2018 BY DISMISING THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE REFUSAL CHARLIE THAT WAS ~~NOT~~ ORDERED A NOHE PROSECUTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 1995 THE CONTINUED EXISTANCE OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARLIE IS ~~NOT~~ REFLECTING THE CRIMINAL HISTORY AND DRIVING RECORD BY CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH CONSTITUTE A MANIFEST INJUSTICE AND THAT CIRCUMSTANCE IS THE VOID WITHOUT MERIT INVOLVED PROVEN NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.T CONVICTION. IF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARLIE WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD AT THE SAME TIME EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.T CONVICTION BECAUSE THE RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARLIE AND D.U.T CHARLIE AND D.U.T CONVICTION ARE RELATED RECORDS. AND IF THE RECORDS OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 MATTER POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 MATTER WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE JUNE 26, 2001 FELONY D.U.T CONVICTION AND EXPUNGE THE JUNE 26, 2001 FELONY D.U.T CONVICTION SHOWING ON THE ELECTRONIC CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD OF THE VIRGINIA STATE POLICE SHOWING ON PAGE 22 OF PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RECORD NUMBER CL 18-80

THE VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT LABORDED ON NOVEMBER 28, 2018 BY REFUSING THE PETITION FOR APPEAL REGARDLESS RATHER OR NOT THE REFUSAL CHARLIE EXIST ON THE ELECTRONIC CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD OF THE VIRGINIA STATE POLICE A ORDER OF EXPUNGEMENT CAN STILL BE GRANTED TO EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS FOR THE REFUSAL CHARLIE. BECAUSE OF THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 D.U.T CONVICTION CAN BE OBTAINED BY THE EXPUNGEMENT OF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE RELATED CHARLIE BECAUSE THE RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARLIE AND D.U.T CHARLIE IN THE 1995 MATTER ARE RELATED RECORDS. AND IF THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE REFUSAL CHARLIE AND D.U.T CONVICTION IN THE NOVEMBER 2, 1995 MATTER WERE EXPUNGED THAT WOULD EXPUNGE THE POLICE AND COURT RECORDS OF THE JUNE 26, 2001 FELONY D.U.T CONVICTION AND EXPUNGE THE JUNE 26, 2001 FELONY D.U.T CONVICTION FROM THE ELECTRONIC CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD ~~OF~~ OF THE VIRGINIA STATE POLICE SHOWING ON PAGE 22 OF PAGE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RECORD NUMBER CL 18-80

17

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

WARRIAN SLOTT TAYLOR

Date: February 19, 2019